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Executive Summary

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) created a new IRS Code which imposes additional requirements on tax-exempt hospitals. Specifically, hospitals must complete a Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) at least once every three years. The CHNA must include input from persons who represent the broad interest of the community with input from persons having public health knowledge or expertise. They then must make the assessment widely available to the public and adopt a written implementation strategy to address identified community needs.

The Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB) defines public health accreditation as the development of a set of standards, a process to measure health department performance against those standards, and reward or recognition for those health departments who meet the standards. This accreditation process also requires a periodic Community Public Health Needs Assessment.

In September, 2012, the Smith County Memorial Hospital and the Smith County Health Department co-sponsored the Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) Community Health Needs Assessment. The KRHW program is offered through K-State Research and Extension at Kansas State University. A broadly representative group of nineteen Smith County leaders met over the course of three meetings to identify priorities and devise action strategies. After consideration of a host of information, local health-related priorities were established.

Steering Committee Consensus on Overall Priorities for Smith County

Below are the most important issues identified by the Steering Committee following the prioritization process. Specific action plans were developed to address each as Smith County moves forward to improve the local health-related situation.

Priority #1: Update existing facilities to create a new health care center with an expanded array of programs and services.

- Include hospital, acute care clinic, community-based transitional services for elderly, long-term care, day care, and community health and wellness.
- Identify successful existing programs and expand/build upon them.

Priority #2: Focus on community health and wellness/public health.

- Chronic disease prevention: obesity, diabetes, nutrition, etc.
- Expanding access to mental health services.
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Smith County Community Health Needs Assessment
September 10-October 1, 2012

The contents of this file document participation, discussion and information resources developed through the course of the Smith County Community Health Needs Assessment. These documents and resources were compiled with the assistance of the Office of Local Government located in the Department of Agricultural Economics at Kansas State University. The process used to compile information, establish health-related priorities, and develop action plans employed the Kansas Rural Health Works Community Engagement Process.

The Community Engagement Process provides a way in which community members can evaluate their health care system through the analysis of information reports. The process is community-driven with input from health care providers. It helps the community identify, brainstorm, and solve problems related to local health care. As a result, the process leads to the identification of priority local health-related issues and mobilizes the community to improve the relative situation. A major element of the program was the development of action plans to address priority issues.

The full Community Engagement Process consists of a series of three public meetings over three weeks. The geographic scope of the program typically reflects the extent of the local hospital’s market area identified based on the residential zip codes of inpatients from the previous calendar year.

A broad-based community Steering Committee is formed to analyze the information resources included in this packet to determine relevant issues and propose an action plan to improve local circumstances. The Steering Committee then presents their action plan to the community for review and possible implementation.

What follows are the work products developed by the Steering Committee through the course of the program. The Priorities and Action Plans records participants’ thoughts and concerns about local issues and unmet needs. In the first meeting, participants identify all of their thoughts and ideas. Broader themes are identified and validated by the Steering Committee to begin building consensus about priorities in the second meeting. Finally, the Steering Committee develops action plans in response to the priority issues during the final meeting. The priorities identified and the action plans developed leads this compilation of information resources. The full Meeting Schedule follows this introduction.

Examining the composition of the Meeting Participants reveals that a priority of the program is to solicit input from a broad cross section of the community, not simply members of the local healthcare sector. The meeting participants refine their ideas about the local priorities going forward through the development of a variety of local information resources that follow.

The Community Identification page documents determinants of the geographic scope of the program.
The **Economic Contribution** report illustrates the relative importance of the health care sector to rural community economic viability. The estimates contained therein typically include a complete local census of current health care employment in the market area. Health care will generally be found to be among the top contributors to local economic wellbeing in most rural areas.

The **Data and Information** reports compile a wide variety of published data to show the current situation and trends affecting the local health-related situation. Data reflect conditions related to demographic, economic, social and behavioral, education, traffic, crime, and public health trends. These data represent objective indicators to help validate perceptions of the local situation. Further, these data have continuing utility to various local institutions seeking grants and funding support to work on local problems.

The **Community Survey** presents an effort to solicit input from the broader community. While the initiative is informal and non-representative, it does contribute considerable input from the broader community. The survey typically queries respondent’s health-related needs and behaviors. This provides both an indication of local demand for health services and the level of satisfaction with the services received. At the end, an open-ended question queries respondents' views about local health-related issues and concerns.

The health **Asset Inventory** represents a comprehensive listing of local health providers and services. The broad distribution of the directory helps ensure that community members are aware of full extent of locally-available services. Further, it can help to identify any gaps that may exist in the current local inventory of health services and providers.

The **Presentations** display the information considered during the course of the health needs assessment, and describes the processes used to reach consensus and develop action plans.

Finally, the **CHNA Requirements** summarize the Affordable Care Act's requirements for affected hospitals and the requirements for health department accreditation.

All of the information presented here is available for public access at the **Kansas Rural Health Works Website: www.krhw.net**. Local health care institutions are welcome to disseminate these information resources freely provided they are in their full and unaltered form.

Taken as a whole, the Community Engagement Process and these information resources fulfill most requirements for the community health needs assessment requirements for tax-exempt hospitals. The final requirement is that the governing board of the hospital or its designee must then formally declare its own strategic action priorities for the three-year period going forward until a new periodic review of community health-related needs is again required.

Questions about the Rural Health Works program can be directed to John Leatherman, Office of Local Government, Department of Agricultural Economics, K-State Research and Extension. Phone: 785-532-2643/4492; E-mail: jleather@k-state.edu. The Kansas Rural Health Works Website can be found at: www.krhw.net.
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Meeting Schedule

Meeting 1: Local Data Monday, September 10, 2012  
Peterson Industries, Basement Meeting Room, 616 E. Highway 36 in Smith Center

Agenda
11:30 a.m.  Introduction and Purpose  
11:40 a.m.  Economic Contribution Report  
11:55 a.m.  Preliminary Needs Identification  
  •  Issue Identification Cards  
  •  Discussion  
12:15 p.m.  Secondary Data Reports  
12:35 p.m.  Group Discussion  
12:45 p.m.  Community Survey  
  •  Participant Survey  
  •  Community Outreach  
1:00 p.m.  Gathering Community Input  
1:05 p.m.  Preparation for Prioritization  
1:15 p.m.  Discussion  
1:30 p.m.  Adjourn
Meeting 2: Issue Prioritization  
Monday, September 24, 2012  
Peterson Industries, Basement Meeting Room, 616 E. Highway 36 in Smith Center

**Agenda**

11:30 a.m.  Introduction and Review
11:40 a.m.  Review of Data
11:45 a.m.  Service Gap Analysis
11:50 a.m.  Survey Results
12:00 p.m.  Focus Group Formation and Instruction
12:40 p.m.  Group Summaries
1:00 p.m.  Prioritization
1:20 p.m.  Action Committee Formation
1:25 p.m.  Committee Charge
1:30 p.m.  Adjourn

Meeting 3: Action Planning  
Monday, October 1, 2012  
Peterson Industries, Basement Meeting Room, 616 E. Highway 36 in Smith Center

**Agenda**

11:30 a.m.  Introduction and Review
11:40 a.m.  Action Planning
  - Objectives and Input
  - Instruction
  - Organization
12:00 p.m.  Workgroups Begin
12:30 p.m.  Workgroup Reports
1:00 p.m.  Organization and Next Steps
1:20 p.m.  Summary
1:25 p.m.  Program Evaluation
1:30 p.m.  Adjourn
Smith County

Community Health Priorities
Action Plans and
Issue Identification
Identification of Smith County Health Needs and Priorities

The purpose of the second meeting of the Kansas Rural Health Works Community Health Needs Assessment is to identify the overall health-related priorities that would be the focus of future efforts to improve the community health environment. Following a review of the community secondary data, health services directory, and community survey results, Steering Committee participants form small groups for the purpose of discussing local health related needs and issues.

To facilitate the discussion, the groups are asked to consider the following questions:

- **What is your vision for a healthy community?**
- **What are the top 3-4 things that need to happen to achieve your vision?**
  - What’s right? What could be better?
  - Consider acute needs and chronic conditions
  - Discrete local issues, not global concerns
  - Consider the possible, within local control and resources, something to rally the community
- **What can the hospital do to help?**
- **What can the health department do to help?**

Each group comes to a consensus regarding the top two-four health-related issues they recommend as the focus to the overall Steering Committee. After each group reports, an effort is made to identify the top two-four issues across all of the groups. These, then, become the focus for action planning going forward. Below are the most important issues identified by the Steering Committee following the prioritization process. On the pages that follow are the notes taken by Steering Committee members participating in the small group discussions leading to the overall prioritization.

**Steering Committee Consensus on Overall Priorities for Smith County**

**Priority #1:** Update existing facilities to create a new health care center with an expanded array of programs and services.

- Include hospital, acute care clinic, community-based transitional services for elderly, long-term care, day care, and community health and wellness.
- Identify successful existing programs and expand/build upon them.

**Priority #2:** Focus on community health and wellness/public health.

- Chronic disease prevention: obesity, diabetes, nutrition, etc.
- Expanding access to mental health services.
Focus Group 1 Discussion
September 24, 2012

Discussion Questions

What is your vision for a healthy community?
- What's right?
- What could be better
- Consider acute needs and chronic conditions
- Discrete local issues, not global concerns
- Consider the possible, within local control and resources, something to rally the community

What can the hospital do to help?
What can the health department do to help?

Response

What is good?
- EMS system
- Clinic
- Hospital staff
- Public health

What could be better?
- Dated facility and technology.
- Assisted living.
- Home Health – LTC and healthy lifestyle.
- Mental health.
- Acute – Management.
- Chronic – Population loss, school programs, day care, job loss.
- Management/leadership strategic plan (Great Plains, hospital administration).
- Financing, recruit new patients, grant writer.
- Dated facility and technology, recruitment, patient care, professionalism.
- Assisted living – mental, home, independent living care.
- Living healthy lifestyle – YMCA.
- Keep EMS system growing.
- Healthy lifestyle living (YMCA-style).
- Child care (community-based such as in the hospital, but watch costs!)

*Most important: Updated facility including acute care hospital, long term care, transitional care, child care, mental health.
What can the hospital do to help?

Expand successful programs – create more well-paying jobs (business office, physicians, mid-level, home health, and hospice).
Recruit referral hospitals and providers to support our local healthcare system.
Expand health maintenance/improvement programs in our county (i.e., obesity, elderly care, heart disease, diabetes, DM).
Childcare, day care.
Health care facility, updated hospital.
Acute care, transitional, childcare, long-term, mental care.
Expand successful programs.
Hospice in Phillipsburg.
Expand Physical Therapy.
Why isn’t the hospital in Phillipsburg here?
Money back from large hospitals for referrals.
Dental home health education.
Management/leadership/strategic plan.
Financing/"grant writer."
Expand good programs (PT/cardiac rehabilitation).
Dental.

What can the health department do to help?

Expand to home health.
Health maintenance/improvement programs.
Find the problems in the community – poverty, lack of care, hygiene, access.
Communicating importance of healthy living.
Education - where are the services available.
Promote healthy living.
Find problems in the home.
Focus Group 2 Discussion
September 24, 2012

Discussion Questions

What is your vision for a healthy community?
- What's right?
- What could be better
- Consider acute needs and chronic conditions
- Discrete local issues, not global concerns
- Consider the possible, within local control and resources, something to rally the community

What can the hospital do to help?
What can the health department do to help?

Response

What could be better?
- Transition services for elderly.
- Wellness for youth.
- Losing wealth.
- Maintain current resources/facility.
- Mental health services/case management;
- Wellness all away for all ages:
  - 7 healthy habits
  - Sex education
  - Fit for life
  - Health classes

How can we maintain our resources for what we currently have.
- Assisted living.
- Hospital – could hire a diabetic counselor to help provide education.
- How does our community help SCMH and SCHD, not the other way around.

Home health care:
- Long-term care has an extra wing that could possibility be turned into an ASC.
- Could we find local investors to support assisted living?
- Medicare home health needed.
- Dependable staff needed.
- Mental health needed – have someone come to SCMH already but need more – psychiatrist, psychology.
- Case management.
What can the hospital do to help?
   Hire specialists – diabetic education.
   Independent facilities, partnerships.
   Pieces in place at Deseret.
   Video conferencing for privacy.

What can the health department do to help?
   Needs help financially.
   Medicare home health.
   Need staff.
Smith County Community Health Action Plans

The final step in the Rural Health Works Community Health Needs Assessment is to devise action plans to guide future implementation efforts. A primary emphasis of the program is to devise specific, action-oriented plans so the momentum of the community health initiative is not lost following the needs assessment.

To accomplish this, Steering Committee member break into work groups to focus on a specific priority. Their effort is to apply elements of the Logic Model planning process to craft action strategies. Following are the questions workgroup participants considered in drafting action plans. Given time constraints within the formal program setting, the resulting action plans are currently in draft form. It's recognized that crafting a detailed and effective action plan requires time and ongoing commitment. Program participants now have a template and a start in their efforts to create a road map guiding their way forward.

Community Health Planning Process

Getting Started
To start, we need to articulate the change we would like to see take place. To do so, we need to recognize the existing situation we believe can be improved. Consideration of the many data and information resources generated through the program can bolster the case for needed action. We can't accomplish everything at once, so we need a sense of priority about what we should do now rather than later. Finally, we need to articulate the goal or intended outcome we would like to see achieved.

- What's the Situation you'd like to see changed? What are the needs or problems to be addressed?
- What should the Priorities for attention, effort, and investment be? What are the most important things that need to be done to address the situation?
- What are the Intended Outcomes you'd like to see achieved? What will be the situation or condition when the goal has been achieved?

Filling in the Plan
- Now that we've established what we would like to achieve, we need to figure out how to do it. We can create an effective action plan by carefully considering what resources we need to invest into the effort, what activities we need to do to make progress, who we need to reach and involve, identify the milestones we'll need to see in order to know we're making progress, and, finally, the ultimate impact we would like to see achieved.
- What Resources are needed to take action? Who's available to work on the problem? How much time will it take? Is money or other resources needed? Who can we partner with to make progress?
- What Activities need to take place? Do we need to conduct regular meetings? Do we need to have special public meetings or events? Do products or information resources need to be developed? How should the media be involved? How do we foster needed partnerships and alliances?
- Who needs to Participate in order to make progress? Who are we trying to reach and influence? Who are the targets of our effort? Who needs to be involved?
- What are the Short-Term Results (6-12 months) you'd like to see? What would we like people to learn? What are the changes in awareness, knowledge, attitudes, or skills we'd like to see people exhibit? How will we measure this?
- What are the Intermediate-Term Results (1-2-3 years) you'd like to see? What are the behaviors, actions, decisions, or policies we'd like to see in place? How will we measure this?
- What is the desired Ultimate Impact (long-term) on the community? What are the social, economic, or other conditions we'd like to see in place in order to effect the kind of change the would be desired? How will we measure this?
Smith County Community Health Needs Assessment Action Planning
October 1, 2012

Priority #1: Update existing facilities to create a new health care center with an expanded array of programs and services.

- Include hospital, acute care clinic, community-based transitional services for elderly, long-term care, day care, and community health and wellness.
- Identify successful existing programs and expand/build upon them.

Action Committee Members
Pam Barta; Director of Economic Development; City of Smith Center; Smith Center; bartapr@ruraltel.net
Joe Barnes; Employed Physician; S2 County Memorial Hospital; Smith Center; jbarnes@smithcohosp.org; 785-282-5731

Action Plan

Getting Started

Situation
- Modern facilities in assisted living.
- Acute care facility – both inpatient and outpatient health care.
- Ongoing vision for our healthcare.
- Telemedicine rooms – outpatient setting.
- Vision – planning.

Priorities
- Intact hospital administration – board of directors --- involve.
- Planning committee with economic development with website --- build a county coalition.

Intended Outcomes
- Six-12 months Modern Health Care Facilities.
- Group people looking at future.
- Modern medical facility.
Filling in the Plan

Resources
- Pam – Website.
- Smith County Foundation – gather data.
- Person to monitor progress.
- Economic development.

Activities
- Setting up a website.
- Hiring a fundraiser (6%, 12,500 a month) – yearly fundraising.
- Special Public Meeting – public information.

Participate
- Hospital Board.
- Trust.
- County Commissioners – get out and talk the positives.
- Reach – community.

Short-Term Results
- Develop working committee – community.
- Pharmacists.
- Providers.
- Commissioners.
- Administrators.
- Increase awareness – move forward with education of public.

Intermediate-Term Results
- Funding.
- Building.
- Providers.
- Community.
- Buy-in.
Ultimate Impact

- No more declining population.
- Maintain jobs.
- Keep schools and healthcare alive and well.
- Increase health care awareness.
- Better healthcare access and education.
Smith County Community Health Needs Assessment Action Planning
October 1, 2012

Priority #2: Focus on community health and wellness/public health
- Chronic disease prevention, obesity, diabetes, nutrition, etc.
- Expanding access to mental health services.

Action Committee Members
Michele Goscha; Chiropractor; Midway Chiropractic; Smith Center;
midaychiropractic@hotmail.com; 785-282-6818
Jo Jene True; Director of Nursing, Long Term Care at Hospital; Smith Center;
jtrue@smithcohosp.org
Joan Nech; Committee Facilitator; Chamber of Commerce; Smith Center/Kensington;
jnech@ruraltel.net

Action Plan

Getting Started

Situation
Health & Wellness/prevention & chronic disease reduction in the county – smoking, diabetes, obesity, pre and post natal care, care across the age continuum.
The mindset needs to be changed – public has to be motivated.
We want to develop a county/community garden that will provide, unite, and educate the public.

Priorities
Community/County garden.
Nutrition – collaboration between existing programs (Head Start, WIC, Extension Office, Health Department, Food Pantry), obtain foods; food storage; food prep; nutritional values.
Revitalize Recreation in Smith County – recreation department, survey different entities to see what is offered and survey community to see the interest.
Disease prevention.
Mental Health services.
Provide transitional care for the elderly.
Promote health and wellness – smoking cessation, obesity.
Increase community involvement and community-based services.
Intended Outcomes

Increased knowledge of whole foods in diet – successful community garden.
Increased knowledge of wellness/disease prevention.
Increase opportunities for physical activity in county for all ages – collaborate throughout county to increase participation.
More mental health resources available to Smith County.
Our elderly will be able to stay in this community and not have to leave.
Our community will experience better overall health and wellness.

Filling in the Plan

Resources

Garden – land/water/volunteers/equipment/seeds – funding (SCCF Grant), advertising.
Recreation – surveys, manpower/leadership, advertising.
Money.
People.
Location.
Education/Information.
Community Assessment Coalition/volunteers.
Partner with City, County, local businesses, and Extension.

Activities

Garden/Recreation: leadership board meetings, public information meetings; flyers, etc.
– newspaper, social media, Nex-Tech, Internet; church bulletins; radio.
Regular monthly meetings.
Public events/forums to get the word out.
Media to post online, newspaper, local TV to get people involved.

Participate

Leadership board, master gardeners, community volunteers, extension, schools, churches.
Everyone of Smith County of all ages.

Short-Term Results

Growing garden, working board, security resolved, servicing/providing fresh produce for county.
Look for healthier lifestyle, growing involvement across all ages.
Increase education on need for more wellness activities.
Intermediate-Term Results

- Self-sustaining community gardens.
- Development of more recreational programs for all ages.
- Development of walking track around town.
- Improved diabetic counseling.

Ultimate Impact

- Teach county how to have a healthier lifestyle via nutrition.
- Educate all ages on benefits of fresh produce in diet to promote health.
- To improve overall health and wellness for Smith County citizens.
Kansas Rural Health Works
Action Planning Worksheet

This worksheet is intended to help Rural Health Works program participants build an effective action plan for improving conditions in the community.

Getting Started
To start, we need to articulate the change we would like to see take place. To do so, we need to recognize the existing situation we believe can be improved. Consideration of the many data and information resources generated through the program can bolster the case for needed action. We can't accomplish everything at once, so we need a sense of priority about what we should do now rather than later. Finally, we need to articulate the goal or intended outcome we would like to see achieved.

What's the Situation you'd like to see changed? What are the needs or problems to be addressed?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

What should the Priorities for attention, effort, and investment be? What are the most important things that need to be done to address the situation?
1st: _________________________________________________________________________
2nd: ________________________________________________________________________
3rd: ________________________________________________________________________

What are the Intended Outcomes you'd like to see achieved? What will be the situation or condition when the goal has been achieved?
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Filling in the Plan
Now that we've established what we would like to achieve, we need to figure out how to do it. We can create an effective action plan by carefully considering what resources we need to invest into the effort, what activities we need to do to make progress, who we need to reach and involve, identify the milestones we'll need to see in order to know we're making progress, and, finally, the ultimate impact we would like to see achieved.
What **Resources** are needed to take action? Who's available to work on the problem? How much time will it take? Is money or other resources needed? Who can we partner with to make progress?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

What **Activities** need to take place? Do we need to conduct regular meetings? Do we need to have special public meetings or events? Do products or information resources need to be developed? How should the media be involved? How do we foster needed partnerships and alliances?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Who needs to **Participate** in order to make progress? Who are we trying to reach and influence? Who are the targets of our effort? Who needs to be involved?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

What are the **Short-Term Results** (6-12 months) you'd like to see? What would we like people to learn? What are the changes in awareness, knowledge, attitudes, or skills we'd like to see people exhibit? How will we measure this?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

What are the **Intermediate-Term Results** (1-2-3 years) you'd like to see? What are the behaviors, actions, decisions, or policies we'd like to see in place? How will we measure this?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

What is the desired **Ultimate Impact** (long-term) on the community? What are the social, economic, or other conditions we'd like to see in place in order to effect the kind of change the would be desired? How will we measure this?

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alan Respen</td>
<td>School Nurse</td>
<td>USD 237</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:arespen@usd237.com">arespen@usd237.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Haresnappe</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center/Lebanon</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jharesnappe@smithcohosp.org">jharesnappe@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Hageman</td>
<td>Smith County Health Dept Administration</td>
<td>Smith County Health Dept</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>schlauraruraltel.net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele Goscha</td>
<td>Chiropractor, Midway Chiropractor</td>
<td>Midway Chiropractic, LLC</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:midwaychiropractic@hotmail.com">midwaychiropractic@hotmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Zabel</td>
<td>Farmer, Accountant</td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jzabel@ruraltel.net">jzabel@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Barnes</td>
<td>Employed Physician</td>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jbarnes@smithcohosp.org">jbarnes@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joene True</td>
<td>Director of Nursing</td>
<td>Long Term Care at Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jtrue@smithcohosp.org">jtrue@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Barta</td>
<td>Director of Economic Development</td>
<td>City of Smith Center</td>
<td>Smith Center, KS</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bartapr@ruraltel.net">bartapr@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Nech</td>
<td>Committee Facilitator</td>
<td>Smith Center Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Smith Center/Kensington</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jnech@ruraltel.net">jnech@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Windscheffel</td>
<td>Nurse Practitioner</td>
<td>Smith County Family Practice</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tam.windscheffel@gmail.com">tam.windscheffel@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galen Lambert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:glambert@csiks.net">glambert@csiks.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Timmons, RN</td>
<td>DON</td>
<td>Deseret of Smith Center</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:atimmons@deserethealth.com">atimmons@deserethealth.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Pettjohn</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>Deseret Health &amp; Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tpettjohn@deserethealth.com">tpettjohn@deserethealth.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Evangelidis</td>
<td>Hospice County Care Coordinator &amp; Staff Nurse</td>
<td>Hospice Services, Inc</td>
<td>Smith Center/Kensington</td>
<td><a href="mailto:evandelidis@att.net">evandelidis@att.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra L. Wick</td>
<td>District Extension Agent</td>
<td>K-State Research &amp; Extension</td>
<td>All in county</td>
<td><a href="mailto:swick@ksu.edu">swick@ksu.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliese Holt</td>
<td>Retired citizen (School Admin)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:epholt@gmail.com">epholt@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Holt</td>
<td>Retired citizen (Engineer)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:epholt@gmail.com">epholt@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Howland</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Howland Mobile Vet Clinic</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Hageman</td>
<td>Smith County Health Dept Admin</td>
<td>Smith County Health Dept</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:schlaura@ruraltel.net">schlaura@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele Goscha</td>
<td>Chiropractor, Midway Chiropractor</td>
<td>Midway Chiropractic, LLC</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:midwaychiropractic@hotmail.com">midwaychiropractic@hotmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Haresnape</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center/Lebanon</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jharesnape@smithcohosp.org">jharesnape@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliese Holt</td>
<td>Retired citizen (School Admin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:epholt@gmail.com">epholt@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Holt</td>
<td>Retired citizen (Engineer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:epholt@gmail.com">epholt@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Windscheffel</td>
<td>Nurse Practitioner</td>
<td>Smith County Family Practice</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tam.windscheffel@gmail.com">tam.windscheffel@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Barnes</td>
<td>Employed Physician</td>
<td>Howland Mobile Vet Clinic</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jbarnes@smithcohosp.org">jbarnes@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Howland</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>City of Smith Center</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:trejoy@gmail.com">trejoy@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trey Joy</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td>Smith Center Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Smith Center/Kensington</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jnech@ruraltel.net">jnech@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Nech</td>
<td>Committee Facilitator</td>
<td>Long Term Care at Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jtrue@smithcohosp.org">jtrue@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Jene True</td>
<td>Director of Nursing</td>
<td>City of Smith Center</td>
<td>Smith Center/Kensington</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bartapr@ruraltel.net">bartapr@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Barta</td>
<td>Director of Economic Development</td>
<td>Deseret Health &amp; Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Smith Center/Kensington</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tpettijohn@deserethealth.com">tpettijohn@deserethealth.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Pettijohn</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>Deseret of Smith Center</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:atimmons@deserethealth.com">atimmons@deserethealth.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Timmons, RN</td>
<td>DON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Timmons, RN</td>
<td>DON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele Goscha</td>
<td>Chiropractor, Midway Chiropractor</td>
<td>Midway Chiropractic, LLC</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:midwaychiropractic@hotmail.com">midwaychiropractic@hotmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larua Zabel</td>
<td>Farmer, Accountant</td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jzabel@ruraltel.net">jzabel@ruraltel.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Haresnape</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center/Lebanon</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jharesnape@smithcohosp.org">jharesnape@smithcohosp.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Basis for the Organization of the Smith County Community Health Needs Assessment

### Share of Inpatient Discharges from Smith County Zip Code, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>66967</td>
<td>SMITH CENTER</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>55.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>66951</td>
<td>KENSINGTON</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>66952</td>
<td>LEBANON</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>8.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>67638</td>
<td>GAYLORD</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>6.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>67661</td>
<td>PHILLIPSBURG</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>PHILLIPS</td>
<td>4.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>67473</td>
<td>OSBORNE</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>OSBORNE</td>
<td>2.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>67621</td>
<td>AGRA</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>PHILLIPS</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>66932</td>
<td>ATHOL</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital - Smith Center, KS</td>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Smith County Shares** 81.00%

[Map of Smith County showing hospital locations and zip codes]
Smith County Preliminary Issues List
9/10/2012

Themes
Prevention of chronic disease/wellness
Recruitment/retention of health care professionals
Hospital/LTC facilities improvements and sustainability
Elder care and community-based services
Communication and collaboration between providers
Leadership and community involvement

What are the major health-related concerns in Smith County?
Providing adequate long-term care and assisted living across the age continuum (5)
Transition from home to long-term care
Provider recruitment, maintenance physicians, ARNP, ANES
Adequate ER care, evaluation-EMS
Modern acute care facility; competent management and long-term planning
Keeping elders in the community and attracting young people
Hospital needs updated (3)
Updating senior care to keep elders in community (4)
Day care
Availability to provide epidurals to OB patients
Continuity and quality of care (2)
The hospital financial condition - long-term stability
OB care
Recruitment and retention of employees
Access to quality specialty clinics (3)
Affordable, preventative care (3)
Transportation to get to services (2)
Lack of in-home care services for elderly (2)
No Medicare home health services
Lack of mental health services
Need for more physicians
Children, low-income families, seniors
Self-employed or those with insurance
Non-critical healthcare needs addressed
Services for at-risk children
Lack of physical activity-increase incidence of diabetes
What needs to be done to improve the local healthcare system?
Planning now and long-term (2)
Modern acute care clinic, hospital, outpatient facility
Better communication among all entities to provide best care possible to all citizens (3)
Work together as a community to raise the money to update hospital & senior assisted living
Recruit doctors and nurses to replace the ones retiring (2)
Get out of Great Plains Alliance (2)
Upgrading the local hospital facility (3)
Continuity of care between all healthcare providers for the residents of the county
Leadership from Hospital Board and County Commissioners
Stabilize and move hospital forward in service and facilities financially (2)
Development of more home health as well as mental health agencies
Increase quality
Improve the economics of the county to allow both spouses to work/housing availability
Updated technology, local, quality of workers willing to work at our hospital instead of commuting
Provide assisted living for seniors (2)
Provide improved dental care for children and low income families
Day care for elderly
Dependable/capable certified nurses aides
What should be the over-arching health care goals of the community?
Maintain services
Assess and address goals
Keeping current physicians and/or finding new physicians
Keeping our hospital a viable entity to continue health care in the community
As a community continue to meet each year with a strategic plan
Continue to raise money to do the things on the list, starting at #1 priority
Sustainability-be visionary-strategic planning
Strong health care administration
Making sure everyone has the same chance at receiving
   the same care regardless of age.
Communicating the needs of the residents
Keep health care workers in town by offering competitive wages
   instead of driving to surrounding communities
Be prepared for the long-term "Baby Boomer" influx into the senior (retirement) population
Provide great services for young families
Access to a wide range of services provided in the county
To improve quality of life for all citizens of Smith County
Increase reputation with health care providers and community members
To provide quality health care with less travel
Be a healthcare facility that everyone in the surrounding counties/ communities wants to come to
To be able to meet the needs of our youth and elderly and cancer patients (2)
To update our hospital facility & equipment (2)
Move to health-based care (not sickness-based care)
Help elderly to stay in homes as long as possible
Affordable health care-including specialists
Keeping doctors and surgeons
What are the greatest barriers to achieving health care goals?
Population decline (3)
Lack of well-paying jobs/economic outlook
No communication between counties/regions to share services
Adequate management skills (2)
Finances (7)
State regulations on facilities
Being in a rural area doesn't always attract new physicians
Do not have a strategic plan
We are 75 miles each way from a big hospital (2)
People need to realize the medical care is economically feasible
Updating facilities will bring more jobs and money to our county
Engaging the community
Communication (2)
A Hospital Board that is business savvy-not just based on
who you are and who are engaged
County Commissioners that are not engaged
Affordability to consumers-keeping costs down so community
members don't seek services elsewhere
Resources (2)
Community knowledge of services available & who to contact
Combining nursing homes to have 1 instead of 3
Renovation of healthcare facilities already present
The mindset of those who do not want to spend the money
on updating our facility
Updating infrastructure costs
Everyone working together to achieve a common goal for
the greater good
Increasing elderly population, fewer young people
Poor socio-economic status of county
Lack of community involvement
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The organization and delivery of health care services have undergone rapid evolution in recent years. For many Americans, the cost of services and access to care are important issues. This certainly is true in many rural areas where communities have struggled to maintain affordable, quality health care systems. As economic forces and technical advances continue to change health care, it is more important than ever for rural community leaders and health care providers to work together to ensure affordable, sustainable health care systems.

In an effort to provide useful information resources to rural community and health care leaders, the Kansas Rural Health Options Project (KRHOP) has teamed with the Office of Local Government, a unit of the Department of Agricultural Economics and K-State Research and Extension, to develop this report as a component of the Kansas Rural Health Works program. KRHOP is a partnership of the Office of Local and Rural Health at the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, the Kansas Hospital Association, the Kansas Board of Emergency Medical Services and the Kansas Medical Society. KRHOP is dedicated to assuring quality health care delivery in rural Kansas through the promotion of collaborative systems of care. Kansas Rural Health Works is supported by a federal grant to KRHOP (No. 5 H54 RH 00009-03) from the Health Resources and Services Administration, Office of Rural Health Policy.

The purpose of this report is to provide information resources that may be used to communicate to community leaders and concerned citizens the relative importance of health care to the local economy.

Much of this information draws on the national Rural Health Works program sponsored by the Office of Rural Health Policy, an initiative led by Cooperative Extension Service specialists at Oklahoma State University. Many persons knowledgeable about the Kansas health care system also contributed to this report, including specialists at the Kansas Hospital Association, the Office of Local and Rural Health, and hospital administrators from across the state who cooperated in the development of these resources.

The Office of Local Government welcomes any questions, comments or suggestions about this report or any of their other services. Contact your county Extension office or:

Dr. John Leatherman  
Office of Local Government  
Department of Agricultural Economics  
K-State Research and Extension  
Manhattan, KS 66506-3415  
Phone: 785-532-2643  
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The Economic Contribution of the Health Care Sector  
In Smith County, Kansas

Introduction

The rapidly changing delivery of health services in rural counties has the potential to greatly impact the availability of health care services in the future. These changes include:

- Insufficient Medicare and Medicaid payments to hospitals and providers may force a reduction in the provision of health care services.
- Although Kansas rural health networks are already fairly strong, creation of provider networks may substantially change the delivery of, and access to, local health care services.
- Use of telemedicine could increase access to primary, consultative and specialty health care services at the county level.
- Development of critical access hospitals could help health care services remain in rural counties. Kansas currently has over 80 critical access hospitals.

As a result, the health care sector can have a large impact on the local economy. All of these changes make it imperative that decision makers in Smith County become proactive in maintaining high quality local health care services.

Health care facilities such as hospitals and nursing homes provide jobs and income to people in the community. As these employees spend their income in the community, a ripple spreads throughout the economy, creating additional jobs and income in other economic sectors. To help understand this important connection between the health sector and the local economy, this report will:

- Discuss the role of the health sector in rural development.
- Measure the employment, income, and retail sales impact of the health sector on the Smith County economy.

This report will not make any recommendations.
Health Care Changes and Their Effects on Rural Communities

The changes occurring in the health care sector have had a substantial impact on many rural communities. Many people have found it more difficult to get health care coverage, insurance premiums have increased, and rural health care providers have been reimbursed at rates less than their urban counterparts for doing the same work. Concurrently, changes in urban health systems have had impact on rural health care delivery with the result that some rural communities have lost their ability to make decisions about their local health care.

Rapid increases in health care costs have driven these changes. In 1990, a person spent an average of $2,239 (2008$) on health care expenditures. By 2008, health care expenditures rose to $3,486 per person. Additionally, the average person spent $1,415 (2008$) for insurance premiums and $824 on out-of-pocket expenses such as deductibles and co-payments in 1990. In 2008, those figures rose to $2,573 for insurance premiums and $913 for out-of-pocket expenses. Table 1 shows the trend of increasing health care expenses from 1970 through 2008. Because of the increases in the demand for and cost of health care, the major purchasers of health care services – employers and government (through Medicare, Medicaid and other programs) – must search for ways to slow the rapid growth in health care expenditures.

Table 1. United States Per Capita Health Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>$913</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>$1,307</td>
<td>$708</td>
<td>$598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>$2,239</td>
<td>$1,415</td>
<td>$824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$2,786</td>
<td>$1,957</td>
<td>$829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$2,915</td>
<td>$2,081</td>
<td>$834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$3,114</td>
<td>$2,251</td>
<td>$863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$3,291</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>$892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$3,376</td>
<td>$2,476</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$3,460</td>
<td>$2,547</td>
<td>$912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$3,492</td>
<td>$2,586</td>
<td>$906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$3,530</td>
<td>$2,603</td>
<td>$926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$3,486</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; data are inflation adjusted to 2008 dollars
Typically, rural community residents pay little attention to their local health care system until it is needed. Consequently, many rural people have little idea of the overall importance of the health care sector to their community’s economy, such as the number of jobs it currently provides and its potential to provide more jobs. To ensure that health care services remain available locally, rural communities need to understand these economic relationships. First, rural communities need to learn about their own local health care needs and take stock of their local health care system. While the emphasis at the national level is on controlling costs and eliminating duplication and overcapacity in the system (de-licensing unused hospital beds, for example), the issues are very different in rural communities.

One of the issues that underlies differences between health care systems in rural and urban areas is demographics. In rural areas, there are proportionately more elderly, more children living in poverty, higher unemployment and lower incomes. Rural people report poorer health and have more chronic health conditions. Rural people are more likely to be uninsured and have fewer health services available in the town where they live. Finally, people in rural communities are more likely to derive part of their income from the health care industry (either directly or indirectly).

Another issue that underlies the differences between urban and rural health care is the structure of the systems. In general, there are fewer providers and hospitals in rural areas, and they operate on very thin profit margins. In fact, many rural hospitals operate at a loss, with too few patients to cover daily costs. Also, until recently, most rural health care systems had been locally operated and controlled.

Pressures outside of the health care system also come into play in rural communities, creating stresses not applicable to urban systems. Cyclical commodity prices cause a periodic farm financial crisis, undermining the financial viability of family farms and business, such as farm implement manufacturers and dealers. Businesses located in rural areas tend to be small, often do not provide health insurance, and are highly vulnerable to changing economic conditions. Although these stresses can lead to mental and physical health problems, many people do not seek help for their health problems. Some will say they have too little time to seek out health care services, especially if they are working two jobs to make ends meet. For others, the strong sense of pride and self-reliance inherent among rural people may preclude many from seeking care, especially if they cannot afford it.

What is the ultimate impact of these changes and stresses on rural communities? Will it be a net gain or net loss, or will it all balance out in the end?

On the positive side, urban-based specialists may set up periodic office hours in rural clinics, health centers and hospitals; an urgent care center may open; and air medivac helicopters and other emergency medical services may be strategically located in a rural community. These services, while provided by many urban health systems, are convenient for rural residents, and otherwise would not be available to rural communities.
On the negative side, ties with financially strong urban health care providers can be detrimental to rural providers if the rural providers lose decision-making ability. Rural providers may also find themselves aligned with an organization that does not share their mission and values, or the rural provider may be unable to meet the expectations of the larger provider.

Anecdotal evidence suggests that the downsides can be significant and potentially devastating for a rural community. In some instances, urban or other outside interests have purchased rural clinics and hospitals and then closed them because they did not provide sufficient profit. Employers have signed contracts with insurance plans that push patients to the city for their health care, bypassing local, more convenient services. Emergency medical service providers have changed their service areas or closed their doors. When urban health organizations encourage insured rural residents to spend their health care dollars in the city rather than to purchase equivalent services locally, it can have a significant negative economic impact and result in a loss of health dollars within the local community. In addition, out of town trips to obtain health care naturally offer opportunities to spend dollars out of town that may have been spent locally. These out-migrated dollars are missed opportunities and can significantly impact the local economic base.

Rural communities need to overcome inertia and take stock of local health care. Rural providers should be challenged to organize, whether through formal or informal mechanisms, so that they can compete with urban systems. In general, regional strategies will probably work better than local ones. Providers must be willing to take risks and coordinate services.

Well-positioned rural health systems can meet these challenges. Fragmentation is a big problem in health systems, but smaller, independent rural systems have more opportunity to create linkages. The scarce resources available to rural health services have engendered innovation and efficiencies as a matter of survival. Strong local leadership helps sustain these systems. Many rural health organizations are committed to fiscal accountability, expressed as quality health care at low cost. It should not be too difficult to remind rural residents of the long-term commitment these rural providers have made in the communities they serve. In time, rural providers need to offer sustainable health care services that best meet community need.

Success in meeting these challenges can be measured in terms of increased local services, more spending on locally-available health care, local control of health resources, negotiation of good reimbursement rates for providers, and high levels of community satisfaction with local health care.

If rural health providers do not act, they will face the prospect of losing jobs; rural communities could lose health care services; and everybody may lose local control of their health care.
Health Services and Rural Development

Though the connections between health care services and rural development are often overlooked, at least three primary areas of commonality exist. A strong health care system can help attract and maintain business and industry growth, attract and retain retirees, and also create jobs in the local area.

Health Services and Community Industry

Studies have found that quality of life factors play a dramatic role in business and industry location decisions. Health care services represent some of the most significant quality of life factors for at least three reasons. First, good health and education services are imperative to industrial and business leaders as they select a community for location. Employees and participating management may offer strong resistance if they are asked to move into a community with substandard or inconvenient health services. Secondly, when a business or industry makes a location decision, it wants to ensure that the local labor force will be productive, and a key productivity factor is good health. Thus, investments in health care services can be expected to yield dividends in the form of increased labor productivity. The third factor that business and industry consider in location decisions is cost of health care services. A 1990 site selection survey concluded that corporations looked carefully at health care costs, and sites that provided health care services at a low cost sometimes received priority. In fact, 17 percent of the respondents indicated that their companies used health care costs as a tie-breaking factor between comparable sites (Lyne, 1990).

Health Services and Retirees

A strong and convenient health care system is important to retirees, a special group of residents whose spending and purchasing can provide a significant source of income for the local economy. Many rural areas have environments (for example, moderate climate and outdoor activities) that enable them to attract and retain retirees. Retirees represent a substantial amount of spending, including the purchasing power associated with pensions, investments, Social Security, Medicare and other transfer payments. Additionally, middle and upper income retirees often have substantial net worth. Although the data are limited, several studies suggest health services may be a critical variable that influences the location decision of retirees. For example, one study found that four items were the best predictors of retirement locations: safety, recreational facilities, dwelling units, and health care. Another study found that nearly 60 percent of potential retirees said health services were in the “must have” category when considering a retirement community. Only protective services were mentioned more often than health services as a “must have” service.
Health Services and Job Growth

Job creation represents an important goal for most rural economic development programs. National employment in health care services increased 70 percent from 1990 to 2008. In rural areas, employment in health-related services often accounts for 10 to 15 percent of total employment. This reflects the fact that the hospital is often the second largest employer in a rural community (local government including schools typically being the largest employer).

Another important factor is the growth of the health sector. Health services, as a share of gross domestic product (GDP), has increased over time. In 1990, Americans spent $1.1 trillion on health care (2008$), which accounted for 12.3 percent of the GDP. In 2005, health care costs increased to $2.0 trillion, or 15.7 percent of the GDP. If current trends continue, projections indicate that Americans will spend 19.3 percent of GDP on health care by 2019. Capturing a share of this economic growth can only help a rural community.

Understanding Today’s Health Care Impacts and Tomorrow’s Health Care Needs

A strong health care system represents an important part of a community’s vitality and sustainability. Thus, a good understanding of the community’s health care system can help leaders and citizens fully appreciate the role and contributions of the health care system in maintaining community economic viability. In addition, a community should also examine the future health care needs of its residents in order to position itself so that it can respond to those needs. This report is designed to provide the kind of information that a community can use to understand its health care system and some possible indicators of current and future health care needs of its residents. The report begins with an examination of demographic, economic and health indicators and culminates with an illustration of the full economic impact of the health care sector in the county’s economy.
Smith County Demographic Data

Table 2 presents population trends for Smith County. In 2010, an estimated 3,887 people live in the county. Between 1990 and 2010, the population decreased 23.0 percent and also decreased 13.9 percent between 2000 and 2010. Population projections indicate that 3,858 people will live in the county by 2015. The state of Kansas population increased 8.5 percent between 1990 and 2000 and an additional 5.5 percent through 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent Change in Population</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>5,045</td>
<td>1990-2000 -10.5</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3,887</td>
<td>1990-2010 -23.0</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>3,818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Current Population, Population Change and Projections

U.S. Census Bureau; population projections from Woods and Poole Economics, Inc.

Figure 1. Population by Age and Gender

Figure 1 shows a breakdown of the population by age and by gender. Here, people aged 65 and older made up the largest portion of the population, with 27.0 percent. Of those 65 and older, 40.8 percent were male and 59.2 percent were female. Age range can indicate the future health care needs of a county’s population. A growing population of older adults has a different set of health care needs than a population with more young people.
Race can also play a role in assessing the health needs of the community. In the case of Hispanic immigrants, lack of English speaking skills may prevent them from using health care services within the county or from using health care services at all. Figure 2 shows the racial and ethnic composition of the county. Whites made up 98.3 percent of the county’s population, while Native Americans represented 0.2 percent, African Americans made up 0.2 percent, Asians were 0.2 percent and Hispanics were 1.1 percent of the population. In Kansas, whites make up 80.5 percent of the population, Native Americans represent one percent, African Americans 6.3 percent, Asians 2.5 percent and Hispanics 9.6 percent.

Figure 2. Population by Race (2010)

Woods and Poole Economics, Inc. Native American includes American Indians and Alaska Natives; Asian or Pacific Islander includes Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders; Hispanic population is persons of Hispanic origin regardless of race.

Economic Indicators

An important question for health care providers is how people will pay for services. In rural areas, the likelihood of poverty, lack of insurance and chronic health conditions increases. Additionally, rural areas tend to have higher numbers of elderly, for whom supplemental income becomes a proportionally larger source of income. Such supplemental income comes in the form of transfer payments such as Social Security and other retirement benefits, disability, medical payments like Medicare and Medicaid, unemployment insurance, and veterans’ benefits. The elderly, major consumers of health care services, receive much of this income, and a large portion of this assistance is available only to those who make the effort to apply. In order to maximize the income resources available in the county, one strategy is to ensure that every person receives all of the financial assistance from broader levels of government for which they are eligible.
Figure 3. Total Per Capita Personal Income (2008$)

Bureau of Economic Analysis; data are inflation adjusted to 2008 dollars.

Figure 3 shows the change in total per capita personal income, adjusted for inflation from 2005 through 2008. Per capita personal income has increased in Kansas and the United States. In Smith County, personal income has increased from $32,037 in 2005 to $38,511 in 2008.

Figure 4. Transfer Income as a Percent of Total Income (2008$)

Bureau of Economic Analysis; data are inflation adjusted to 2008.

Figure 4 shows how the relative proportion of transfer income to total income has changed during the same four years. In the U.S., transfer payments have increased as a percentage of total income by 6.6 percent, while transfer payments in Kansas have increased by 2.5 percent. In the county, the proportion of income stemming from transfer payments has decreased from 21.4 percent in 2005 to 20.1 in 2008.
Table 3 shows personal income data by source for Smith County, Kansas and the nation. Within the county, 41.1 percent of all earnings come from wages and salaries, compared to 69.4 percent in Kansas and 71.6 percent for the entire United States. Retirement and disability make up 46.2 percent of transfer payments in the county, with another 43.0 percent coming from medical payments. In Kansas, 39.0 percent of all transfers come from retirement and disability, while medical payments represent 42.2 percent. For the U.S., medical payments make up the largest portion of transfers at 44.0 percent.

Table 3. 2008 Personal Income Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>County Total</th>
<th>County Per Capita</th>
<th>County Percent</th>
<th>State Percent</th>
<th>U.S. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and Salaries</td>
<td>$35,944,000</td>
<td>$9,214</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Labor Income</td>
<td>$9,455,000</td>
<td>$2,424</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietor’s Income</td>
<td>$42,027,000</td>
<td>$10,773</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Earnings</td>
<td>$87,426,000</td>
<td>$22,411</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transfer Payments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement and Disability</td>
<td>$13,981,000</td>
<td>$3,584</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Payments</td>
<td>$12,993,000</td>
<td>$3,331</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$3,257,000</td>
<td>$835</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Transfer Payments</td>
<td>$30,231,000</td>
<td>$7,750</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings by Place of Residence</td>
<td>$86,913,000</td>
<td>$22,280</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends, Interest, and Rent</td>
<td>$31,200,000</td>
<td>$7,998</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Payments</td>
<td>$30,231,000</td>
<td>$7,750</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Personal Income</td>
<td>$148,344,000</td>
<td>$38,027</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bureau of Economic Analysis
Per capita estimates based on 2009 Woods and Poole Economics, Inc. estimates.
Due to rounding error, numbers may not sum to match total.
Health Indicators and Health Sector Statistics

The following health indicators and statistics provide information from which communities may infer several things about local health care needs. While some items provide an indication of need by type of service, other items suggest the amount and source of resources available to pay for health services. Health care planners can use this information to arrange for necessary services and anticipate the administrative requirements needed to support such services.

### Table 4. Health Services, Medicare, and Medicaid Funded Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>County Number</th>
<th>County Percent/Rate</th>
<th>State Percent/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds†</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions per bed†</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Care Homes (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number‡</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds‡</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Living Facilities (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number§</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds§</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare (2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibles†</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Funded Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Stamp Beneficiaries (2009)‡</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Assistance for Families (FY 2009)‡</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Rate per 1,000 population.
‡Number of beds per 1,000 people 65 years and older.
§Annual average number of original Medicare eligibles—individuals who are either currently or formerly entitled or enrolled in either part A or part B original Medicare.
‡ Percent of total 2007 estimated population.

Table 4 shows the availability of certain types of health services in Smith County as well as usage of some health care-related government programs. The county has 25 available hospital beds, with a rate of 4.2 admissions per bed per 1,000 people. Additionally, the county has 90 adult care home beds, or 88.8 beds per 1,000 older adults, and 0 assisted living beds. Medicare users make up 30.0 percent of the county’s total population and 4.4 percent of the county’s population receive food stamp benefits.
### Table 5. Maternity and Children’s Health Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County Number</th>
<th>County Percent/Rate</th>
<th>State Percent/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poverty (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Persons in Poverty¹</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in Poverty²</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Births³ (2008)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to Mothers without High-School Diploma⁴ (2007)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births with Adequate Prenatal Care³ (2008)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Weight Births⁵ (2007)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization⁶ (2007)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Mortality⁷ (2008)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Deaths⁸ (2008)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Subsidies⁹ (2008)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau; 2008 Kansas Kids Count Data Book, Kansas Department of Health and Environment

¹Percent of total population.
²Percent of children younger than 18 years in families below poverty level.
³Percent of live births to all mothers who received adequate or better prenatal care.
⁴Rate of live births per thousand females.
⁵Percent of live births in a calendar year.
⁶Percent of total kindergarteners who received all immunizations by age two.
⁷Number of infant deaths younger than one year per thousand live births.
⁸Number of deaths from all causes per 100,000 children ages 1-14.
⁹Average monthly number of children participating in the Kansas Child Care Assistance program.

Table 5 gives information which can indicate the situation for young children and mothers. Within the county, 18.7 percent of children live in poverty, while 14.6 percent of children statewide live in poverty. Births to school age mothers occurred at a rate of 15.2 births per thousand teenage females, while school age mothers gave birth at a rate of 18.2 births per thousand teens statewide. Low weight births occurred in 6.1 percent of all live births in the county, while statewide low weight births occurred in 7.1 percent of all live births.
The Economic Impact of the Health Care Sector
An Overview of the Smith County Economy, Highlighting Health Care

Table 6 presents employment, income and sales data for Smith County for 2008. Health care income and sales data were estimated using state average data. Data for all other economic sectors come from various government statistics and published data sources.

The table aggregates the economic sectors into broad categories, and the employment numbers indicate “average” jobs in each sector, including full- and part-time employment. Labor income represents local wages and proprietary income. Total income is the broadest measure of income generated within the local economy, and includes labor income plus dividend, interest, rents, corporate profits, etc.

Table 6. Direct Employment, Income and Sales by Economic Sector and Health Services Relative Shares Compared to the State and U.S., 2008 ($thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Labor Income</th>
<th>Total Income</th>
<th>Total Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>$8,629</td>
<td>$45,834</td>
<td>$106,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$2,729</td>
<td>$5,949</td>
<td>$10,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$2,062</td>
<td>$2,248</td>
<td>$8,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>$4,465</td>
<td>$4,939</td>
<td>$45,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation, Information, Public Utilities</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>$3,356</td>
<td>$4,493</td>
<td>$8,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>$10,311</td>
<td>$17,712</td>
<td>$27,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>$23,930</td>
<td>$40,497</td>
<td>$83,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Services¹</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>$7,595</td>
<td>$8,333</td>
<td>$17,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Personal Care Stores</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$478</td>
<td>$745</td>
<td>$1,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>$110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Services</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$486</td>
<td>$616</td>
<td>$846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and Dentists</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$268</td>
<td>$310</td>
<td>$520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ambulatory Health Care</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>$4,664</td>
<td>$4,898</td>
<td>$12,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$1,679</td>
<td>$1,740</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>$13,628</td>
<td>$15,668</td>
<td>$20,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,924</td>
<td>$69,110</td>
<td>$137,341</td>
<td>$309,943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health Services as a Percent of Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Nation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minnesota IMPLAN Group; Due to rounding error, numbers may not sum to match total.
1In some Kansas counties, various health services are consolidated within a single entity in the classification system shown here. In such cases, it may not be possible to break apart employment, income or sales information. If you have questions regarding the organization of health care services in your county, contact your local hospital administrator.
Health services are separated from the service and retail trade sectors but not double counted in the totals. The numbers for each sector include not only the professionals in the sector (the doctors, dentists, etc.) but also support staff (assistants, clerks, receptionists, etc.) employed by the business. In the health sector, the Health and Personal Care stores category includes pharmacies, while the Doctors and Dentists category includes chiropractors, optometrists, and other health care practitioners. Other Ambulatory Health Care Services includes services such as medical and diagnostic labs and outpatient care centers.

Health Services employs 284 people, 9.7 percent of all job holders in the county. Health Services for the state of Kansas employs 8.7 percent of all job holders, while 8.1 percent of all job holders in the United States work in Health Services. Health Services in the county has a number 5 ranking in terms of employment (Figure 5). Health Services is number 5 among payers of wages to employees (Figure 6) and number 5 in terms of total income (Figure 7). As with most rural areas, the health sector plays an important role in the economy.

**Figure 5. Employment by Sector (2008)**
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Minnesota IMPLAN Group
Figure 6. Labor Income by Sector (2008)
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Figure 7. Total Income by Sector (2008)
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Health Sector Impact and Economic Multipliers

The previous section detailed the direct contributions of the Health Services sector within the Smith County economy, but the full impact of the sector goes beyond the number of people employed and the wages they receive. The employment and income levels in the health sector have a significant impact on employment and income throughout other industries in the market area. This secondary impact or "ripple effect" comes from local businesses buying and selling to each other and from area workers spending their income for household goods and services; the ripple effect spreads the economic impact of the health sector throughout the community economy.

As dollars are spent locally, they are, in turn, re-spent for other goods and services. Some of these goods are produced locally while others are imports (the portion of the dollar spent on imports leaves the community as leakage). This spending and re-spending occurs over multiple rounds until it is finally exhausted.

Graphically, we can illustrate the round-by-round relationships modeled as shown in Figure 8. The direct effect of spending is shown in the far left-hand side of the figure (the first bar (a)). For simplification, the direct effects of a $1.00 change in the level of spending plus the indirect effects spillover into other sectors and create an additional 66 cents of activity. In this example, the multiplier is 1.66. A variety of multipliers can be calculated using these analysis techniques.

Figure 8. Multipliers and the round-by-round impacts
Tables 7 and 8 illustrate the ripple effect in the county. As an example, Table 7 shows that the hospital sector employs 147 people and has an employment multiplier of 1.26. This means that for each job created in the hospital sector, another 0.26 jobs are created in other businesses and industries in the county’s economy. The direct impact of the 147 hospital employees results in an indirect impact of 38 jobs (147 x 0.26 = 38) throughout all businesses and industries in the market area. Thus, the hospital sector employment had a total impact on area employment of 185 jobs (147 x 1.26 = 185).

Table 7. Health Sector Impact on Employment, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Sectors</th>
<th>Direct Employment</th>
<th>Economic Multiplier</th>
<th>Total Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Personal Care Stores</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Services</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and Dentists</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ambulatory Health Care</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing and Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Most data obtained from secondary sources; some data unavailable or extrapolated.
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Similarly, multiplier analysis can estimate the total impact of the estimated $4,898,000 direct income for hospital employees shown in Table 8. The hospital sector had an income multiplier of 1.18, which indicates that for every one dollar of income generated in the hospital sector, another $0.18 is generated in other businesses and industries in the county’s economy. Thus, the hospital sector had an estimated total impact on income throughout all businesses and industries of $5,782,000 ($4,898,000 x 1.18 = $5,782,000).

Table 8. Health Sector Impact on Income and Retail Sales, 2008 (thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Sectors</th>
<th>Direct Income</th>
<th>Economic Multiplier</th>
<th>Total Impact</th>
<th>Retail Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Personal Care Stores</td>
<td>$745</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>$841</td>
<td>$194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Services</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Services</td>
<td>$616</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>$681</td>
<td>$157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and Dentists</td>
<td>$310</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>$349</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ambulatory Health Care</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>$4,898</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>$5,782</td>
<td>$1,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>$1,740</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>$1,920</td>
<td>$442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$8,333</td>
<td>9.603</td>
<td>$2,211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Most data obtained from secondary sources; some data unavailable or extrapolated.
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In this manner, the total employment and income impacts of all the health services sectors can be estimated. In Table 7, the total employment impact of the health services sector results in an estimated 338 jobs in the local economy. In Table 8, the total income impact of health services results in an estimated $9,603,000 for the economy.

The last column in Table 8 shows the retail sales that the health sector helps to generate. To estimate this, this study incorporates a retail sales capture ratio (retail sales to total personal income). Smith County had retail sales of $34,158,926 and $148,344,000 in total personal income. Thus, the estimated retail sales capture ratio equals 23.0 percent. Using this as the retail sales capture ratio for the county, this says that people spent 23.0 percent of their income on retail goods and services within the market. By taking all the household income associated with health sector activities and multiplying by the retail sales capture ratio, we can estimate the impacts of the health sector on area retail sales. Thus, the total retail sales generated by the retail sector equals $2,211,000 ($9,603,000 x 23.0% = $2,211,000). This is a conservative estimate, as this method does not consider the impact of any local purchases made by the health services businesses.
Summary and Conclusions

The Health Services sector of Smith County, Kansas, plays a large role in the area’s economy. Health Services represents one of the largest employers in the area and also serves as one of the largest contributors to income. Additionally, the health sector has indirect impacts on the local economy, creating additional jobs and income in other sectors. The health sector also contributes substantially to retail sales in the region. All of this demonstrates the importance of the health care sector to the local economy.

While the estimates of economic impact are themselves substantial, they are only a partial accounting of the benefits to the county. Health care industries in rural counties help to preserve the population base, invigorating the communities and school systems. Similarly, many hospitals and nursing care facilities have active community outreach programs that enhance community services and the quality of life for community residents.

A vigorous and sustainable health care system is essential not only for the health and welfare of community residents, but to enhance economic opportunity as well. Health-related sectors are among the fastest growing in economy. Given demographic trends, this growth is likely to continue. The attraction and retention of new business and retirees also depends on access to adequate health care services.

While industry trends related to health care are positive overall, many rural communities have significant challenges. The economics of health care are rapidly changing. As health care costs escalate and government funding becomes tighter, rural markets may become less attractive to many providers. This will lead to the continued restructuring of rural health care services in many areas.

If a community wants to maintain the benefits associated with accessible and affordable health care, it must actively work to meet these challenges. The challenges cannot be met by those directly responsible for health care administration alone. They require a community-wide response involving government, business and civic leaders, and they frequently incorporate outside assistance from professional resources providers, such as the Kansas Hospital Association, the Office of Local and Rural Health, the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, and others.

In meeting current and future challenges, health care and community leaders can engage in an ongoing process of strategic health planning. This is continuous effort to maintain and enhance the community’s health care situation. The strategic health planning process helps local communities identify their health care needs; examine the social, economic, and political realities affecting the local delivery of health care; determine what is wanted and what realistically can be achieved to meet their identified health care needs; and develop and mobilize an action plan based on their analysis and planning.
Strategic health planning involves cooperation among people and organizations to pursue common goals. The process is designed to answer three questions:

(1) Where is the community now?
(2) Where does the community want to go?
(3) How will the community get there?

For the strategic health planning process to be most effective, it must be based in the community and driven by the community. Local residents and their leaders must participate; a current knowledge of the health care industry is not necessary. This process is about local people solving local problems. The local hospital and health care providers should have input into the decision-making and should support and trust the outcomes, but, the community must provide the energy and commitment.
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Glossary of Terms

**Doctors and Dentists Sector:** includes physicians, dentists, chiropractors, optometrists, other health care professionals, and all support staff employed by these professionals.

**Employment:** annual average number of full and part-time jobs, including self-employed for a given economic sector.

**Employment Economic Multiplier:** indicates the total jobs in the economy closely tied, in this case, to one job in the health sector.

**Employee Compensation:** total payroll (wages, salaries and certain benefits) paid by local employers.

**Government Sector:** includes all federal, state and local government enterprises; federal, state and local electric utilities; state and local government passenger transit; state and local government education and non-education; and federal military and non-military.

**Gross Domestic Product (GDP):** the total value of output of goods and services produced by labor and capital investment in the United States.

**Health and Personal Care Stores:** pharmacies.

**Income Economic Multiplier:** indicates total income generated in the economy due to one dollar of income, in this case, in the health sector.

**Indirect Business Taxes:** sales, excise fees, licenses and other taxes paid during normal operation. All payments to the government except for income taxes.

**Multipliers:** Its calculation is based on the structure of the local economy. All of the buying and selling relationships between businesses and consumers are charted in an economic transactions table. When a dollar is spent in one area of the economy, all of the economic interconnections are stimulated as the effect “ripples” to other areas of the economy. The effect is caused by businesses buying and selling goods or services to each other and by local labor who use their income to purchase household goods and services. Over successive rounds of spending and re-spending, the effect of the original dollar is multiplied to some new, larger level of activity. Eventually, the economic “leakages” associated with the purchase of imported goods and non-local taxes and investments causes the ripple effect to finally run out. Multipliers are derived through algebraic calculations of the economic transactions table of the local economy.

**Other Ambulatory Health Care Services:** medical and diagnostic labs and other outpatient care services and all of their employees.

**Other Property Income:** corporate income, rental income, interest and corporate transfer payments.
**Proprietor Income**: income from self-employment (farmers and business proprietors, for example).

**Personal Income**: income received by individuals from all sources (employment, Social Security, et cetera).

**Total Income**: employee compensation plus proprietor income plus other property income plus indirect business taxes.

**Total Sales**: total industry production for a given year (industry output).
Demographic, Economic and Health Indicator Data

Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Background Data Summary

Following are a variety of data and statistics about background demographic, economic and health conditions in Smith County that may have implications related to local health care needs. Most of the data only is available at a county scale and reflects the Smith County boundaries.

- Between 1990 and 2010, the population decreased 23.0 percent and also decreased 13.9 percent between 2000 and 2010.

- People aged 65 and older made up the largest portion of the population, with 27.0 percent.

- In general, the county has less per capita personal income that the state and nation, and is more dependent on transfer income such Social Security and other retirement benefits, disability, medical payments like Medicare and Medicaid, unemployment insurance, and veterans’ benefits.

- Medicare users make up 30.0 percent of the county’s total population and 4.4 percent of the county’s population receive food stamp benefits.

- Within the county, 18.7 percent of children live in poverty, while 14.6 percent of children statewide live in poverty.
Table 1 presents population trends for Smith County. In 2010, an estimated 3,887 people live in the county. Between 1990 and 2010, the population decreased 23.0 percent and also decreased 13.9 percent between 2000 and 2010. Population projections indicate that 3,858 people will live in the county by 2015. The state of Kansas population increased 8.5 percent between 1990 and 2000 and an additional 5.5 percent through 2010.

Table 1. Current Population, Population Change and Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Population</th>
<th>Percent Change in Population</th>
<th>Population Projections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>5,045</td>
<td>1990-2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4,515</td>
<td>2000-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3,887</td>
<td>1990-2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau; population projections from Woods & Poole Economics, Inc.

Figure 1 shows a breakdown of the population by age and by gender. Here, people aged 65 and older made up the largest portion of the population, with 27 percent. Of those aged 65 and older, 40.8 percent were male and 59.2 percent were female. Age range can indicate the future health care needs of a county’s population. A growing population of older adults has a different set of health care needs than a population with more young people.
Race can also play a role in assessing the health needs of the community. In the case of Hispanic immigrants, lack of English speaking skills may prevent them from using health care services within the county or from using health care services at all. Figure 2 shows the racial and ethnic composition of the county. Whites made up 98.3 percent of the county’s population, while Native Americans represented 0.2 percent, African Americans made up 0.2 percent, Asians were 0.2 percent and Hispanics were 1.1 percent of the population. In Kansas, whites make up 80.5 percent of the population, Native Americans represent one percent, African Americans 6.3 percent, Asians 2.5 percent and Hispanics 9.6 percent.

Woods and Poole Economics, Inc. Native American includes American Indians and Alaska Natives; Asian or Pacific Islander includes Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, Pacific Islanders; Hispanic population is persons of Hispanic origin regardless of race.

**Economic Indicators**

An important question for health care providers is how people will pay for services. In rural areas, the likelihood of poverty, lack of insurance and chronic health conditions increases. Additionally, rural areas tend to have higher numbers of elderly, for whom supplemental income becomes a proportionally larger source of income. Such supplemental income comes in the form of transfer payments such as Social Security and other retirement benefits, disability, medical payments like Medicare and Medicaid, unemployment insurance, and veterans’ benefits. The elderly, major consumers of health care services, receive much of this income, and a large portion of this assistance is available only to those who make the effort to apply. In order to maximize the income resources available in the county, one strategy is to ensure that every person receives all of the financial assistance from broader levels of government for which they are eligible.
Figure 3 shows the change in total per capita personal income, adjusted for inflation from 2005 through 2008. Per capita personal income has increased in Kansas and the United States. In Smith County, personal income has increased from $32,037 in 2005 to $38,511 in 2008.
Figure 4 shows how the relative proportion of transfer income to total income has changed during the same four years. In the U.S., transfer payments have increased as a percentage of total income by 6.6 percent, while transfer payments in Kansas have increased by 2.5 percent. In the county, the proportion of income stemming from transfer payments has decreased from 21.4 percent in 2005 to 20.1 in 2008.

Table 2 shows personal income data by source for Smith County, Kansas and the nation. Within the county, 41.1 percent of all earnings come from wages and salaries, compared to 69.4 percent in Kansas and 71.6 percent for the entire United States. Retirement and disability make up 46.2 percent of transfer payments in the county, with another 43.0 percent coming from medical payments. In Kansas, 39.0 percent of all transfers come from retirement and disability, while medical payments represent 42.2 percent. For the U.S., medical payments make up the largest portion of transfers at 44.0 percent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>County Total</th>
<th>County Per Capita</th>
<th>County Percent</th>
<th>State Percent</th>
<th>U.S. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and Salaries</td>
<td>$35,944,000</td>
<td>$9,214</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Labor Income</td>
<td>$9,455,000</td>
<td>$2,424</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietor’s Income</td>
<td>$42,027,000</td>
<td>$10,773</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Earnings</td>
<td>$87,426,000</td>
<td>$22,411</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transfer Payments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement and Disability</td>
<td>$13,981,000</td>
<td>$3,584</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Payments</td>
<td>$12,993,000</td>
<td>$3,331</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$3,257,000</td>
<td>$835</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Transfer Payments</td>
<td>$30,231,000</td>
<td>$7,750</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings by Place of Residence</td>
<td>$86,913,000</td>
<td>$22,280</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends, Interest, and Rent</td>
<td>$31,200,000</td>
<td>$7,998</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Payments</td>
<td>$30,231,000</td>
<td>$7,750</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Personal Income</td>
<td>$148,344,000</td>
<td>$38,027</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per capita estimates based on 2009 Woods and Poole Economics, Inc. estimates.
Due to rounding error, numbers may not sum to match total.
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Health Indicators and Health Sector Statistics

The following health indicators and statistics provide information from which communities may infer several things about local health care needs. While some items provide an indication of need by type of service, other items suggest the amount and source of resources available to pay for health services. Health care planners can use this information to arrange for necessary services and anticipate the administrative requirements needed to support such services.

Table 3. Health Services, Medicare, and Medicaid Funded Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County Number</th>
<th>County Percent/Rate</th>
<th>State Percent/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitals (2009)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds¹</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions per bed¹</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Care Homes (2009)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number²</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds²</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assisted Living Facilities (2009)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of beds²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicare (2007)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibles³,⁴</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicaid Funded Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Stamp Beneficiaries (2009)⁴</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Assistance for Families (FY 2009)⁴</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kansas Hospital Association; Kansas Department on Aging; Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitative Services; Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services

¹Rate per 1,000 population.
²Number of beds per 1,000 people 65 years and older.
³Annual average number of original Medicare eligibles—individuals who are either currently or formerly entitled or enrolled in either part A or part B original Medicare.
⁴Percent of total 2007 estimated population.

Table 3 shows the availability of certain types of health services in Smith County as well as usage of some health care-related government programs. The county has 25 available hospital beds, with a rate of 4.2 admissions per bed per 1,000 people. Additionally, the county has 90 adult care home beds, or 88.8 beds per 1,000 older adults, and 0 assisted living beds. Medicare users make up 30.0 percent of the county’s total population and 4.4 percent of the county’s population receive food stamp benefits.
Table 4. Maternity and Children's Health Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County Number</th>
<th>County Percent/Rate</th>
<th>State Percent/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poverty (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Persons in Poverty¹</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in Poverty²</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Births³ (2008)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to Mothers without High-School Diploma⁴ (2007)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births with Adequate Prenatal Care³ (2008)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Weight Births⁵ (2007)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization⁶ (2007)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Mortality⁷ (2008)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Deaths⁸ (2008)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Subsidies⁹ (2008)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau; 2008 Kansas Kids Count Data Book, Kansas Department of Health and Environment

¹ Percent of total population.
² Percent of children younger than 18 years in families below poverty level.
³ Percent of live births to all mothers who received adequate or better prenatal care.
⁴ Rate of live births per thousand females.
⁵ Percent of live births in a calendar year.
⁶ Percent of total kindergarteners who received all immunizations by age two.
⁷ Number of infant deaths younger than one year per thousand live births.
⁸ Number of deaths from all causes per 100,000 children ages 1-14.
⁹ Average monthly number of children participating in the Kansas ChildCare Assistance program.

Table 4 gives information which can indicate the situation for young children and mothers. Within the county, 18.7 percent of children live in poverty, while 14.6 percent of children statewide live in poverty. Births to mothers without a high-school diploma occurred at a rate of 15.2 births per thousand teenage females, while mothers without a high-school diploma gave birth at a rate of 18.2 births per thousand teens statewide. Low weight births occurred in 6.1 percent of all live births in the county, while statewide low weight births occurred in 7.1 percent of all live births.

This information was prepared by the Office of Local Government, K-State Research and Extension. For questions or other information, call 785-532-2643.
Economic & Demographic Data

Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Economic Data Summary

Following are data and statistics about the economic and demographic characteristics of Smith County that may have implications related to local health care needs. Some of the data only is available at a county scale and reflects the Smith County boundaries.

- Continuing a long-term trend, the total population of Smith County has declined since 2000.
- The female population 65 to 85 years is growing fastest among the elderly group.
- Almost 17% of households live on less than $15,000 income per year.
- Transfer income to persons is a fast growing sources of income. In 2012, over $28 million in transfer income was paid to county residents, about 18% of total personal income.
- Within transfer income, government assistance such as medical benefits, income maintenance, and veterans pension and disability benefits are growing most strongly.
- The county poverty rate increased according to the most recent available data. The unemployment rate has consistently remained below the state rate.
Typical of many rural counties in Kansas, county population has been in long-term decline. The trend is expected to continue into the near-term future. The implications of this trend are that there are fewer people to make up local economic markets, fewer people to support local public services, and a thinner local labor market. All of these create greater challenges for businesses, local governments and communities.

The proportion of the population 65 years and older is among the fastest growing demographic groups even as the overall population declines. When looking at the population by sex and age, it is noticed that women are commonly outliving men. The implications of these trends are several: without a source of renewal from economic growth, the community will increasingly rely on an elderly, fixed income population base to support local services. Further, the proportion of the population with special health care needs, especially community and home health care assistance, will increase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Percent of Aging Population in the Smith Center Health Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ Years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+ Years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+ Years old</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012
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Figure 2. Estimated Percent of Aging Population in the Smith Center Health Area
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Figure 3. Smith Center Health Area Population by Sex and Age, 2012
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The racial composition of Smith County is somewhat less homogenous than many rural Kansas counties. Whites make up almost 97 percent of the population. One hundred and twenty-eight persons in Smith County identify themselves as non-white. It’s not uncommon for non-whites to have specific health care needs that are very different than the white population. As is the case almost everywhere, the Hispanic and Latino population is increasing.

### Table 2. 2012 Estimated Population by Single Race Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race Classification</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>3,642</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,770</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012

### Table 3. 2012 Estimated Population Hispanic or Latino by Origin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>3,710</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,770</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012

### Table 4. Smith Center Health Area Hispanic and Latino Population Projection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>4,536</td>
<td>3,770</td>
<td>3,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic and Latino Population</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Population</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012
A relatively large proportion of the population 15 years and older is unmarried. More than 63 percent of the adult population reported living as a married individual with a spouse present. Conversely, 24 percent reported no longer being married or their spouse was absent. Seventeen percent are widowed. Many of these individuals probably live in some other cohabitation arrangement. Still, it raises a question about the number of people living alone. Within the context of community health care needs, people living alone face sometimes tremendous challenges should illness arise or injury occur. Most often, there are only informal support structures in place to assist such individuals in times of need.

### Table 5. 2012 Estimated Population Age 15+ by Marital Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total, Never Married</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married, Spouse present</td>
<td>2,029</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married, Spouse absent</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, Never Married</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously Married</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, Never Married</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously Married</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012

### Table 6. 2012 Estimated Population Age 25+ by Educational Attainment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational Attainment</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 9th grade</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some High School, no diploma</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduate (or GED)</td>
<td>1,148</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, no degree</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Degree</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master's Degree</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional School Degree</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate Degree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012
The income and wealth resources of many Smith County residents are relatively modest. Almost 32 percent of households report an annual income of less than $25,000, and more than half of that group lives on less than $15,000 per year. As represented by housing values, the wealth resources of many individuals and households also is relatively modest. About 34 percent of the housing stock is valued at less than $40,000. The implications of such income and wealth characteristics in the context of increasing longevity and rising health care costs raises questions as to whether all who need it can afford health insurance and health care services.

Table 7. 2012 Estimated Households by Household Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income Less than $15,000</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $15,000 - $24,999</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $25,000 - $34,999</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $35,000 - $49,999</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $50,000 - $74,999</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $75,000 - $99,999</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $100,000 - $149,999</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $150,000 - $199,999</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $200,000 - $499,999</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income $500,000 or more</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Households</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Average Household Income $43,449
Estimated Median Household Income $34,783
Estimated Per Capita Income $20,040

Claritas, Inc., 2012
Table 8. 2012 Estimated All Owner-Occupied Housing Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value Less than $20,000</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $20,000 - $39,999</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $40,000 - $59,999</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $60,000 - $79,999</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $80,000 - $99,999</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $100,000 - $149,999</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $150,000 - $199,999</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $200,000 - $299,999</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $300,000 - $399,999</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $400,000 - $499,999</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $500,000 - $749,999</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $750,000 - $999,999</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value $1,000,000 or more</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,448</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Claritas, Inc., 2012

---

Figure 4. Per Capita Income (2005$), 2002-2012

Woods and Poole, Inc., 2012
As with most rural areas, Smith County is relatively more dependent on transfer income, such as retirement and disability insurance benefits, medical benefits, and income maintenance. That dependence is decreasing over time. These financial resources can be of enormous importance to those who receive them. From an economic perspective, these payments help support the local economy. Every person legitimately entitled to receive them, should have access to this assistance.
Table 9. Smith County Personal Income by Major Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Earnings (Millions 2005$)</td>
<td>$52.65</td>
<td>$71.45</td>
<td>$63.51</td>
<td>$68.78</td>
<td>$69.92</td>
<td>$68.83</td>
<td>$76.04</td>
<td>$80.87</td>
<td>$90.48</td>
<td>$93.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm Earnings</td>
<td>$1.94</td>
<td>$18.36</td>
<td>$10.58</td>
<td>$16.65</td>
<td>$18.88</td>
<td>$19.17</td>
<td>$31.46</td>
<td>$27.20</td>
<td>$31.02</td>
<td>$41.98</td>
<td>$44.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Services, Other</td>
<td>$0.03</td>
<td>$0.39</td>
<td>$0.46</td>
<td>$0.38</td>
<td>$0.46</td>
<td>$0.55</td>
<td>$0.78</td>
<td>$0.82</td>
<td>$0.82</td>
<td>$0.71</td>
<td>$0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$0.03</td>
<td>$0.03</td>
<td>$0.04</td>
<td>$0.03</td>
<td>$0.04</td>
<td>$0.04</td>
<td>$0.08</td>
<td>$0.07</td>
<td>$0.08</td>
<td>$0.07</td>
<td>$0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$2.16</td>
<td>$2.59</td>
<td>$2.54</td>
<td>$3.19</td>
<td>$3.14</td>
<td>$2.62</td>
<td>$2.14</td>
<td>$1.86</td>
<td>$1.79</td>
<td>$1.59</td>
<td>$2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>$7.53</td>
<td>$8.86</td>
<td>$8.13</td>
<td>$6.89</td>
<td>$6.13</td>
<td>$6.01</td>
<td>$4.29</td>
<td>$3.14</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$2.85</td>
<td>$2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, Comm. &amp; Public Utility</td>
<td>$3.37</td>
<td>$3.80</td>
<td>$4.08</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>$4.35</td>
<td>$4.21</td>
<td>$2.88</td>
<td>$2.92</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$3.16</td>
<td>$3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>$4.07</td>
<td>$3.93</td>
<td>$3.79</td>
<td>$3.89</td>
<td>$3.40</td>
<td>$3.75</td>
<td>$4.65</td>
<td>$5.21</td>
<td>$5.84</td>
<td>$6.12</td>
<td>$5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>$6.36</td>
<td>$6.74</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td>$6.87</td>
<td>$6.33</td>
<td>$5.91</td>
<td>$5.69</td>
<td>$5.34</td>
<td>$5.22</td>
<td>$4.86</td>
<td>$4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, Insurance &amp; Real Estate</td>
<td>$3.21</td>
<td>$3.18</td>
<td>$3.11</td>
<td>$2.40</td>
<td>$3.46</td>
<td>$2.45</td>
<td>$4.31</td>
<td>$3.83</td>
<td>$3.63</td>
<td>$3.37</td>
<td>$3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>$4.83</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$4.62</td>
<td>$4.66</td>
<td>$5.01</td>
<td>$4.99</td>
<td>$4.83</td>
<td>$4.99</td>
<td>$5.13</td>
<td>$5.08</td>
<td>$5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Civilian Government</td>
<td>$2.17</td>
<td>$2.22</td>
<td>$2.26</td>
<td>$2.23</td>
<td>$2.22</td>
<td>$2.28</td>
<td>$2.24</td>
<td>$2.44</td>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$2.25</td>
<td>$2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Military Government</td>
<td>$0.46</td>
<td>$0.63</td>
<td>$0.64</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td>$0.70</td>
<td>$0.66</td>
<td>$0.65</td>
<td>$0.70</td>
<td>$0.76</td>
<td>$0.74</td>
<td>$0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income (Millions 2005$)</td>
<td>$111.12</td>
<td>$125.12</td>
<td>$114.21</td>
<td>$119.94</td>
<td>$125.19</td>
<td>$129.07</td>
<td>$144.07</td>
<td>$140.25</td>
<td>$145.22</td>
<td>$155.62</td>
<td>$155.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and Salaries</td>
<td>$35.36</td>
<td>$34.61</td>
<td>$35.43</td>
<td>$34.19</td>
<td>$33.57</td>
<td>$33.87</td>
<td>$33.44</td>
<td>$33.52</td>
<td>$34.02</td>
<td>$33.71</td>
<td>$44.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Labor Income</td>
<td>$8.89</td>
<td>$10.58</td>
<td>$9.73</td>
<td>$9.19</td>
<td>$8.87</td>
<td>$8.78</td>
<td>$9.23</td>
<td>$9.56</td>
<td>$9.48</td>
<td>$12.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietors Income</td>
<td>$8.39</td>
<td>$26.26</td>
<td>$18.36</td>
<td>$25.41</td>
<td>$27.48</td>
<td>$26.18</td>
<td>$38.05</td>
<td>$33.29</td>
<td>$37.29</td>
<td>$47.29</td>
<td>$36.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends, Interest &amp; Rent</td>
<td>$34.04</td>
<td>$29.56</td>
<td>$26.88</td>
<td>$26.88</td>
<td>$28.83</td>
<td>$33.72</td>
<td>$36.49</td>
<td>$34.85</td>
<td>$35.84</td>
<td>$37.67</td>
<td>$35.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Payments To Persons</td>
<td>$25.90</td>
<td>$25.76</td>
<td>$25.27</td>
<td>$25.65</td>
<td>$27.36</td>
<td>$27.31</td>
<td>$27.71</td>
<td>$29.34</td>
<td>$29.08</td>
<td>$28.09</td>
<td>$28.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Adjustment</td>
<td>$4.68</td>
<td>$4.53</td>
<td>$4.83</td>
<td>$4.95</td>
<td>$5.34</td>
<td>$5.51</td>
<td>$5.93</td>
<td>$6.32</td>
<td>$5.75</td>
<td>$5.23</td>
<td>$6.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Historical employment, earnings, and income data 1969-2002, and total population data 1969-2003, are from the U.S. Dept of Commerce (USDoC); employment and earnings data by private non-farm SIC industry for 2001 and 2002 are estimated from private non-farm NAICA industry data.
### Table 10. Personal Current Transfer Receipts for Smith County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(thousands of dollars)</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal current transfer receipts ($000)</td>
<td>29,973</td>
<td>32,216</td>
<td>32,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current transfer receipts of individuals from governments</td>
<td>29,255</td>
<td>31,476</td>
<td>32,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement and disability insurance benefits</td>
<td>13,956</td>
<td>14,792</td>
<td>15,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old-age, survivors, and disability insurance (OASDI) benefits</td>
<td>13,699</td>
<td>14,526</td>
<td>14,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad retirement and disability benefits</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers’ compensation</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other government retirement and disability insurance benefits</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical benefits</td>
<td>12,429</td>
<td>12,945</td>
<td>13,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare benefits</td>
<td>9,309</td>
<td>9,861</td>
<td>10,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public assistance medical care benefits</td>
<td>3,044</td>
<td>3,009</td>
<td>2,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>2,818</td>
<td>2,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical care benefits</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military medical insurance benefits</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income maintenance benefits</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>1,638</td>
<td>1,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental security income (SSI) benefits</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family assistance</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income maintenance benefits</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>1,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment insurance compensation</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State unemployment insurance compensation</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment compensation for Fed. civilian employees (UCFE)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment compensation for railroad employees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment compensation for veterans (UCX)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unemployment compensation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans benefits</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans pension and disability benefits</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans readjustment benefits</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans life insurance benefits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assistance to veterans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and training assistance</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other transfer receipts of individuals from governments</td>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current transfer receipts of nonprofit institutions</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from the Federal government</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from state and local governments</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from businesses</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current transfer receipts of individuals from businesses</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2012
Notes for Table 10:
1. Consists largely of temporary disability payments and black lung payments.
2. Consists of medicaid and other medical vendor payments.
3. Consists of payments made under the TriCare Management Program (formerly called CHAMPUS) for the medical care of dependents of active duty military personnel and of retired military personnel and their dependents at nonmilitary medical facilities.
4. Through 1995, consists of emergency assistance and aid to families with dependent children. For 1998 forward, consists of benefits-- generally known as temporary assistance for needy families-- provided under the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. For 1996-97, consists of payments under all three of these programs.
5. Consists largely of general assistance, refugee assistance, foster home care and adoption assistance, earned income tax credits, and energy assistance.
6. Consists of trade readjustment allowance payments, Redwood Park benefit payments, public service employment benefit payments, and transitional benefit payments.
7. Consists largely of veterans readjustment benefit payments, educational assistance to spouses and children of disabled or deceased veterans, payments to paraplegics, and payments for autos and conveyances for disabled veterans.
8. Consists of State and local government payments to veterans.
9. Consists largely of federal fellowship payments (National Science Foundation fellowships and traineeships, subsistence payments to State maritime academy cadets, and other federal fellowships), interest subsidy on higher education loans, basic educational opportunity grants, and Job Corps payments.
11. Consists of State and local government educational assistance payments to nonprofit institutions, and other State and local government payments to nonprofit institutions.
12. Consists largely of personal injury payments to individuals other than employees and other business transfer payments.
• All state and local area dollar estimates are in current dollars (not adjusted for inflation).
(L) Less than $50,000, but the estimates for this item are included in the totals.
### Table 11. Employment by Major Industry for Smith County

(Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Employment</td>
<td>2.626</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.543</td>
<td>2.464</td>
<td>2.434</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.393</td>
<td>2.381</td>
<td>2.395</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>2.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm Employment</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Services, Other</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, Comm. &amp; Public Utility</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, Insurance &amp; Real Estate</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Civilian Government</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Military Government</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Local Government</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Woods and Poole, Inc., 2012

Note: Employment in number of jobs includes proprietors and part-time jobs.
As with most rural areas, the way people in Smith County earn a living is changing. While employment in traditional industries such as agriculture, construction and manufacturing has decreased slightly between 2002 and 2012, a great proportion of people are earning a living working in service industries. Perhaps consistent with the overall population decline, employment in government also declined modestly. Smith County has been above the state average in terms of the percentage of population living in poverty.

**Figure 6. Unemployment Rate for Smith County and Kansas, 2002-2011**

![Graph showing unemployment rates for Smith County and Kansas, 2002-2011](image)

Kansas Department of Labor, 2011

**Figure 7. Percent of People in Poverty in Smith County and Kansas, 2001-2010**

![Graph showing percentage of people in poverty for Smith County and Kansas, 2001-2010](image)

U.S. Census Bureau, 2010

This information was prepared by the Office of Local Government, K-State Research and Extension. For questions or other information, call 785-532-2643.
Health and Behavioral Data

Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Health and Behavioral Data Summary

Following are a variety of data and statistics about health and behavioral characteristics in Smith County that may have implications for local health care needs. The data is reported by county.

- Over time, occupancy has decreased over time, and the average number of nursing beds has fluctuated.

- Considering children's welfare, the rates of immunization and adequate prenatal care are generally good and improving.

- About 10%-12% of adolescents use tobacco and binge drink.

- Data related to persons served by selected publicly-funded services suggest a number of individuals and families in the county are experiencing economic distress and are in need of economic assistance.

- In the recent past, outpatient visits in the Smith Medical Hospital, have fluctuated greatly.

Source: Claritas, Inc. 2012
Smith County Rural Health Works

The number of nursing home beds combines all licensed nursing home beds in Smith County. Over time, occupancy has decreased over time, and the average number of nursing beds has fluctuated.

Table 1. Average Smith County Occupancy of Nursing Home Beds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Number of Nursing Beds</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Nursing Occupancy Rate</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kansas Department on Aging, semi-annual reports
Kansas Hospital Association STAT Report, 2009

![Average Bed Occupancy Rate in Nursing Facilities](chart.png)
Considering available indicators of children’s welfare, a relatively small population base can lead to large percentage changes that must be interpreted cautiously. The most recent available data suggest rates of immunization and adequate prenatal care are generally good. The rates of youth tobacco use and binge drinking in Smith County are improving. Asthma among youth has been somewhat problematic.

Table 2. Indicators of Children’s Welfare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Indicators</th>
<th>Smith 2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immunizations</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Care</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
<td>91.2%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Birth Weight Babies</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen Violent Deaths (per 100,000 15-19 year-olds)</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>377.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Tobacco Use</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Binge Drinking</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma (per 1,000)</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health (per 1,000)</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 contains information about persons served by state and federally-funded services. Across the service categories reported, some appear to have improved slightly, while others have worsened. Still, when taken together, the numbers suggest a fairly high proportion of the local population experiencing economic distress. In particular, the need for food and energy assistance has increased recently.
Table 3. Persons Served by Selected Public Assistance Programs in Smith County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Services</th>
<th>Persons Served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Assistance for Families</td>
<td>Avg. monthly persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF Employment Services</td>
<td>Avg. monthly adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Assistance</td>
<td>Avg. monthly children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Assistance</td>
<td>Avg. monthly persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Assistance</td>
<td>Annual persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assistance</td>
<td>Avg. monthly persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Rehabilitation Services</td>
<td>Avg. monthly persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Preservation</td>
<td>Annual persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reintegration/Foster Care</td>
<td>Avg. monthly children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption Support</td>
<td>Avg. monthly children</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Home and Community Based Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual consumers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Disability</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disability</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Managed Behavioral Health Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual consumers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse (PIHP)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health (PAHP)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Institutional Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average daily census</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Care Facility (ICF-MR)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Hospital - Developmental Disability</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Hospital - Mental Health</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Facility - Mental Health</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services, 2010

In considering the selected vital statistics in Table 4, among those that stand out are that about 10 percent of newborns received inadequate prenatal care and 10 percent more received an intermediate level of prenatal care. Even a single teenage pregnancy sets a young person on a difficult life path. And, about half of all marriages end in dissolution.

In the recent past, outpatient visits in the Smith Medical Hospital (Table 5), have fluctuated. Medicare recipients appear to be an important component of the patient base.
### Table 4. Selected Vital Statistics for Smith County, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Live Births by Age-Group of Mother</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adequacy of Prenatal Care by Number and Percentage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate Plus</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Out-of-Wedlock Births by Age</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teenage Pregnancies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14 yrs.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19 yrs.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deaths by Age Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 &amp; Over</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriages by Number and Rate per 1,000 Population</strong></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriages Dissolutions by Number and Rate per 1,000 Population</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kansas Department of Health and Environment, 2010
### Table 5. Hospital Data for Smith Center and Smith County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Practicing Physicians (county)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons per Physician (county)</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smith Center Medical Hospital</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Acute Beds</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Swing Beds</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffed Beds-Hospital</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffed Beds-Nursing Home Unit</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions-Hospital</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions-Nursing Home Unit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions-Swing Beds</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Days - Hospital</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Days - Nursing Home Unit</td>
<td>9,977</td>
<td>8,424</td>
<td>8,424</td>
<td>7,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Days - Swing-beds</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Room Visits</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>1,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Visits</td>
<td>28,396</td>
<td>12,299</td>
<td>26,822</td>
<td>26,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient Surgical Operations</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Surgical Operations</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Inpatient Discharges</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Inpatient Days</td>
<td>1,276</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>1,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Inpatient Discharges</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Inpatient Days</td>
<td>3,068</td>
<td>3,024</td>
<td>3,018</td>
<td>3,308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kansas Statistical Abstract, 2010

This information was prepared by the Office of Local Government, K-State Research and Extension. For questions or other information, call 785-532-2643.
Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Education Data Summary

Following are a variety of data and statistics about the K-12 school system in Smith County that may have implications related to local health care needs. The data in this case reflects information reported by the school districts located in Smith County.

- Total student enrollment in Smith County K-12 school districts has steadily declined since 2000.
- The ratio of about 10 students per teacher permits fairly close attention for each of the students.
- The trend in the student dropout rate has increased slightly in Smith County over the past decade.
- The trend in student-on-student violence has been decreasing over time. Student-on-faculty violence occurs only sporadically.

Smith County Primary Health Market Area

ZIP codes within the Smith County Health Market Area.

Source: Claritas, Inc. 2012.
Total student enrollment in Smith County K-12 school districts has steadily declined since 2000. Enrollment was 571 in the 2011-2012 school year, down from 778 in 2000-2001.

As the student population has declined, the student-to-teacher ratio also has declined. This generally means that as the school-age population has declined, the district has retained staffing. The ratio of about 10 students per teacher permits fairly close attention for each of the students.
The trend in the student dropout rate has generally been slowly increasing in Smith County over the past decade. There was a drastic change in dropout rates from the 2005-2006 school year to the 2006-2007 school year, with an increased rate of dropouts to 11.1.
Violence in the school is extremely disruptive to learning. Student-on-student violence has been inconsistent, with a slight decreasing trend over time. Student-on-faculty violence has been inconsistent, but has an overall upward trend.

Figure 4. Incidents of Student-on-Student Violence

Kansas Department of Education, 2012

Figure 5. Incidents of Student-on-Faculty Violence

Kansas Department of Education, 2012

Prepared by the Office of Local Government, K-State Research and Extension. For questions or other information, call 785-532-2643.
Crime Data

Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Crime Data Summary

Following are a variety of data and statistics about criminal activity in Smith County that may have implications related to local health care needs. Most of the data only is available at a county scale and reflects the Smith County boundaries.

- The incidence of crime in Smith County is somewhat indeterminant due to incomplete reporting to the Kansas Bureau of Investigation.

- The number of full-time law enforcement officials per 1,000 populations in Smith County has been consistently below the state rate.

Smith County Primary Health Market Area

ZIP codes within the Smith County Health Market Area.

Source: Claritas, Inc. 2012.
The incidence of crime in Smith County is inconsistent due to under-reporting to the Kansas Bureau of investigation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>93,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>83,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Crime Statistics for Smith County and Kansas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>98,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>87,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>98,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>87,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>96,596</td>
<td>10,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No data submitted for 2011</td>
<td>86,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Index crimes include violent crimes (murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault/battery) plus property crime (burglary, theft, and motor vehicle theft).
Index crimes include violent crimes (murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault/battery) plus property crime (burglary, theft, and motor vehicle theft).
The number of full-time law enforcement officials per 1,000 persons in Smith County has been consistently below the state rate.

This information was prepared by the Office of Local Government, K-State Research and Extension. For questions or other information, call 785-532-2643.
Traffic Data

Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Traffic Data Summary

Following are a variety of data and statistics about traffic accidents in Smith County. The data is reported by county.

- The rate of traffic accidents in Smith County exceeds the rate for the state as a whole, with property damage only accidents accounting for many of the accidents.

- In 2008, there were 120 total vehicle crashes in Smith County. The declining trend is positive, but must be considered in the context of declining population.

- In 2008, the most recent year for which data were available, there were 16 accidents involving injury and one fatality.

Source: Claritas, Inc. 2012.
Smith County Rural Health Works

The rate of traffic accidents in Smith County exceeds the rate for the state as a whole, with property damage only accounting for many of the accidents. In 2008, there were 120 total vehicle crashes in Smith County. The declining trend is positive, but must be considered in the context of declining population. In 2008, the most recent year for which data were available, there were 16 accidents involving injury and one fatality.

Table 1. 2008 Traffic Accident Facts for Smith County and Kansas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accidents</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>Rate per 1,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>65,858</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Accidents</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Accidents</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14,866</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Damage Only</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>50,644</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer Involved</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9,371</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Related</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7,917</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Related</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,366</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

People

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>Rate per 1,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21,058</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Restraint Use</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kansas Traffic Accident Facts, 2012

* Population from Woods and Poole

Figure 1. Total Accidents in Smith County, 2000-2008

Kansas Department of Transportation, 2012
Figure 2. Injury Accidents in Smith County, 2000-2008

Figure 3. Fatal Accidents in Smith County, 2000-2008

Kansas Department of Transportation, 2012
Figure 4. Property Damage Only Accidents in Smith County, 2000-2008

Kansas Department of Transportation, 2012

Figure 5. Other Crashes in Smith County, 2000-2008

Kansas Department of Transportation, 2012

This information was prepared by the Office of Local Government, K-State Research and Extension. For questions or other information, call 785-532-2643.
Kansas Health Matters Data Compilation

Introduction

Kansas Rural Health Works (KRHW) is dedicated to helping rural communities build affordable and sustainable local health care systems. The Office of Local Government at K-State Research and Extension is supporting Community Health Needs Assessments. These needs assessments bring a broad-based group of community leaders together to assess local needs, establish priorities, and develop strategic action plans to improve the local health situation. This is an opportunity for the community to rally together to address high-priority local needs and to make the community a better place to live, work, and raise a family. No one can do it for us unless we do it ourselves. The resources presented here support that process. The opportunity is now.

Kansas Health Matters
The ‘Kansas Health Matters’ Web site is intended to help hospitals, health departments, community members and policy makers learn about the health of the community and how to improve it. It provides local health data, resources, promising best practices, news articles and information about community events related to important community health issues. The site specifically aims at supporting the development of community health assessments and community health improvement plans by hospitals and local health departments, but its content also is relevant for anyone interested in how assess and improve the health of communities.

The Kansas Health Matters Website can be found at: www.kansashealthmatters.org

Data Summary

A host of county-level data have been poster to the Health Matters Website, including:

- Access to Health Services
- Children’s Health
- Immunizations and Infectious Disease
- Maternal, Fetal and Infant Health
- Mortality Data
- Prevention and Safety
- Substance Abuse
- Wellness and Lifestyle
- Economic Conditions
- Poverty
- Education
- Environment
- Public Safety

It should be noted, however, that some places with too few events of a given type may display no results, or may show multi-county regional values.
Smith County Rural Health Works

Access to Health Services

Average Monthly WIC Participation

Value: 21.8 average cases per 1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS state value
Categories: Health / Access to Health Services

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the average monthly number of women and children participating in WIC per 1,000 population.

Why this is important: WIC is a nutrition program that provides nutrition and health education, healthy food and other services to Kansas families who qualify. WIC stands for Women, Infants and Children. WIC’s goal is to help keep pregnant and breastfeeding women, new moms, and kids under age 5 healthy.

National Studies have documented WIC benefits:

- WIC reduces fetal deaths and infant mortality.
- WIC reduces low birth weight rates and increases the duration of pregnancy.
- WIC improves the growth of nutritionally at-risk infants and children.
- WIC decreases the incidence of iron deficiency anemia in children.
- WIC improves the dietary intake of pregnant and postpartum women and improves weight gain in pregnant women.
- Pregnant women participating in WIC receive prenatal care earlier.
- Children enrolled in WIC are more likely to have a regular source of medical care and have more up to date immunizations.
Smith County Rural Health Works

- WIC helps get children ready to start school: children who receive WIC benefits demonstrate improved intellectual development.
- WIC significantly improves children's diets.

WIC also offers immunization screening and referral, breastfeeding support, and nutrition and health classes on a variety of topics including meal planning, maintaining a healthy weight, picky eaters, caring for a new baby, shopping on a budget and more.

An average of 17,747 women, 18,863 infants and 36,629 children received services each month. Total Average: 76,239.

The percent of eligible women, infants and children (up to age 5), served by WIC is estimated to be 72.23%.

Unduplicated number of WIC participants served in Calendar Year 2008 is 128,407
WIC services are provided at 109 County Health Department clinic sites.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://www.kdheks.gov/nws-wic/

Ratio of Population to Primary Care Physicians

Value: 1,610 population per physician
Measurement Period: 2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Access to Health Services

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the ratio of population to one primary care physician FTE.
Smith County Rural Health Works

Why this is important: Primary care is the backbone of preventive health care, and a strong primary care workforce is essential to health of our country. Primary care physicians play a key role in providing and coordinating high-quality health care. Adequate access to primary care can improve care coordination and reduce the frequency of avoidable hospitalizations. The Association of American Medical Colleges estimated that the nation would have a shortage of approximately 21,000 primary care physicians in 2015. Without action, experts project a continued primary care shortfall due to the needs of an aging population, and a decline in the number of medical students choosing primary care.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://www.kdheks.gov/

Staffed Hospital Bed Ratio

Value: 6.7 beds per 1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Access to Health Services

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the ratio of the number of staffed hospital beds to 1,000 population.

Why this is important: Staffed Hospital Bed Ratio is the average complement of beds fully staffed during the year, or those beds that are set-up, staffed, and equipped, and in all respects, ready for use by patients remaining in the hospital overnight.
The exploding demand for healthcare in the U.S. is nothing new. But the growing critical shortage of staffed hospital beds, fueled primarily by the historic growth of an aging population that requires increasing hospitalization, that looms as a possible crisis. In Kansas, 13.2 percent of the population in 2010 was 65 years or older.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: Kansas Hospital Association
URL of Source: http://www.kha-net.org/
URL of Data: http://www.kha-net.org/communications/annualstatreport/de...
Percent of WIC Mothers Breastfeeding Exclusively

Value: 16.7 percent  
Measurement Period: 2010  
Location: County: Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Children’s Health; Health / Access to Health Services

What is this Indicator?  
This indicator shows the percentage of babies on WIC whose mothers reported breastfeeding exclusively at age 6 months.

Why this is important: Babies who are breastfed are generally healthier and achieve optimal growth and development compared to those who are fed formula milk.

If the vast majority of babies were exclusively fed breast milk in their first six months of life - meaning only breast milk and no other liquids or solids, not even water - it is estimated that the lives of at least 1.2 million children would be saved every year. If children continue to be breastfed up to two years and beyond, the health and development of millions of children would be greatly improved.

Infants who are not breastfed are at an increased risk of illness that can compromise their growth and raise the risk of death or disability. Breastfed babies receive protection from illnesses through the mother's milk.

Baseline: 43.5 percent of infants born in 2006 were breastfed at 6 months as reported in 2007-09. Target: 60.6 percent

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Smith County Rural Health Works

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source:  http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data:  http://www.kdheks.gov/nws-wic/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Diabetes

Percentage of Adults with Diagnosed Diabetes

Value: 10.6 percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Diabetes

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults that have ever been diagnosed with diabetes. Women who were diagnosed with diabetes only during the course of their pregnancy were not included in this count.

Why this is important: In 2007, diabetes was the seventh leading cause of death in the United States. In 2010, an estimated 25.8 million people or 8.3% of the population had diabetes. Diabetes disproportionately affects minority populations and the elderly and its incidence is likely to increase as minority populations grow and the U.S. population becomes older. Diabetes can have a harmful effect on most of the organ systems in the human body; it is a frequent cause of end-stage renal disease, non-traumatic lower-extremity amputation, and a leading cause of blindness among working age adults. Persons with diabetes are also at increased risk for ischemic heart disease, neuropathy, and stroke. In economic terms, the direct medical expenditure attributable to diabetes in 2007 was estimated to be $116 billion.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/  
Smith County Rural Health Works

Exercise, Nutrition & Weight

Percentage of Adults Consuming Fruits & Vegetables 5 or More Times Per Day

Value: 19.2 percent
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults who consume fruits and vegetables five or more times per day.

Why this is important:
It is essential to eat a fresh, healthy and balanced diet in order to maintain a healthy weight and prevent chronic disease. Numerous studies have shown a clear link between the amount and variety of fruits and vegetables consumed and rates of chronic diseases, especially cancer. According to the World Cancer Research Fund International, about 35 percent of all cancers can be prevented through increased fruit and vegetable consumption. The USDA currently recommends four and one-half cups (nine servings) of fruits and vegetables daily for a 2,000-calorie diet, with higher or lower amounts depending on the caloric level. Despite the benefits, many people still do not eat recommended levels of fruits and vegetables. This is particularly true of consumers with lower incomes and education levels.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Percentage of Adults Participating in Recommended Level of Physical Activity

Value: 44.4 percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults 18 years and older who engage in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes on five days per week, or vigorous physical activity for at least 20 minutes three or more days per week.

Why this is important: Active adults reduce their risk of many serious health conditions including obesity, heart disease, diabetes, colon cancer, and high blood pressure. In addition, physical activity reduces the symptoms of anxiety and depression, improves mood and feelings of well-being, and promotes healthy sleep patterns. More than 60 percent of adults in the United States do not engage in the recommended amount of activity, and about 25 percent of adults are not active at all. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommends that active adults perform physical activity three to five times each week for 20 to 60 minutes at a time to improve cardiovascular fitness and body composition. In addition to reducing the risk of multiple chronic diseases, physical activity helps maintain healthy bones, muscles, joints, and helps to control weight, develop lean muscle, and reduce body fat. The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to increase the proportion of adults who engage in aerobic physical activity of at least moderate intensity for at least 150 minutes/week, or 75 minutes/week of vigorous intensity, or an equivalent combination to 47.9%.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison. 
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
**Smith County Rural Health Works**


**Percentage of Adults Who are Obese**

**Value:** 33.4 percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2009  
**Location:** Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight

![Bar Chart: Percentage of Adults Who are Obese](chart.png)

*County data was unavailable; Regional value was reported

**What is this Indicator?**  
This indicator shows the percentage of adults (ages 18 and older) who are obese based on the Body Mass Index (BMI). The BMI is calculated by taking a person's weight and dividing it by their height squared in metric units. (BMI = Weight (Kg)/[Height (cm) ^ 2] ) A BMI >=30 is considered obese.

**Why this is important:**  
The obesity is an indicator of the overall health and lifestyle of a community. Obesity increases the risk of many diseases and health conditions including heart disease, Type 2 diabetes, cancer, hypertension, stroke, liver and gallbladder disease, respiratory problems, and osteoarthritis. Losing weight and maintaining a healthy weight help to prevent and control these diseases. Obesity leads to significant economic costs due to increased healthcare spending and lost earnings. **The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to reduce the proportion of adults (ages 20 and up) who are obese to 30.6%**.

**Technical Note:** The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.  
**Source:** Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Smith County Rural Health Works

Percentage of Adults Who are Overweight

Value: 34.8 percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults who are overweight according to the Body Mass Index (BMI). The BMI is calculated by taking a person's weight and dividing it by their height squared in metric units. (BMI = Weight (Kg)/[Height (cm) ^ 2] ) A BMI between 25 and 29.9 is considered overweight.

Why this is important: The percentage of overweight adults is an indicator of the overall health and lifestyle of a community. Being overweight affects quality of life and puts individuals at risk for developing many diseases, especially heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and cancer. Losing weight helps to prevent and control these diseases. Being overweight or obese also carries significant economic costs due to increased healthcare spending and lost earnings.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/  
Smith County Rural Health Works

Heart Disease and Stroke

Congestive Heart Failure Hospital Admission Rate

Value: 341.06 per 100,000 population
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Heart Disease & Stroke; Health / Access to Health Services; Health / Wellness & Lifestyle

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of admissions for congestive heart failure per 100,000 population in an area.

Why this is important: Prevention of congestive heart failure admissions is an important role for all health care providers. Providers can help individuals stay healthy by preventing disease, and they can prevent complications of existing disease by helping patients live with their illnesses.

While these indicators use hospital inpatient data, their focus is on outpatient health care. Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) assess the quality of the health care system as a whole, and especially the quality of ambulatory care, in preventing medical complications. As a result, these measures are likely to be of the greatest value when calculated at the population level and when used by public health groups, State data organizations, and other organizations concerned with the health of populations. Serving as a screening tool, these indicators can provide initial information about potential problems in the community that may require further, more in-depth analysis.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
Heart Disease Hospital Admission Rate

**Value:** 430.9 per 100,000 population  
**Measurement Period:** 2007-2009  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Heart Disease & Stroke; Health / Access to Health Services; Health / Wellness & Lifestyle

**What is this Indicator?**
This indicator shows the number of admissions for heart disease (ICD9 diagnoses 402, 410-414 or 429) per 100,000 population in an area.

**Why this is important:** Heart disease has consistently been a public health concern and is the leading cause of death in the United States. For coronary heart disease alone, the estimated direct and indirect costs for the overall U.S. population are approximately $165.4 billion for 2009. According to the national hospital discharge survey, hospitalizations for heart disease accounted for 4.2 million hospitalizations in 2006. Approximately 62% of these short-stay hospitalizations occurred among people ages 65 years and older. There is also evidence that heart disease hospitalization rates vary among racial and ethnic groups.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.  
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
URL of Data: [http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/](http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/)

**Percentage of Adults with Hypertension**
Smith County Rural Health Works

Value: 36.4 percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Heart Disease & Stroke

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults who have been told they have high blood pressure. Normal blood pressure should be less than 120/80 mm Hg for an adult. Blood pressure above this level (140/90 mm Hg or higher) is considered high (hypertension).

Why this is important: High blood pressure is the number one modifiable risk factor for stroke. In addition to stroke, high blood pressure also contributes to heart attacks, heart failure, kidney failure, and atherosclerosis. The higher your blood pressure, the greater your risk of heart attack, heart failure, stroke, and kidney disease. In the United States, one in three adults has high blood pressure, and nearly one-third of these people are not aware that they have it. Because there are no symptoms associated with high blood pressure, it is often called the "silent killer." The only way to tell if you have high blood pressure is to have your blood pressure checked. High blood pressure can occur in people of any age or sex; however, it is more common among those over age 35. It is particularly prevalent in African Americans, older adults, obese people, heavy drinkers, and women taking birth control pills. Blood pressure can be controlled through lifestyle changes including eating a heart-healthy diet, limiting alcohol, avoiding tobacco, controlling your weight, and staying physically active.

The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to reduce the proportion of adults aged 18 years and older with high blood pressure to 26.9%.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.  
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Smith County Rural Health Works

URL of Source:  http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data:    http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Immunizations & Infectious Diseases

Bacterial Pneumonia Hospital Admission Rate

Value: 594.55 per 100,000 population  
Location: County : Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Immunizations & Infectious Diseases; Health / Other Conditions; Health / Access to Health Services

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of admissions for bacterial pneumonia per 100,000 population in an area.

Why this is important: Prevention of bacterial pneumonia is an important role for all health care providers. Providers can help individuals stay healthy by preventing disease, and they can prevent complications of existing disease by helping patients live with their illnesses.

While these indicators use hospital inpatient data, their focus is on outpatient health care. Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) assess the quality of the health care system as a whole, and especially the quality of ambulatory care, in preventing medical complications. As a result, these measures are likely to be of the greatest value when calculated at the population level and when used by public health groups, State data organizations, and other organizations concerned with the health of populations. Serving as a screening tool, these indicators can provide initial information about potential problems in the community that may require further, more in-depth analysis.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
Percent of Infants Fully Immunized at 24 Months

Value: 92.5 percent
Measurement Period: 2011-2012
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Immunizations & Infectious Diseases; Health / Children’s Health; Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percent of infants who were immunized with the 4 DTaP, 3 Polio, 1 MMR, 3 Haemophilus influenzae type b, and 3 Hepatitis B vaccines (the 4:3:1:3:3 series) by 24 months of age.

Why this is important: Vaccine coverage is of great public health importance. By having greater vaccine coverage, there is an increase in herd immunity, which leads to lower disease incidence and an ability to limit the size of disease outbreaks. In 2006, a widespread outbreak of mumps occurred in Kansas and across the United States. Prior to the outbreak, the incidence of mumps was at a historical low, and even with the outbreak, the mumps disease rates were still lower than pre-vaccination era. Due to high vaccination coverage, tens or hundreds of thousands of cases were possibly prevented. However, due to unvaccinated and under-vaccinated individuals, the United States has seen a rise in diseases that were previously present at low levels, specifically measles and pertussis.

Technical Note: The county value is compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
Percentage of Adults Ages 18 Years and Older Who Received A Flu Shot During the Past 12 Months

**Value:** 41.6 percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2009  
**Location:** Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Immunizations & Infectious Diseases

**What is this Indicator?**  
This indicator shows the percentage of adults 18 years and older who received the influenza vaccination (flu shot or flu spray) in the past year.

**Why this is important:** Influenza is a contagious disease caused by the influenza virus. It can lead to pneumonia and can be dangerous for people with heart or breathing conditions. Infection with influenza can cause high fever, diarrhea and seizures in children. It is estimated that 226,000 people are hospitalized each year due to influenza and 36,000 die - mostly the elderly. The seasonal influenza vaccine can prevent serious illness and death. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends annual vaccinations to prevent the spread of influenza.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
**URL of Source:** [http://www.kdheks.gov/](http://www.kdheks.gov/)  
Sexually Transmitted Disease Rate

Value: 1.8 cases/10,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Immunizations & Infectious Diseases

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the crude incidence rate per 1,000 population due to sexually transmitted diseases.

Why this is important: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that there are approximately 19 million new STD infections each year—almost half of them among young people ages 15 to 24.3 The cost of STDs to the U.S. health care system is estimated to be as much as $15.9 billion annually.4 Because many cases of STDs go undiagnosed—and some common viral infections, such as human papillomavirus (HPV) and genital herpes, are not reported to CDC at all—the reported cases of chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis represent only a fraction of the true burden of STDs in the United States.

Untreated STDs can lead to serious long-term health consequences, especially for adolescent girls and young women. CDC estimates that undiagnosed and untreated STDs cause at least 24,000 women in the United States each year to become infertile.

In 2008, 13,500 cases of primary and secondary syphilis were reported in the United States, a 17.7 percent increase from 2007. The rate of primary & secondary syphilis in the United States was 18.4% higher in 2008 than in 2007.

Chlamydia, the most frequently reported bacterial sexually transmitted disease in the United States, is caused by the bacterium, Chlamydia trachomatis. Under-reporting of chlamydia is substantial because most people with chlamydia are not aware of their infections and do not seek testing.
Healthy People 2020 has set 18 objectives to reduce STD rates in the United States.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://www.kdheks.gov/std/std_reports.html
Smith County Rural Health Works

Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health

Infant Mortality Rate

Value: 0 deaths/1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health; Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the rate of infant deaths (prior to one year of age) per 1,000 live births.

Why this is important: One of the basic indicators of the health of a community or state is infant mortality, the death of an infant before one year of age. The calculated infant mortality rate (IMR), while not a true measure of population health, serves as one proxy indicator of population health since it reflects the apparent association between the causes of infant mortality and other factors that are likely to influence the health status of the whole population such as economic development, general living conditions, social wellbeing where basic needs are met, rates of illness such as diabetes and hypertension, and quality of the environment.

The number of infant deaths to Kansas residents dropped from 290 in 2009 to 253 in 2010. The number of Kansas resident births in 2010 was 40,439. This resulted in an infant mortality rate of 6.28 per 1,000 live births compared to 7.01 in 2009. Although the one year decline was not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level, the number of infant deaths is the lowest in Kansas since recordkeeping began in 1912. The infant mortality rate is the lowest recorded. Over the last 22 years Kansas has experienced a statistically significant declining trend in the annual infant mortality rate (with a lot of ups and downs in between).

The 2010 infant mortality rate represents a 28.4 percent decrease from the 1989 IMR of
8.77. That change is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.

The Healthy People 2020 target is 6.0 infant deaths per 1,000 live births. The leading causes of death among infants are birth defects, pre-term delivery, low birth weight, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), and maternal complications during pregnancy.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Data: [http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html](http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html)

**Number of Births per 1,000 Population**

**Value:** 9 births/1,000 population  
**Measurement Period:** 2008-2010  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health

What is this Indicator?  
This indicator shows the number of births per 1,000 population.

Why this is important: The birth rate is an important measure of population health. The birth rate is usually the dominant factor in determining the rate of population growth; however, it depends on both the level of fertility and the age structure of the population.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Data: [http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html](http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html)
Smith County Rural Health Works

Percent of all Births Occurring to Teens (15-19 years)

Value: 9.6 percent
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health; Health / Teen & Adolescent Health

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of births in which mothers were 15-19 years of age.

Why this is important: For many women, a family planning clinic is the entry point into the health care system and one they consider their usual source of care. Each year, publicly funded family planning services prevent 1.94 million unintended pregnancies, including 400,000 teen pregnancies. These services are cost-effective, saving nearly $4 in Medicaid expenditures for pregnancy-related care for every $1 spent.

In Kansas, 4,265 births occurred to women 10-19 years of age, representing 10.3 percent of the births in 2009.

Births resulting from unintended pregnancies can have negative consequences including birth defects and low birth weight. Children from unintended pregnancies are more likely to experience poor mental and physical health during childhood, and have lower educational attainment and more behavioral issues in their teen years.

The negative consequences associated with unintended pregnancies are greater for teen parents and their children. Eighty-two percent of pregnancies to mothers ages 15 to 19 are unintended. One in five unintended pregnancies each year is among teens. Teen mothers are less likely to graduate from high school or attain a GED by the time they reach age 30; earn an average of approximately $3,500 less per year, when compared with those who delay childbearing until their 20s; and receive nearly twice as much Federal aid for nearly twice as long.
Unintended pregnancies are associated with many negative health and economic consequences. Unintended pregnancies include pregnancies that are reported by women as being mistimed or unwanted. Almost half of all pregnancies in the United States are unintended. The public costs of births resulting from unintended pregnancies were $11 billion in 2006. (This figure includes costs for prenatal care, labor and delivery, post-partum care, and 1 year of infant care).

Technical Note: Births with unknown values are excluded from the denominator for this calculation. The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Data: [http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html](http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html)

### Percent of Births Occurring to Unmarried Women

**Value:** 30.4 percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2008-2010  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health; Health / Family Planning

![Graph showing the percent of births occurring to unmarried women over time](image)

**What is this Indicator?**  
This indicator shows the percentage of all births to mothers who reported not being married.

**Why this is important:** Non-marital births reflect the number of children born to unmarried women and includes both planned and unplanned pregnancies as well as women who were living with a partner at the time of birth. In previous decades, the term was often used to describe births to teen mothers; however, in recent decades, the average age of unmarried women having children has increased and less than one
quarter of non-marital births were to teenaged women. Despite the older age of unmarried mothers, health concerns remain for the children of unmarried women. Studies have found that infants born to non-married women are at greater risk of being born preterm, having a low birth weight, dying in infancy and living in poverty than babies born to married women. In 2007, nearly 4 in 10 births in the U.S. were to unmarried women, according to CDC.

Technical Note: Births with unknown values are excluded from the denominator for this calculation. The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making the comparison with the state.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

**Percent of Births where Mother Smoked During Pregnancy**

**Value:** 17.3 percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2008-2010  
**Location:** County : Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health; Health / Other Chronic Diseases

![Graph showing percent of births where mother smoked during pregnancy from 2005-2007 to 2008-2010, with Smith County and Kansas state values.](image)

**What is this Indicator?**  
This indicator shows the percentage of births in which the mothers reported smoked during their pregnancy.

**Why this is important:** Smoking is a major public health problem. Smokers face an increased risk of lung cancer, stroke, cardiovascular diseases, and multiple other disorders. Smoking during pregnancy adversely affects the health of both the mother and her baby. Maternal smoking can result in miscarriages, premature delivery, and sudden infant death syndrome. Smoking during pregnancy nearly doubles a woman's
risk of having a low birth weight baby, and low birth weight is a key predictor for infant mortality. In addition, smoking also increases the risk of preterm delivery. Low birth weight and premature babies face an increased risk of serious health problems during the infant period, as well as chronic lifelong disabilities such as cerebral palsy, mental retardation, and learning problems.

Technical Note: Births with unknown values are excluded from the denominator for this calculation. The county and regional values is compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Data: [http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html](http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html)

### Percent of Births Where Prenatal Care began in First Trimester

**Value:** 80.6 percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2008-2010  
**Location:** County : Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health

![Percent of Births where Prenatal Care began in First Trimester](image)

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of births in which mothers received prenatal care in the first trimester.

Why this is important: Babies born to mothers who do not receive prenatal care are three times more likely to have a low birth weight and five times more likely to die than those born to mothers who do get care. Early prenatal care (i.e., care in the first trimester of a pregnancy) allows women and their health care providers to identify and, when possible, treat or correct health problems and health-compromising behaviors that can be particularly damaging during the initial stages of fetal development. Increasing the number of women who receive prenatal care, and who do so early in their
pregnancies, can improve birth outcomes and lower health care costs by reducing the likelihood of complications during pregnancy and childbirth.

Technical Note: Births with unknown values are excluded from the denominator for this calculation. The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making the comparison with the state.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Percent of Births with Inadequate Birth Spacing

Value: 11.3 percent
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health; Health / Children's Health

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of live births in which a sibling was born less than 18 months prior.

Why this is important: Birth Spacing refers to the time interval from one child's birth date until the next child's birth date. There are many factors to consider in determining what is an optimal time interval between pregnancies. However, researchers agree that 2 ½ years to 3 years between births is usually best for the well being of the mother and her children. When births are spaced 21/2 years to 3 years apart there is less risk of infant and child death. There is also lower risk of the baby being underweight. Short intervals between births can also be bad for mother's health. There is a greater risk of bleeding in pregnancy, premature rupture of the bag of waters and increased risk of maternal death. A time interval of six months or more after finishing breastfeeding is also
recommended before becoming pregnant again for the mother to be able to rebuild her nutritional stores.

Technical Note: Births with unknown values are excluded from the denominator for this calculation. The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison. 

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Percent of Births with Low Birth Weight

Value: 6.1 percent
Measurement Period: 2005-07
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of all births in which the newborn weight is less than 2,500 grams (5 pounds, 8 ounces).

Why this is important: Babies born with a low birth weight are more likely than babies of normal weight to require specialized medical care, and often must stay in the intensive care unit. Low birth weight is often associated with premature birth. While there have been many medical advances enabling premature infants to survive, there is still risk of infant death or long-term disability. The most important things an expectant mother can do to prevent prematurity and low birth weight are to take prenatal vitamins, stop smoking, stop drinking alcohol and using drugs, and most importantly, get prenatal care.
**Smith County Rural Health Works**

Technical Note: Births with unknown values are excluded from the denominator for this calculation. The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Data: [http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html](http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html)

**Percentage of Premature Births**

**Value:** 10.1 Percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2005-07  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health/Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health

![Percent of Premature Births Chart]

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of births to resident mothers in which the baby had less than 37 weeks of completed gestation.

Why this is important: Babies born premature are likely to require specialized medical care, and oftentimes must stay in intensive care nurseries. While there have been many medical advances enabling premature infants to survive, there is still risk of infant death or long-term disability. The most important things an expectant mother can do to prevent prematurity and very low birth weight are to take prenatal vitamins, stop smoking, stop drinking alcohol and using drugs, and most importantly, get prenatal care.

The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to reduce the proportion of infants who are born preterm to 11.4%.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas State Value. Total live births exclude births for which the gestational length of the baby was unknown. The trend is a comparison between the most recent and previous measurement periods.
Confidence intervals were not taken into account in determining the direction of the trend.

URL of Source:  http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data:   http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html
Smith County Rural Health Works

Mental Health & Mental Disorders

Percentage of Adults who Reported Their Mental Health Was Not Good on 14 or More Days in the Past 30 Days.

Value: 7.4 percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Mental Health & Mental Disorders

What is this Indicator?  
This indicator shows the percentage of adults who stated that they experienced fourteen or more days of poor mental health in the past month.

Why this is important: Psychological distress can affect all aspects of our lives. It is important to recognize and address potential psychological issues before they become critical. Occasional days of feeling "down" or emotional are normal, but persistent mental or emotional health problems should be evaluated and treated by a qualified professional.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas State value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
**Smith County Rural Health Works**

**Mortality Data**

**Age-adjusted Alzheimer's Disease Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population**

- **Value:** 23.9 deaths/100,000 population
- **Measurement Period:** 2006-2008
- **Location:** County: Smith
- **Comparison:** KS State Value
- **Categories:** Health / Mortality Data; Health / Older Adults & Aging

![Graph showing the age-adjusted Alzheimer's Disease Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population from 2004-2008. The graph compares Smith County and Kansas values.]

**What is this Indicator?**
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to Alzheimer's disease.

**Why this is important:** Dementia is the loss of cognitive functioning—thinking, remembering, and reasoning—to such an extent that it interferes with a person's daily life. Dementia is not a disease itself, but rather a set of symptoms. Memory loss is a common symptom of dementia, although memory loss by itself does not mean a person has dementia. Alzheimer's disease is the most common cause of dementia, accounting for the majority of all diagnosed cases.

Nationally, Alzheimer's disease is the 6th leading cause of death among adults aged 18 years and older. In Kansas, 963 people died from Alzheimer's, the 6th leading cause of death in the state. The age-adjusted mortality rate was 28.4 deaths per 100,000 population. Estimates vary, but experts suggest that up to 5.1 million Americans aged 65 years and older have Alzheimer's disease. These numbers are predicted to more than double by 2050 unless more effective ways to treat and prevent Alzheimer's disease are found.

Dementia affects an individual's health, quality of life, and ability to live independently.

People living with dementia are at greater risk for general disability and experience frequent injury from falls. Older adults with dementia are 3 times more likely to have
preventable hospitalizations. As their dementia worsens, people need more health services and, oftentimes, long-term care. Many individuals requiring long-term care experience major personal and financial challenges that affect their families, their caregivers, and society.

Technical Note:  The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source:  http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data:  http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Age-adjusted Atherosclerosis Mortality Rate per 100,000 population

Value: 0 deaths/100,000 population
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data; Health / Other Chronic Diseases

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to atherosclerosis.

Why this is important:  Hardening of the arteries, also called atherosclerosis, is a common disorder. It occurs when fat, cholesterol, and other substances build up in the walls of arteries and form hard structures called plaques. In 2009, atherosclerosis accounted for 321 deaths and was the 11th leading cause of death in the Kansas.

Hardening of the arteries is a process that often occurs with aging. However, high blood cholesterol levels can make this process happen at a younger age. For most people, high cholesterol levels are the result of an unhealthy lifestyle -- most commonly, eating a diet that is high in fat. Other lifestyle factors are heavy alcohol use, lack of exercise, and being overweight.
Other risk factors for hardening of the arteries are:
- Diabetes
- Family history of hardening of the arteries
- High blood pressure
- Smoking

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

**Age-adjusted Cancer Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population**

- **Value:** 186 deaths/100,000 population
- **Measurement Period:** 2008-2010
- **Location:** County : Smith
- **Comparison:** KS State Value
- **Categories:** Health / Mortality Data

*What is this Indicator?*
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to all cancers.

*Why this is important:* Cancer has been the second leading cause of death in the United States. In Kansas 5,304 persons died of cancer in 2009. With an age-adjusted mortality rate of 173.3 deaths per 100,000 population, Cancer temporarily bumped heart disease from the number one cause of death in Kansas.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
Age-adjusted Cerebrovascular Disease Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 61.25 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to cerebrovascular disease.

Why this is important: Stroke is the third leading cause of death among Americans, accounting for nearly 1 out of every 17 deaths. It is also the leading cause of serious long-term disability. Risk factors for stroke include inactivity, obesity, high blood pressure, cigarette smoking, high cholesterol, and diabetes

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value. Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Age-adjusted Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 34.4 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to chronic lower respiratory disease.

Why this is important: Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease (CLRD) is the fourth leading cause of death in the United States but the third leading cause of death in Kansas. It is projected to be third nationwide by 2020.

Approximately 124,000 people die each year in the United States from CLRD. This estimate is considered low, however, because CLRD is often cited as a contributory, not underlying, cause of death on the death certificate. In Kansas in CLRD accounted for 1,577 deaths in 2009, producing an age-adjusted mortality rate of 50.9 deaths per 100,000 population.

CLRD comprises three major diseases: chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and asthma. Approximately $42.7 billion is spent annually on direct and indirect health care costs due to CLRD.

Tobacco smoking is the most important risk factor for chronic bronchitis and emphysema, accounting for about 80% of cases. Cigarette smokers are 10 times more likely to die from these diseases than nonsmokers. The remaining 20% of cases are attributable to environmental exposures and genetic factors. Asthma appears to have a strong genetic basis, with 30% to 50% of all cases due to an inherited predisposition.

A direct association between secondhand smoke and lower respiratory disease has been documented by the Environmental Protection Agency. Smoking cessation in the single most effective way to reduce the risk of CLRD and its progression.
Lower respiratory disease deaths increased in the United States by 163% between 1965 and 1998. This trend reflects smoking patterns initiated 30 to 50 years ago.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value. Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Age-adjusted Diabetes Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 0 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to Diabetes.

Why this is important: In 2007, diabetes was the seventh leading cause of death in the United States. In 2010, an estimated 25.8 million people or 8.3% of the population had diabetes. Diabetes disproportionately affects minority populations and the elderly and its incidence is likely to increase as minority populations grow and the U.S. population becomes older.

Diabetes can have a harmful effect on most of the organ systems in the human body; it is a frequent cause of end-stage renal disease, non-traumatic lower-extremity amputation, and a leading cause of blindness among working age adults. Persons with diabetes are also at increased risk for ischemic heart disease, neuropathy, and stroke. In economic terms, the direct medical expenditure attributable to diabetes in 2007 was estimated to be $116 billion.
Age-adjusted Heart Disease Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 145.8 deaths/100,000 population  
Measurement Period: 2008-2010  
Location: County : Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?  
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to heart disease.

Why this is important:  Heart disease in the number one cause of death in the U.S. and Hawaii. Physical inactivity, overweight, and obesity are considered cardiovascular risk determinants. Regular physical activity and a diet low in unhealthy fats and high in fruits and vegetables may help reduce the risk for cardiovascular disease. In 2009, the U.S. spent an estimated $68.9 billion on costs associated with stroke, including health care, medicine, and lost productivity.

Age-adjusted Homicide Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population
Smith County Rural Health Works

Value: 0 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to homicide.

Why this is important: A violent crime is a crime in which the offender uses or threatens to use violent force upon the victim. Violent crimes include homicide, assault, rape, and robbery. Violence negatively impacts communities by reducing productivity, decreasing property values, and disrupting social services. Homicides in Kansas totaled 127 in 2009. The age-adjusted mortality rate was 4.6 deaths per 100,000 population. The 2007 National age-adjusted mortality rate was 6.11 per 100,000 population. The national target is 5.5 homicides per 100,000 population.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Age-adjusted Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 732.35 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to all causes.

Why this is important: Mortality or death rates are often used as measures of health status for a population. Many factors affect the risk of death, including age, race, gender, occupation, education, and income. By far the strongest of these factors affecting the risk of death is age. Populations often differ in age composition. A "young" population has a higher proportion of persons in the younger age groups, while an "old" population has a higher proportion in the older age groups. Therefore, it is often important to control for differences among the age distributions of populations when making comparisons among death rates to assess the relative risk of death. Age-adjusted mortality rates are valuable when comparing two different geographic areas, causes or time periods.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Age-adjusted Nephritis, Nephrotic Syndrome, Nephrosis Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 0 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to nephritis, nephrotic syndrome, nephrosis.

Why this is important: Chronic kidney disease (CKD) -- called kidney disease here for short -- is a condition in which the small blood vessels in the kidneys are damaged, making the kidneys unable to do their job. Waste then builds up in the blood, harming the body. Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome, and nephrosis are diseases associated with the kidney and as a group represented the 9th leading cause of death in Kansas, claiming 556 lives in 2009.

Kidney disease is most often caused by diabetes or high blood pressure. Diabetes and high blood pressure damage the blood vessels in the kidneys, so the kidneys are not able to filter the blood as well as they used to. Usually this damage happens slowly, over many years. As more and more blood vessels are damaged, the kidneys eventually stop working.

Other risk factors for kidney disease are cardiovascular (heart) disease and a family history of kidney failure.

Chronic nephritis is a chronic inflammation of the tissues of the kidney. It is caused by a wide variety of etiological factors. The disease is frequently associated with a slow, progressive loss of kidney function. It is usually discovered accidentally, either by routine urinalysis (tests done to check kidney function) or during a routine physical checkup when anemia, hypertension, or laboratory findings (elevated serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen) are discovered. Its course is long and the prognosis (expectancy of cure) is poor.

CKD and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) are significant public health problems in the United States and a major source of suffering and poor quality of life for those afflicted. They are responsible for premature death and exact a high economic price from both the private and public sectors. CKD and ESRD are very costly to treat. Nearly 25 percent of the Medicare budget is used to treat people with CKD and ESRD.
Age-adjusted Suicide Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 0 deaths/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to suicide.

Why this is important: Suicide results in the tragic loss of human life as well as agonizing grief, fear, and confusion in families and communities. Its impact is not limited to an individual person or family, but extends across generations and throughout communities. The breadth of the problem and the complexity of its risk factors make suicide prevention well suited to a community-based public health approach that engages multiple systems and reaches all citizens. Depression and suicide are significant public health issues. Depression is one of the most common mental disorders experienced by elders, but fortunately is treatable by a variety of means.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html
Age-adjusted Traffic Injury Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 51.18 deaths/100,000 population  
Measurement Period: 2002-04  
Location: County : Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?  
This indicator shows the death rate per 100,000 population due to on- or off-road accidents involving a motor vehicle. Deaths resulting from boating accidents and airline crashes are not included in this measure.

Why this is important: Motor vehicle-related injuries kill more children and young adults than any other single cause in the United States. More than 41,000 people in the United States die in motor vehicle crashes each year, and crash injuries result in about 500,000 hospitalizations and four million emergency department visits annually. Increased use of safety belts and reductions in driving while impaired are two of the most effective means to reduce the risk of death and serious injury of occupants in motor vehicle crashes.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.  
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/  
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Age-adjusted Unintentional Injuries Mortality Rate per 100,000 Population

Value: 54.4 deaths/100,000 population  
Measurement Period: 2008-2010
Smith County Rural Health Works

Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health / Mortality Data

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the total age-adjusted death rate per 100,000 population due to unintentional injuries.

Why this is important: Injuries are one of the leading causes of death for Americans of all ages, regardless of gender, race, or economic status. For ages 15 to 24 years, injury deaths exceed deaths from all other causes combined and account for nearly four out of five deaths in this age group. Intentional injuries are those resulting from purposeful human action directed at oneself or others. Major risk factors for intentional injuries from interpersonal or self-inflicted violence include firearms, alcohol abuse, mental illness, and poverty. Unintentional injuries refer to those that are unplanned and include motor-vehicle accidents, falls, fires and burns, and drownings.

In Kansas, unintentional injuries accounted for 1,301 deaths making it the fourth leading cause of death. The age-adjusted mortality rate was 43.8 deaths per 100,000 population. In the US, one death out of every 17 results from injury. In 2006, unintentional injuries were the fifth leading cause of death overall in the U.S, and increased 1.4% from 2005 to 2006. In 2006, 121,599 people died from unintentional injuries.

Technical Note: The County / Region values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html
Smith County Rural Health Works

Oral Health

Percentage of Screened 3-12 Grade Students with No Dental Sealants

**Value:** 56.4 Percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2010-2011  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Health/Oral Health

### What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of children with no dental sealants present on any tooth grades 3-12, who participated in dental screenings by calibrated licensed dentists and hygienists at their schools.

### Why this is important:
Children with untreated oral disease often experience persistent pain, the inability to eat comfortably or chew well, embarrassment at discolored and damaged teeth, and distraction from play and learning. Nationally more than 51 million school hours are lost each year because of dental-related illness. Oral health screenings provide schools with an opportunity to focus on the importance of good oral health. Screenings also identify children with untreated dental disease and assist schools with appropriate referrals to dental professionals.

Technical Note: The data are from a convenience sample. Only those schools that participated in the statewide oral health screening program implemented by the Bureau of Oral Health to satisfy the Kansas State Statute for Annual Dental Inspection (K.S.A. 72-5201) are entered into the database.

Regarding a US Value comparison and a HP2020 target, there is no direct comparison that can be made to Kansas 'No Dental Sealant' data. The national and HP2020 values are from a survey of age groups 6 to 9 and 13 to 15 years of age based on the National Health & Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), CDC, and NCHS criteria. The Kansas criteria for its data are school grade levels 3 -12.
The national value and HP2020 target for 'No Dental Sealants' of age group 6 to 9 is 25.5 percent and 28.1 percent respectively and 19.9 percent and 21.9 percent respectively for age group 13 to 15.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source:  http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data:  http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html

Percentage of Screened K-12 Students with Obvious Dental Decay

Value: 14.9 Percent
Measurement Period: 2010-2011
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health/Oral Health

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of obvious dental decay found in children grades K-12, who participated in dental screenings by calibrated licensed dentists and hygienists at their schools

Why this is important: Children with untreated oral disease often experience persistent pain, the inability to eat comfortably or chew well, embarrassment at discolored and damaged teeth, and distraction from play and learning. Nationally more than 51 million school hours are lost each year because of dental-related illness. Oral health screenings provide schools with an opportunity to focus on the importance of good oral health. Screenings also identify children with untreated dental disease and assist schools with appropriate referrals to dental professionals.

Technical Note: The data are from a convenience sample. Only those schools that participated in the statewide oral health screening program implemented by the Bureau of Oral Health to satisfy the Kansas State Statute for Annual Dental Inspection (K.S.A.
Regarding a US Value comparison and a HP2020 target, there is no direct comparison that can be made to Kansas 'Obvious Dental Decay' data. The national and HP2020 values are from a survey of age groups 6 to 9 and 13 to 15 years of age based on the National Health & Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), CDC, and NCHS criteria. The Kansas criteria for its data are school grade levels K-12.

The national value and HP2020 target for 'Obvious Dental Decay' of age group 6 to 9 is 28.8 percent and 25.9 percent respectively and 17.0 percent and 15.3 percent respectively for age group 13 to 15.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html
Injury Hospital Admission Rate

Value: 458.25 Per 100,000 population  
Location: County : Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health/Prevention & Safety

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of hospital admissions for unintentional and intentional injury (secondary ICD 9CM diagnoses of E800-E928 excluding E870-E879) per 100,000 population in an area.

Why this is important: Injuries are the leading cause of death for Americans ages 1 to 44, and a leading cause of disability for all ages, regardless of sex, race/ethnicity, or socioeconomic status. More than 180,000 people die from injuries each year, and approximately 1 in 10 sustains a nonfatal injury serious enough to be treated in a hospital emergency department. Beyond their immediate health consequences, injuries and violence have a significant impact on the well-being of Americans by contributing to: Premature death, disability, poor mental health, high medical costs and lost productivity. The effects of injuries and violence extend beyond the injured person or victim of violence to family members, friends, coworkers, employers, and communities. Injuries are not tracked systematically unless they result in hospitalization or death. Hospital admission data only represent the most serious injuries.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value.  
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
URL of Source:  http://www kdheks gov/  
URL of Data:  http://kic kdhe state ks us/kic/index html
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Hospital Admission Rate

Value: 127.2 Per 100,000 population
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health/Respiratory Diseases

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of admissions for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease per 100,000 population in an area.

Why this is important: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is a leading cause of death in Kansas. Preventing hospital admissions is an important role for all health care providers. Providers can help individuals stay healthy by preventing disease, and they can prevent complications of existing disease by helping patients live with their illnesses. While these indicators use hospital inpatient data, their focus is on outpatient health care. Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) assess the quality of the health care system as a whole, and especially the quality of ambulatory care, in preventing medical complications. As a result, these measures are likely to be of the greatest value when calculated at the population level and when used by public health groups. Serving as a screening tool, these indicators can provide initial information about potential problems in the community that may require further, more in-depth analysis.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data: http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html
Smith County Rural Health Works

Substance Abuse

Percentage of Adults Who are Binge Drinkers

Value: 11.8 Percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: County: Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health/Substance Abuse

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults 18 years and older who reported binge drinking at least once during the 30 days prior to the survey. Male binge drinking is defined as five or more drinks on one occasion, and female binge drinking is four or more drinks on one occasion.

Why this is important: Binge drinking is an indicator of excessive alcohol use in the United States. Binge drinking can be dangerous and may result in vomiting, loss of sensory perception, and blackouts. The prevalence of binge drinking among men is twice that of women. In addition, it was found that binge drinkers are 14 times more likely to report alcohol-impaired driving than non-binge drinkers. Alcohol abuse is associated with a variety of negative health and safety outcomes including alcohol-related traffic accidents and other injuries, employment problems, legal difficulties, financial loss, family disputes and other interpersonal problems. The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to reduce the proportion of adults aged 18 years and older engaging in binge drinking during the past 30 days to 24.3%.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source:  http://www.kdheks.gov/
URL of Data:  http://kic.kdhe.state.ks.us/kic/index.html
Percentage of Adults Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes

Value: 18.4 Percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: County : Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Health/Substance Abuse

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults 18 years and older who currently smoke cigarettes.

Why this is important: Tobacco use is one of the most preventable causes of illness and death in America today. Tobacco use causes premature death to almost half a million Americans each year, and it contributes to profound disability and pain in many others. Approximately one-third of all tobacco users in this country will die prematurely because of their dependence on tobacco. Areas with a high smoking prevalence will also have greater exposure to secondhand smoke for non-smokers, which can cause or exacerbate a wide range of adverse health effects, including cancer, heart disease, respiratory infections, and asthma. **The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to reduce the proportion of adults aged 18 years and older who smoke cigarettes to 12%.**

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.

Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
Smith County Rural Health Works

Wellness & Lifestyle

Percentage of Adults with Fair or Poor Self-Perceived Health Status

Value: 14.1 percent
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: Public Health Preparedness Region: North Central Kansas Public Health Initiative
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Health/Wellness & Lifestyle

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of adults 18 years and older answering poor or fair to the question: "how is your general health?"

Why this is important: People’s subjective assessment of their health status is important because when people feel healthy they are more likely to feel happy and to participate in their community socially and economically. Areas with unhealthy populations lose productivity due to lost work time. Healthy residents are essential for creating a vibrant and successful community.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value. Confidence intervals were not taken into account while making this comparison.
Source: Kansas Department of Health and Environment
URL of Source: http://www.kdheks.gov/
Uninsured Adult Population Rate

**Value:** 19.0 percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2009  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** KS State Value  
**Categories:** Economy/Poverty

**What is this Indicator?**  
This indicator shows the estimated percent of persons ages 18-64 who are uninsured.

**Why this is important:** Access to health services encompasses four components: coverage, services, timeliness, and workforce.

Health insurance coverage helps patients get into the health care system. Uninsured people are:

- Less likely to receive medical care
- More likely to die early
- More likely to have poor health status

Lack of adequate coverage makes it difficult for people to get the health care they need and, when they do get care, burdens them with large medical bills. Current policy efforts focus on the provision of insurance coverage as the principal means of ensuring access to health care among the general population. Other factors, described below, may be equally important to removing barriers to access and utilization of services.

Access to health care services in the United States is regarded as unreliable; many people do not receive the appropriate and timely care they need. The U.S. health care system, which is already strained, will face an influx of patients in 2014, when 32 million Americans will have health insurance for the first time. All of these issues, and others,
make the measurement and development of new strategies and models essential.

In 2009-2010, the percentage of Kansans without health insurance rose to 13%, the highest rate of the decade, 2000-2010. This percentage climbed from 11.3% in 2005-2006 and 12.7% in 2008-2009. Approximately 357,500 Kansas residents - children and adults - lacked insurance in 2009-2010, also the highest number in the decade and an increase of about 10,000 people from 347,400 during 2008-2009. The percentage of Kansans (13) who were uninsured in 2009-2010 compared favorably with the United States percentage of 16.5%.

Healthy People 2020 has set a target of 100% coverage for medical insurance Increase the proportion of persons with health insurance. The national baseline for comparison was 83.2 percent of persons had medical insurance in 2008.

Technical Note: The County / Region value is compared to the Kansas state value.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
URL of Source:  http://www.census.gov/
URL of Data:  http://www.census.gov/did/www/sahie/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Employment

Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force

Value: 4.1 Percent
Measurement Period: 2012, May
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Employment

What is this Indicator?
This indicator describes the civilians, 16 years of age and over, who are unemployed as a percent of the U.S. civilian labor force.

Why this is important: The unemployment rate is a key indicator of the local economy. Unemployment occurs when local businesses are not able to supply enough and/or appropriate jobs for local employees and/or when the labor force is not able to supply appropriate skills to employers. A high rate of unemployment has personal and societal effects. During periods of unemployment, individuals are likely to feel severe economic strain and mental stress. Unemployment is also related to access to health care, as many individuals receive health insurance through their employer. A high unemployment rate places strain on financial support systems, as unemployed persons qualify for unemployment benefits and food stamp programs.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on non-seasonally adjusted data from 3,141 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
URL of Source: http://www.bls.gov/
URL of Data: http://data.bls.gov/PDQ/outside.jsp?survey=la
Household with Public Assistance

Value: 0.5 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Government Assistance Programs

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of households receiving cash public assistance income.

Why this is important: Public assistance income includes general assistance and Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF). It does not include Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or noncash benefits such as Food Stamps. Areas with more households on public assistance programs have higher poverty rates.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Home Ownership

Foreclosure Rate

Value: 2.3 Percent
Measurement Period: 2008
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Home Ownership

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of mortgages that ended in foreclosure.

Why this is important: Foreclosure rate is a measure of economic stability. A foreclosure is the repossession of a home and/or property by a lender in the event that the borrower defaults on a loan or is unable to meet the agreement of the mortgage. Unfortunately, foreclosures have become commonplace in many American cities and towns. Following a period of rising housing prices in the U.S., prices began to decline steeply and the years 2006 and 2007 saw unprecedented numbers of foreclosures among homeowners, the majority of whom had subprime mortgages. The ensuing "subprime mortgage crisis" was the first major indicator of the U.S. financial crisis.

Individuals and families who lose their homes to foreclosure are often left homeless or in precarious financial situations. Studies show that both the stress and forced relocation following home foreclosure have negative impacts on the health and well-being of individuals and families.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,137 U.S. counties.
Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
URL of Source: http://www.huduser.org/portal/
URL of Data: http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/nsp_foreclosure_data.html
Homeowner Vacancy Rate

Value: 1.8 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Homeownership

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of vacant home property.

Why this is important: The homeowner vacancy rate is the proportion of property that is vacant "for sale." It is computed by dividing the number of vacant units "for sale only" by the sum of the owner-occupied units, vacant units that are "for sale only," and vacant units that have been sold but not yet occupied. Vacancy status is often used as a basic indicator of the housing market. It is used to identify turnover and assess the demand for housing. It provides information on the stability and quality of housing for a particular geographic region.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Homeownership

Value: 65.9 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Smith County Rural Health Works

Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Homeownership

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of housing units that are occupied by homeowners.

Why this is important: Homeownership has many benefits for both individuals and communities. Homeowners are more likely to improve their homes and to be involved in civic affairs, both of which benefit the individual and the community as a whole. In addition, homeownership provides tax benefits.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent

Value: 32.5 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Housing Affordability & Supply

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of renters who are paying 30% or more of their household income in rent.

Why this is important: Spending a high percentage of household income on rent can create financial hardship, especially for lower-income renters. With a limited income, paying a high rent may not leave enough money for other expenses, such as food, transportation and medical. Moreover, high rent reduces the proportion of income a household can allocate to savings each month.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Income

Median Household Income

Value: 39,836 Dollars

Measurement Period: 2006-2010

Location: County: Smith

Comparison: U.S. Counties

Categories: Economy/Income

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the median household income. Household income is defined as the sum of money received over a calendar year by all household members 15 years and older.

Why this is important: Median household income reflects the relative affluence and prosperity of an area. Areas with higher median household incomes are likely to have more educated residents and lower unemployment rates. Higher employment rates lead to better access to healthcare and better health outcomes, since many families get their health insurance through their employer. Areas with higher median household incomes also have higher home values and their residents enjoy more disposable income.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.

Source: American Community Survey

URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/

URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Per Capita Income

Value: 23,644 Dollars
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the per capita income.

Why this is important: Per capita income, or income per person, is the total income of the region divided by the population. It is an aggregate measure of all sources of income and therefore is not a measure of income distribution or wealth. Areas with higher per capita incomes are considered to be more prosperous; however, median income is a more accepted measure of the economic well-being of a region because median income is not skewed by extremely high or low outliers.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Poverty

Children Living Below Poverty Level

Value: 18.6 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Poverty

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of people under the age of 18 who are living below the federal poverty level.

Why this is important: Family income has been shown to affect a child's well-being in numerous studies. Compared to their peers, children in poverty are more likely to have physical health problems like low birth weight or lead poisoning, and are also more likely to have behavioral and emotional problems. Children in poverty also tend to exhibit cognitive difficulties, as shown in achievement test scores, and are less likely to complete basic education.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,142 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Families Living Below Poverty Level

Value: 8.7 Percent
Smith County Rural Health Works

Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Poverty

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of families living below the federal poverty level.

Why this is important: Federal poverty thresholds are set every year by the Census Bureau and vary by size of family and ages of family members. A high poverty rate is both a cause and a consequence of poor economic conditions. A high poverty rate indicates that local employment opportunities are not sufficient to provide for the local community. Through decreased buying power and decreased taxes, poverty is associated with lower quality schools and decreased business survival.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Low-Income Persons who are SNAP Participants

Value: 10.3 Percent
Measurement Period: 2007
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Poverty
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of low-income persons who participate in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). Low-income persons are defined as people living in a household with an income at or below 200 percent of the federal poverty level.

Why this is important: SNAP, previously called the Food Stamp Program, is a federal-assistance program that provides low-income families with electronic benefit transfers (EBTs) that can be used to purchase food. The purpose of the program is to assist low-income households in obtaining adequate and nutritious diets.

The number of Americans receiving SNAP benefits reached 39.68 million in February 2010, the highest number since the Food Stamp Program began in 1939. As of June 2009, the average monthly benefit was $133.12 per person and as of November 2009, one in eight Americans and one in four children were using SNAP benefits.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,141 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level

Value: 12.5 Percent
**Measurement Period:** 2006-2010
**Location:** County: Smith
**Comparison:** U.S. Counties
**Categories:** Economy/Poverty
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 65 and over living below the federal poverty level.

Why this is important: Federal poverty thresholds are set every year by the Census Bureau and vary by size of family and ages of family members. Seniors who live in poverty are an especially vulnerable group due to increased physical limitations, medical needs, and social isolation. Seniors often live on a fixed income from pensions or other retirement plans and social security. If this income is insufficient in the face of increasing prescription costs and other costs of living, most seniors have no way to supplement their income. Retirement plans may be vulnerable to fluctuations in the stock market as well; the increasing reliance of retirees on stock market based retirement plans may explain why more seniors nationwide are now slipping into poverty.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,142 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

People Living 200% Above Poverty Level

Value: 65.9 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Poverty
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of residents living 200% above the federal poverty level in the community.

Why this is important: Federal poverty thresholds are set every year by the Census Bureau and vary by size of family and ages of family members. A high poverty rate is both a cause and a consequence of poor economic conditions. A high poverty rate indicates that local employment opportunities are not sufficient to provide for the local community. Through decreased buying power and decreased taxes, poverty is associated with lower quality schools and decreased business survival.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/)
URL of Data: [http://factfinder2.census.gov/](http://factfinder2.census.gov/)

People Living Below Poverty Level

Value: 12 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Poverty
Smith County Rural Health Works

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of people living below the federal poverty level.

Why this is important: Federal poverty thresholds are set every year by the Census Bureau and vary by size of family and ages of family members. A high poverty rate is both a cause and a consequence of poor economic conditions. A high poverty rate indicates that local employment opportunities are not sufficient to provide for the local community. Through decreased buying power and decreased taxes, poverty is associated with lower quality schools and decreased business survival.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Poverty Status by School Enrollment

Value: 12 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Economy/Poverty
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of school-aged children, aged 5 to 19, who are living below the federal poverty level and enrolled in school.

Why this is important: Family income has been shown to affect a child's well-being in numerous studies. Compared to their peers, children in poverty are more likely to have physical health problems like low birth weight or lead poisoning, and are also more likely to have behavioral and emotional problems. Children in poverty also tend to exhibit cognitive difficulties, as shown in achievement test scores, and are less likely to complete basic education.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 105 Kansas counties.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/)
URL of Data: [http://factfinder2.census.gov/](http://factfinder2.census.gov/)

Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program

Value: 29.4 Percent  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: County: Smith  
Comparison: U.S. Counties  
Categories: Economy/Poverty
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of students eligible to participate in the Free Lunch Program under the National School Lunch Program.

Why this is important: The National School Lunch Program (NSLP) is a federally assisted meal program operating in public and nonprofit private schools and residential child care institutions. The Free Lunch Program (FLP) under the NSLP has been providing nutritionally balanced lunches to children at no cost since 1946. Families who meet the income eligibility requirements or who receive Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits can apply through their children’s school to receive free meals. The FLP ensures that students who may otherwise not have access to a nutritious meal are fed during the school day. This helps students remain focused and productive in school. Moreover, the lunches help students meet their basic nutritional requirements when their families may not be able to consistently provide a balanced and varied diet.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,122 U.S. counties.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

Uninsured Adult Population Rate

Value: 19.0 percent
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Economy/Poverty
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the estimated percent of persons ages 18-64 who are uninsured.

Why this is important: Access to health services encompasses four components: coverage, services, timeliness, and workforce.

Health insurance coverage helps patients get into the health care system. Uninsured people are:

Less likely to receive medical care
More likely to die early
More likely to have poor health status

Lack of adequate coverage makes it difficult for people to get the health care they need and, when they do get care, burdens them with large medical bills. Current policy efforts focus on the provision of insurance coverage as the principal means of ensuring access to health care among the general population. Other factors, described below, may be equally important to removing barriers to access and utilization of services.

Access to health care services in the United States is regarded as unreliable; many people do not receive the appropriate and timely care they need. The U.S. health care system, which is already strained, will face an influx of patients in 2014, when 32 million Americans will have health insurance for the first time. All of these issues, and others, make the measurement and development of new strategies and models essential.

In 2009-2010, the percentage of Kansans without health insurance rose to 13%, the highest rate of the decade, 2000-2010. This percentage climbed from 11.3% in 2005-2006 and 12.7% in 2008-2009. Approximately 357,500 Kansas residents - children and adults - lacked insurance in 2009-2010, also the highest number in the decade and an increase of about 10,000 people from 347,400 during 2008-2009. The percentage of Kansans (13) who were uninsured in 2009-2010 compared favorably with the United States percentage of 16.5%.
Healthy People 2020 has set a target of 100% coverage for medical insurance. Increase the proportion of persons with health insurance. The national baseline for comparison was 83.2 percent of persons had medical insurance in 2008.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/
URL of Data: http://www.census.gov/did/www/sahie/

Young Children Living Below Poverty Level

Value: 33.5 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Economy/Poverty

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of people under the age of 5 who are living below the federal poverty level.

Why this is important: Family income has been shown to affect a child's well-being in numerous studies. Compared to their peers, children in poverty are more likely to have physical health problems like low birth weight or lead poisoning, and are also more likely to have behavioral and emotional problems. Children in poverty also tend to exhibit cognitive difficulties, as shown in achievement test scores, and are less likely to complete basic education.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,140 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Smith County Rural Health Works

Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Educational Attainment in Adult Population

High School Graduation

Value: 93.6 Percent
Measurement Period: 2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Education/Educational Attainment in Adult Population

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of students who graduate high school within four years of their first enrollment in 9th grade.

Why this is important: Individuals who do not finish high school are more likely than people who finish high school to lack the basic skills required to function in an increasingly complicated job market and society. Adults with limited education levels are more likely to be unemployed, on government assistance, or involved in crime.

The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to increase the proportion of students who graduate high school within four years of their first enrollment in 9th grade to 82.4%.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 105 Kansas counties.
Source: The Annie E. Casey Foundation
URL of Source: http://datacenter.kidscount.org/

People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher
Value: 88 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Education/Educational Attainment in Adult Population

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of people over age 25 who have completed a high school degree or the equivalent.

Why this is important: Graduating high school is an important personal achievement and is essential for an individual's social and economic advancement. Graduation rates are also an important indicator of the performance of the educational system.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher

Value: 14.9 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Education/Higher Education

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of people 25 years and older who have earned a bachelor's degree or higher.

Why this is important: For many, having a bachelor's degree is the key to a better life. The college experience develops cognitive skills, and allows learning about a wide range of subjects, people, cultures, and communities. Having a degree also opens up career opportunities in a variety of fields, and is often the prerequisite to a higher-paying job. It is estimated that college graduates earn about $1 million more per lifetime than their non-graduate peers.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
School Environment

Student-to-Teacher Ratio

Value: 10.2 students/teacher
Measurement Period: 2009-2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Education/School Environment

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the average number of public school students per teacher in the county. It does not measure class size.

Why this is important: The student-teacher ratio gives a rough idea of the amount of individualized attention from teachers that is available to each student. Although it is not the same as class size, the student-teacher ratio is often a reasonable alternative on which to base estimates of class size. According to the National Center for Education Statistics, larger schools tend to have higher student-teacher ratios.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties.
Source: National Center for Education Statistics
URL of Source: http://nces.ed.gov/
URL of Data: http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/bat/
Smith County Rural Health Works

Built Environment

Farmers Market Density

Value: 0.26 markets/1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2011
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Value
Categories: Environment/Build Environment

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of farmers markets per 1,000 population. A farmers market is a retail outlet in which vendors sell agricultural products directly to customers.

Why this is important: Farmers markets provide a way for community members to buy fresh and affordable agricultural products while supporting local farmers. Farmers markets often emphasize good nutrition and support consumers to cook healthier meals and maintain good eating habits. A diet comprised of nutritious foods, in combination with an active lifestyle, can reduce the incidence of heart disease, cancer and diabetes and is essential to maintain a healthy body weight and prevent obesity.

Technical Note: The regional value is compared to the median value of 3,141 U.S. counties. Market data is from 2009 and the population estimates are from 2008.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

Fast Food Restaurant Density

Value: 0.27 restaurants/1,000 population
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of fast food restaurants per 1,000 population. These include limited-service establishments where people pay before eating.

Why this is important: Fast food is often high in fat and calories and lacking in recommended nutrients. Frequent consumption of these foods and an insufficient consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables increase the risk of overweight and obesity. Individuals who are overweight or obese are at increased risk for serious health conditions, including coronary heart disease, type-2 diabetes, multiple cancers, hypertension, stroke, premature death and other chronic conditions. Fast food outlets are more common in low-income neighborhoods and studies suggest that they strongly contribute to the high incidence of obesity and obesity-related health problems in these communities.


Grocery Store Density

Value: 0.8 stores/1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Environment/Build Environment
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of supermarkets and grocery stores per 1,000 population. Convenience stores and large general merchandise stores such as supercenters and warehouse club stores are not included in this count.

Why this is important: There are strong correlations between the density of grocery stores in a neighborhood and the nutrition and diet of its residents. The availability and affordability of healthy and varied food options in the community increase the likelihood that residents will have a balanced and nutritious diet. A diet comprised of nutritious foods, in combination with an active lifestyle, can reduce the incidence of heart disease, cancer and diabetes and is essential to maintain a healthy body weight and prevent obesity. Low-income and under-served communities often have limited access to stores that sell healthy food, especially high-quality fruits and vegetables. Moreover, rural communities often have a high number of convenience stores, where healthy and fresh foods are less available than in larger, retail food markets.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,141 U.S. counties.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

Households without a Car and >1 Mile from a Grocery Store

Value: 3 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Environment/Build Environment
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of housing units that are more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store and do not have a car.

Why this is important:
The accessibility, availability and affordability of healthy and varied food options in the community increase the likelihood that residents will have a balanced and nutritious diet. A diet comprised of nutritious foods, in combination with an active lifestyle, can reduce the incidence of heart disease, cancer and diabetes and is essential to maintain a healthy body weight and prevent obesity. Low-income and underserved areas often have limited numbers of stores that sell healthy foods. People living farther away from grocery stores and who do not have personal transportation to access the grocery stores are less likely to access healthy food options on a regular basis and thus more likely to consume foods which are readily available at convenience stores and fast food outlets.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,109 U.S. counties. Store data are from 2006 and household data are from 2000.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

Liquor Store Density

Value: 51.9 stores/100,000 population
Measurement Period: 2010
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Environment/Build Environment
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of liquor stores per 100,000 population. A liquor store is defined as a business that primarily sells packaged alcoholic beverages, such as beer, wine, and spirits.

Why this is important: Studies have shown that neighborhoods with a high density of alcohol outlets are associated with higher rates of violence, regardless of other community characteristics such as poverty and age of residents. High alcohol outlet density has been shown to be related to increased rates of drinking and driving, motor vehicle-related pedestrian injuries, and child abuse and neglect. In addition, liquor stores frequently sell food and other goods that are unhealthy and expensive. Setting rules that mandate minimum distances between alcohol outlets, limiting the number of new licenses in areas that already have a high number of outlets, and closing down outlets that repeatedly violate liquor laws can all help control and reduce liquor store density.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 2,378 U.S. counties and county equivalents. Population estimates are from the U.S. Census Bureau.
Source: U.S. Census - County Business Patterns
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/econ/cbp/index.html
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/main.html

Low-Income and >1 Mile from a Grocery Store

Value: 18.2 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Environment/Build Environment
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of the total population in a county that is low income and living more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store.

Why this is important: The accessibility, availability and affordability of healthy and varied food options in the community increase the likelihood that residents will have a balanced and nutritious diet. A diet comprised of nutritious foods, in combination with an active lifestyle, can reduce the incidence of heart disease, cancer and diabetes and is essential to maintain a healthy body weight and prevent obesity. Low-income and underserved areas often have limited numbers of stores that sell healthy foods. People living farther away from grocery stores are less likely to access healthy food options on a regular basis and thus more likely to consume foods which are readily available at convenience stores and fast food outlets.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,109 U.S. counties. Store data are from 2006 and household data are from 2000.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

Recreation and Fitness Facilities

Value: 0 facilities/1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Value
Categories: Environment/Build Environment
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of fitness and recreation centers per 1,000 population.

Why this is important: People engaging in an active lifestyle have a reduced risk of many serious health conditions including obesity, heart disease, diabetes, and high blood pressure. In addition, physical activity improves mood and promotes healthy sleep patterns. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommends that active adults perform physical activity three to five times each week for 20 to 60 minutes at a time to improve cardiovascular fitness and body composition. People are more likely to engage in physical activity if their community has facilities which support recreational activities, sports and fitness.

Technical Note: The regional value is compared to the median value of 3,141 U.S. counties.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas

SNAP Certified Stores

Value: 1.3 stores/1,000 facilities
Measurement Period: 2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: U.S. Counties
Categories: Environment/Build Environment
Smith County Rural Health Works

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the number of stores certified to accept Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits per 1,000 population. SNAP stores include: supermarkets; grocery stores and convenience stores; super stores and supercenters; warehouse club stores; specialized food stores (retail bakeries, meat and seafood markets, and produce markets); and meal service providers that serve eligible persons.

Why this is important: SNAP, previously called the Food Stamp Program, is a federal-assistance program that provides low-income families with electronic benefit transfers (EBTs) that can be used to purchase food. The purpose of the program is to assist low-income households in obtaining adequate and nutritious diets.

The number of Americans receiving SNAP benefits reached 39.68 million in February 2010, the highest number since the Food Stamp Program began in 1939. As of June 2009, the average monthly benefit was $133.12 per person and as of November 2009, one in eight Americans and one in four children were using SNAP benefits.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,137 U.S. counties.
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas
Smith County Rural Health Works

Toxic Chemicals

Increased Lead Risk in Housing Rate

Value: 56.41 Percent
Measurement Period: 2000
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Environment/Toxic Chemicals

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of housing units, built before 1950 and at an elevated risk for lead exposure.

Why this is important: Lead poisoning is a preventable pediatric health problem affecting Kansas' children. Lead is a toxic metal that produces many adverse health effects. It is persistent and cumulative. Childhood lead poisoning occurs in all population groups and income brackets. There is no safe level of lead. Early identification and treatment of lead poisoning reduces the risk that children will suffer permanent damage. A blood lead test is the only way to tell if a child has an elevated blood level. Lead-based paint can be found in most homes built before 1950 and many homes built before 1978. Lead can also be found on walls, woodwork, floors, windowsills, eating and playing surfaces or in the dirt outside the home. In addition, renovation or maintenance projects that disturb lead-based paint can create a lead dust hazard that can be inhaled or can settle on toys, walls, floors, tables, carpets or fingers. Parents whose hobby or occupation involves working with or around lead can unknowingly bring lead dust home. Individuals should avoid "take-home" exposures by utilizing personal protection and hygiene after leaving the workplace. Wash your hands after working in the yard. Wash children's hands and faces after playing outside. Wash all fruits and vegetables before consuming them. Remove shoes before entering your home, and clean dust and tracked-in soil. Lead poisoning can be difficult to recognize and can damage a child's central nervous
system, brain, kidneys, and reproductive system. When lead is present in the blood it travels through every organ in the body. Lead interferes with the development of the brain. When lead enters the blood stream it collects in soft tissues of the body and it also settles in the bones and teeth, where it is stored for many years.

Technical Note: The regional value is compared to the Kansas State value.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/
URL of Data: http://keap.kdhe.state.ks.us/epht/portal/ContentArea.aspx
Voter Turnout

Value: 67.2 Percent  
Measurement Period: 2008  
Location: County : Smith  
Comparison: KS Counties  
Categories: Government & Politics/Elections & Voting

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of registered voters who voted in the previous presidential general election.

Why this is important: Voting is one of the most fundamental rights of a democratic society. Exercising this right allows a nation to choose elected officials and hold them accountable. Voting ensures that all citizens have the opportunity to voice their opinions on issues such as the use of tax dollars, civil rights and foreign policy. By voting, individuals shape their communities and influence the next generation of society. A high level of turnout indicates that citizens are involved in and interested in who represents them in the political system.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 105 Kansas counties.  
Source: Kansas Secretary of State  
URL of Source: http://www.kssos.org/  
URL of Data: http://www.kssos.org/elections/elections_statistics.html
Smith County Rural Health Works

Crime & Crime Prevention

Rate of Violent Crime per 1,000 population

Value: 1.8 per 1,000 population
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: KS state value
Categories: Public Safety/Crime & Crime Prevention

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the rate of violent crimes like assault and robbery per 1,000 population.

Why this is important: Social support and good social relations make an important contribution to health. Social cohesion - defined as the quality of social relationships and the existence of trust, mutual obligations and respect in communities or in the wider society - helps to protect people and their health. Inequality is corrosive of good social relations. Societies with high levels of income inequality tend to have less social cohesion and more violent crime.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value. Under reporting of crime by some public safety jurisdictions may result in lower rates.
Source: Kansas Bureau of Investigation
URL of Source:  http://www.accesskansas.org/kbi/
URL of Data: http://www.accesskansas.org/kbi/stats/stats_crime.shtml
Ratio of Children to Adults

Value: 25.2 children per 100 adults  
Measurement Period: 2009  
Location: County: Smith  
Comparison: KS State Value  
Categories: Social Environment/Demographics

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the ratio of adolescent dependent persons (under 15 years of age) per 100 persons aged 15-64.

Why this is important: The age structure of a population is important in planning for the future of a community, particularly for schools, community centers, health care, and child care. A population with more youth will have greater education and child care needs, while an older population may have greater health care needs. Older people are also far more likely to vote, making them an important political force.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value.  
Source: U.S. Census Bureau  
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/  
URL of Data: http://2010.census.gov/2010census/data/

Ratio of Elderly Persons and Children to Adults

Value: 71.6 elderly & children per 100 adults  
Measurement Period: 2009
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the ratio of all dependent persons (ages 0-14 and 65 and over) per 100 persons aged 15-64.

Why this is important: The age structure of a population is important in planning for the future of a community, particularly for schools, community centers, health care, and child care. A population with more youth will have greater education and child care needs, while an older population may have greater health care needs. Older people are also far more likely to vote, making them an important political force.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/
URL of Data: http://2010.census.gov/2010census/data/

Ratio of Elderly Persons to Adults

Value: 46.4 elderly per 100 adults
Measurement Period: 2009
Location: County: Smith
Comparison: KS State Value
Categories: Social Environment/Demographics
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the ratio of elderly dependent persons (65 and over) per 100 persons aged 15-64.

Why this is important: The age structure of a population is important in planning for the future of a community, particularly for schools, community centers, health care, and child care. A population with more youth will have greater education and child care needs, while an older population may have greater health care needs. Older people are also far more likely to vote, making them an important political force.

Technical Note: The county and regional values are compared to Kansas State value / US value.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/
URL of Data: http://2010.census.gov/2010census/data/
People 65+ Living Alone

**Value:** 27.1 Percent  
**Measurement Period:** 2006-2010  
**Location:** County: Smith  
**Comparison:** US Counties  
**Categories:** Social Environment/Neighborhood/Community Attachment

What is this Indicator?  
This indicator shows the percentage of people 65 and over who live alone.

**Why this is important:** People over age 65 who live alone may be at risk for social isolation, limited access to support, or inadequate assistance in emergency situations. Older adults who do not live alone are most likely to live with a spouse, but they may also live with a child or other relative, a non-relative, or in group quarters. The Commonwealth Fund Commission on the Elderly Living Alone indicated that one third of older Americans live alone, and that one quarter of those living alone live in poverty and report poor health. Rates of living alone are typically higher in urban areas and among women. Older people living alone may lack social support, and are at high risk for institutionalization or losing their independent lifestyle. Living alone should not be equated with being lonely or isolated, but many older people who live alone are vulnerable due to social isolation, poverty, disabilities, lack of access to care, or inadequate housing.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,142 U.S. counties and county equivalents.  
Source: American Community Survey  
URL of Source: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/)  
URL of Data: [http://factfinder2.census.gov/](http://factfinder2.census.gov/)
Mean Travel Time to Work

Value: 14.9 Minutes
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: US Counties
Categories: Transportation/Commute to Work

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the average daily travel time to work in minutes for workers 16 years of age and older.

Why this is important: Lengthy commutes cut into workers' free time and can contribute to health problems such as headaches, anxiety, and increased blood pressure. Longer commutes require workers to consume more fuel which is both expensive for workers and damaging to the environment.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Workers who Drive Alone to Work

Value: 75 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Smith County Rural Health Works

Location: County : Smith
Comparison: US Counties
Categories: Transportation/Commute to Work

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of workers 16 years of age and older who get to work by driving alone in a car, truck, or van.

Why this is important: Driving alone to work consumes more fuel and resources than other modes of transportation, such as carpooling, public transportation, biking and walking. Driving alone also increases traffic congestion, especially in areas of greater population density.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/

Workers who Walk to Work

Value: 6.7 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: US Counties
Categories: Transportation/Commute to Work
What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of workers 16 years of age and older who get to work by walking.

Why this is important: Walking to work is a great way to incorporate exercise into a daily routine. In addition to the health benefits, walking helps people get in touch with their communities, reduces commute costs and helps protect the environment by reducing air pollution from car trips. Furthermore, studies have shown that walking to work improves employees overall attitude and morale and reduces stress in the workplace.

The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to increase the proportion of workers who walk to work to 3.1%.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Households without a Vehicle

Value: 3.9 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: US Counties
Categories: Transportation/Commute to Work

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of households that do not have a vehicle.

Why this is important: Vehicle ownership is directly related to the ability to travel. In general, people living in a household without a car make fewer than half the number of journeys compared to those with a car. This limits their access to essential local services such as supermarkets, post offices, doctors' offices and hospitals. Most households with above-average incomes have a car while only half of low-income households do.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: [http://www.census.gov/acs/www/](http://www.census.gov/acs/www/)
URL of Data: [http://factfinder2.census.gov/](http://factfinder2.census.gov/)
Workers Commuting by Public Transportation

Value: 0 Percent
Measurement Period: 2006-2010
Location: County : Smith
Comparison: US Counties
Categories: Transportation/Public Transportation

What is this Indicator?
This indicator shows the percentage of workers aged 16 years and over who commute to work by public transportation.

Why this is important: Public transportation offers mobility to U.S. residents, particularly people without cars. Transit can help bridge the spatial divide between people and jobs, services, and training opportunities. Public transportation is also beneficial because it reduces fuel consumption, minimizes air pollution, and relieves traffic congestion.

The Healthy People 2020 national health target is to increase the proportion of workers who take public transportation to work to 5.5%.

Technical Note: The distribution is based on data from 3,143 U.S. counties and county equivalents.
Source: American Community Survey
URL of Source: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/
URL of Data: http://factfinder2.census.gov/
Smith County

Community Survey Results
Smith County Community Survey

Survey Highlights

- 185 total responses
- Important to remember – non-representative
- 98% see a doctor; 93% use local provider
- 98% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
- 73% used a hospital in the past 2 years; SCMH captured 76%
- 88% had prior SCMH experience; 96% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
- Specialty care
  - Cardiologist – 22
  - Orthopedist – 14
  - Surgeon – 12
  - Neurologist – 9
  - OB/GYN – 8
  - Ear/Nose/Throat – 7
  - Urologist – 7
- 96% used Smith County Family Practice; 95% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
- 53% used Smith County General Surgery; 94% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
- 52% used County Health; 98% satisfied
- Comments suggest some unmet needs and challenges
  - Access to primary care physicians/long wait times
  - Lack of services/specialty assistance
  - Facilities conditions
  - Sustainability/retention of health professionals
  - Customer service issues
  - Elder community-based services
# Smith County Community Survey
## Preliminary Results

## 1. Home Zip Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66932</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66951</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66952</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66967</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67474</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67628</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67638</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66941</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66956</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67420</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67437</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67621</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67473</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67430</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2. Family Doctor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3. Medical Provider for Routine Health Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Community Health Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rural Health Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Health Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Emergency Room/Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None, don't see anyone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Other: Doctor I grew up with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I just moved here</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Family Doctor in Service Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>94.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Satisfaction with Quality of Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Why were you satisfied/unsatisfied?

Satisfied Responses:
1. All elements were very good quality – Office, records, nursing, labs, doctors
2. Convenient, good service/care
3. Knowledgeable, professional, compassionate doctor and others in clinic
4. Friendly, knowledgeable staff
5. Very responsive to my needs
6. Problem diagnosed, treated, subsided. Quick care, worked with my schedule
7. Took care of problem
8. Caring providers
9. They have done a great job.
10. Nurse practitioner knows me.
11. Listens to me – Help me fix the medical need
12. Personal attention, professional and knowledgeable service
13. Quality of care, convenience
14. Thorough, person-centered care
15. They did a good job
16. Received necessary care
17. Easy check in for outpatient appointment
18. Taken good care of problem
19. Issue solved
20. We have real good doctors and nurses
21. Took care of what was needed
22. Had a caring attitude
23. I have always received great care at SCMH
24. Service was good, timely, and positive & friendly
25. They met our needs
26. Needs taken care of
27. Convenience
28. They listened and used their knowledge and abilities to help
29. I received very good care
30. I felt they listened to my concerns
31. I think our doctors do a good job
32. We have great doctors!
33. ______ is such an asset to our medical services
34. Things were okay
35. Personal service
36. Very accommodating, very helpful and informative and understanding
37. Personable, knowledgeable, good facility
38. Very pleasant and treatment healed
39. Needs were met
40. The problems were addressed and treated
41. Smith County has excellent doctors and med staff for a small hospital
42. Takes too long to see a doctor
43. Both routine and emergency services were handled efficiently
44. Personal attention
45. Like the early morning walk-in service
46. Problem was solved
47. We get excellent care. Are always able to get our health issues solved
48. General care seemed relatively timely and visit was very pleasant
49. Great local care
50. Excellent patient assistance
51. She answered all my questions and was very thorough
52. Caring healthcare workers right here in town
53. I like my doctor
54. They cared for concerns and problems
55. Explained what needed to be done and did it in timely manner. Referred us to specialist when needed
56. Yes, routine check up
57. I was taken care of
58. Always treated well
59. Good service, very knowledgeable
60. They took a personal interest
61. Answered all questions and solved the problem
62. Took care of problem
63. Fixed my ailment
64. You have to wait so long for your appointment
65. Good care
66. Feel good about the treatment and results
67. Can call and get in fairly easy and personable care
68. Excellent bedside manner and caring personnel
69. Doctors are knowledgeable and a patient care oriented ARNP
70. Good, competent care
71. Felt the physician took the time to get the whole picture and took into account patient wishes
72. They listen and try to the best of their abilities to help me
73. I trust his knowledge and that he does what is best
74. They seemed very caring
75. I am comfortable with the health care I receive
76. Very personable and knowledgeable
77. Good care and efficient
78. Personal attention from all staff
79. We have great doctors, nurses, etc
80. Excellent care
81. Great professionals and facilities
82. Feel like I get good care
83. They try to see you in as fast as they can-very professional
84. Everyone knowledgeable and helpful
85. Quickcare is a great service-able to see a doctor without losing a lot of work time
86. All staff was personable and friendly. They treated me as appropriate
87. My problem solved by correct diagnosis
88. Skilled and knowledgeable, board certified medical providers
89. Addressed the issues, paid attention
90. Excellent care
91. We are just overall very satisfied with our doctors in Smith Center
92. Answered my questions-listened to my concerns. Recommend additional services when necessary
93. Professional service and care
94. Professionalism of doctors
95. Helpful and caring (love Quickcare Services)
96. Quick response to our needs-very thorough
97. Doctor took care of all my questions and concerns and set up test that I needed.
    They were set up by nurse who was compassionate with my issues. Wonderful care
98. Very kind-good care
99. They took the time to listen and explain
100. Very professional and knowledgeable
101. They treat me real nice
102. Diagnosed problem and treated with medication
103. There is always someone on call with the Smith County Medical Group
104. Referred me on when necessary-explained my case satisfactory
105. The doctor is very thorough and does not hurry on your appointment
106. Very competent doctors and staff
107. Thoroughness when considering treatment of diagnosis
108. He listened to my needs
109. They provide quality care and service
110. Quality care
111. My help was very good and professional
112. Good care, heard back quickly on results
113. I think we have the best physicians and APRN in the area
114. Great follow-up with husbands test results
115. Very thorough and did not feel rushed
116. The wait to get into appointment
117. Very informative visit
118. Sometimes we just have questions and we leave generally satisfied.
119. Good care
120. Very knowledgeable and caring
121. Prompt and knowledgeable
122. They answered questions and it they weren’t sure of an answer, they found out from somewhere else.
123. They did their jobs.
124. The problem was taken care of.
125. It’s in a local area.
126. I got in and got out.

Dissatisfied Responses:
1. Misdiagnosis x2
2. Told them I had a problem with thyroid said already on meds don’t do that test your just fat. Went to Holdge they tested, caged and fixed it. They won’t listen, don’t care
3. Feel like it is not usually the correct diagnosis that is always solved (on their end) with the same treatment
4. Some things they know, some they don’t. Takes few trips to figure out what’s wrong
5. They don’t take time to really talk and see what’s wrong
6. Some of the doctors don’t talk very much
7. Husband goes months without meds
8. It’s hard to get an appointment to see a doctor.
9. I was dissatisfied with the length of time it took.

Neutral Responses:
1. Satisfied with general care but doctors were slow to admit the specialists that were needed. Satisfied with hospital care but hospital is outdated.
2. Very caring, but poor follow up
3. Listened to problem but unhappy with treatment
4. Somewhat satisfied (If I don’t agree with the 1st diagnosis then I ask another doctor in the clinic)
### 7. Used Services of a Hospital in Past 24 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8. Hospitals Services Received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospitals Services Received</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beloit Hospital</td>
<td>Beloit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays Medical Center</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson Hospital</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Mercy Hospital</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KU Medical Center</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Regional Hospital</td>
<td>Manhattan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osborne Memorial Hospital</td>
<td>Osborne</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips County Hospital</td>
<td>Phillipsburg</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooks County Health Center</td>
<td>Plainville</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salina Regional Hospital</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salina Surgical Center</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Francis Hospital</td>
<td>Topeka</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Kids Heart Center</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joe</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Via Christi</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Hospital</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings Ambulatory Care Center</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Lanning</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Samaritan</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New West Sports Medicine</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9. Used Services of Smith County Memorial Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Most Recent Service Obtained at SCMH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>237</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Satisfaction with Last SCMH Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?

Satisfied Responses:
1. Prompt, courteous and professional – one experience was on a Sunday
2. Fast, friendly service
3. Very professional and thorough
4. Quick assessment
5. Needs were met
6. Caring staff
7. They did the right things.
8. Good care
9. Got me in a timely manner, did the mammogram and blood test; sent me results
10. Professional service, personal attention
11. Quality of care
12. Good care
13. Received necessary care without going out of county
14. Excellent outpatient care and lab
15. Taken care of problem
16. Issue solved/care was ok by aides
17. Good care and friendly
18. Didn’t have to travel somewhere else
19. Did what expected
20. Caring attitude
21. They met our needs
22. Needs met
23. The doctor ran tests needed to help us determine what was wrong
24. Very prompt friendly service
25. I like our hospital-glad to have it
26. Good blood work experience
27. Prompt and courteous
28. Personal service
29. Accommodating, helpful, informative, patient and understanding
30. We received overall good care from doctor and nursing staff
31. Very little wait. Treatment received in kind manner. Thankful for hospital in the area
32. Needs were met
33. Great care and med staff
34. Personal attention
35. Good services
36. They saw a need to transfer the patient for further testing
37. Good “elder” care
38. Problem was solved
39. It was quick to get paperwork done and lab worker was friendly
40. Were able to take care of our health issues
41. Great nursing staff
42. Patient care was excellent
43. Very kind and courteous
44. Excellent care in our hometown
45. They were very professional
46. Friendly staff
47. The people were good to me that works there
48. All staff was nice and did their job very well
49. Excellent care, answered all questions
50. Was treated for injury then sent on
51. Taken care of
52. Good service, courteous
53. Good work
54. Took good care of us
55. Everything was fine
56. Nurses were kind and helpful
57. Best of care
58. Got procedure done right here without going out of town and profession medical service is great
59. Excellent care
60. Were treated well with friendly service but qualified and knowledgeable personnel
61. They take good care of you
62. My procedure was done very professionally and thoroughly
63. I thought some of the workers treated me as I was a problem in their day
64. The doctor that was working in the ER that night was very good with my son
65. X-ray dept was great
66. Lab personnel do a very good job
67. Very professional
68. Efficient
69. Quick attention and professional care
70. Efficient, thorough care
71. On time, welcoming, and professional
72. Got good care
73. Very professional-helpful
74. Very good nurses and doctors
75. Quickness of care
76. Good care, proper treatment, friendly staff
77. Well taken care of
78. Efficient service, professional
79. Wonderful care
80. We were extremely satisfied with the emergency care of our daughter received at Smith County, prior to being transferred to Mary Lanning. The nursing care was excellent
81. Competent staff and communication with doctor
82. Professional service and care
83. Caring, helpful, correct diagnosis
84. Excellent care
85. Excellent facility and staff
86. Quick registration, wonderful works. Lab personnel friendly and no problem with getting the specimens
87. Prompt, kind care
88. Ran smooth
89. Very professional
90. They were all friendly
91. Very nice lab people; x-ray techs friendly and knowledgeable
92. Service was quick and considerate
93. Caring, gentle, procedure explained well
94. The care was very good. Response to call button was immediate
95. Very efficient and knowledgeable
96. Thoroughness and caring staff of care
97. Went well
98. They provide quality care and service
99. Good service, quality care
100. Help was very good and treatment cured problem
101. Good care
102. You get quality, caring service
103. Prompt attention
104. Everything was done in a timely fashion and professional
105. I got the care I needed in a reasonable amount of time.
106. I was able to do all my appointment the same day.
107. It’s quality help.
108. There were no problems or issues.
109. There was limited waiting time. They cared why I was there.
110. I slept for days.
111. Professional  
112. The problem was addressed.  
113. It’s local.

Dissatisfied Responses:
1. Did not seem to know what was going on-asked for transfer  
2. Level of care, friendly atmosphere is less than Good Samaritan  
3. Had to ring call light to get family member repositioned all the time  
4. Surgical wound became infected during hospital stay. Some of the nursing staff was rude/not professional, but some were amazing  
5. No epidural available  
6. The lab tech had to poke me 4 times before they got the blood that they needed  
7. RN-rude  
8. Don’t even know what a sitz bath is. Told them arm was hurting, wouldn’t look next morning needle was under skin not in vein-arm swelled huge and all pain meds did no good  
9. No choice  
10. My only problem was confusion with the bill  
11. Nursing sleep problems- being awaken at 3 PM to be weigh  
12. Need updating building and equipment  
13. IV was a mess  
14. Wish more rehab services were available in SC. Wish more continuum of care was available  
15. I was dissatisfied with the service from the nurse.  
16. The doctor left and didn’t finish the rest of the evaluation of illness. He had the nurse do it.  
17. Many reverse isolation things were not done properly.  
18. I am still in pain due to their actions.

Neutral Responses:
1. Not all the elements were of equal quality/expertise. Some staff members were much weaker than others. Some were excellent.  
2. Care seemed on par with services elsewhere-may be lacking specialists, but that’s to be expected in smaller towns  
3. Competence of medical staff and nursing staff; dissatisfied with outdated and facilities
### 13. Past 24 mo, Type of Medical Specialists Services and Where

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Specialist</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allergist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergist</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesiologist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRN</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiologist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Lab</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologist</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologist</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologist</td>
<td>Phillipsburg</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologist</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>Phillipsburg</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatologist</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatologist</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatologist</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Iola, KS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EENT</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrinologist</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>Manhattan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenterology</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Health Issues</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>Grand Island, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammogram</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>Phillipsburg</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 13. Past 24 mo, Type of Medical Specialists Services and Where

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Specialist</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nephrologist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
<td>Omaha, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgeon</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgeon</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Hospital Staff</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB/GYN</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB/GYN</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB/GYN</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB/GYN</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncologist</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncologist</td>
<td>Norton</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncologist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncologist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncologist</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optometrist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optometrist</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optometrist</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optometrist</td>
<td>Topeka</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Surgeon</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Surgeon</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic Oncologist</td>
<td>Omaha, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Hutchinson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 13. Past 24 mo, Type of Medical Specialists Services and Where

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Specialist</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Dentist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrician</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrician</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Therapist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Surgeon</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic Surgeon</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podiatrist</td>
<td>Omaha, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podiatrist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podiatrist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonologist</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonologist</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonologist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonologist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologist</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologist</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina Specialist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retina Specialist</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatologist</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatologist</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Study</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Study</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Study</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal Defects</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal Surgery</td>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Health</td>
<td>Hays</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Kansas City</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Kearney, NE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urologist</td>
<td>Hastings, NE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urologist</td>
<td>Salina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urologist</td>
<td>Smith Center</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. Used Services of the Smith County Family Practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. If yes, what type of service was obtained?
1. Outpatient visit (2)
2. General (18)
3. Annual physical (16)
4. Check-ups (32)
5. Check-up and colds
6. Quick care, physician appointment
7. Allergy shots, annual check-ups
8. Office visit, routine yearly physical
9. Routine health check
10. Routine child health checks, physical exams
11. Use for all non-specialty services, mostly yearly routine physicals
12. Doctor’s appointments, routine health checks
13. Doctor’s visits, lab, for illness
14. Mental health, school physical, illness
15. Seen the doctor at the clinic, x-rays at hospital
16. Well-child check, routine physicals, acute care issues (ab pain, shoulder pain), stitches
17. OB visit/child check ups
18. Lesions removed, leg swelling cured
19. Physicians-annual and illnesses
20. Physical exams-emergency care
21. Yearly exams-treatment for various problems
22. Routine checkup, mammogram
23. Office visit, physical
24. Office visit (2)
25. Yearly checks and any medical needs
26. Treatment for leg and feet pain/numbness
27. Doctor visit for physical need
28. Office visit- for my medical condition
29. Routine checkup, infection, follow up, quick care
30. QuickCare
31. Excellent-annual checkups, heart issues, back problems, sonograms
32. Yearly physical, sinus infection
33. Monitoring of health conditions, laboratory result interpretation
34. QuickCare and follow up appointments
35. Office call (7)
36. Treatment for arthritis, infections
37. Physicals, illness treatment, shots
38. Medical illness, general care
39. Shots
40. Doctor visits, blood work, referred tests in lab & CTs
41. All family needs-medical, lab, x-rays, surgery
42. Doctor appointment (5)
43. Consult for health care concern
44. General doctor appointments and colonoscopy procedure, mammogram, physical therapy
45. Check your feet
46. Examination of leg
47. Bladder infection
48. Checkup and stitches
49. Clinic visit
50. Gynecology
51. Annual checkups, illness
52. Annual physical/acute care
53. QuickCare, physicals
54. Yearly physical, routine checkups, illness
55. Low hemoglobin, bladder infection, physical
56. Breast exam
57. Checkup, flu/colds
58. Back problems/ muscle strain
59. Yearly physical & illness
60. QuickCare
61. Walk-in for hurt foot
62. QuickCare, pre-natal, pediatric, checkups, routine checkups
63. Sickness-general things
64. QuickCare and regular appointments-excellent service
65. Office visit, emergency room, inpatient
66. Physicals, colds, etc.
67. Diabetic services
68. GI distress, no testing, ear infection, routine physicals, nodules
69. X-rays and lab
70. Physicals, vaccinations, exams
71. Consultation and PX
72. QuickCare
73. Physicals, QuickCare
74. I had a spot removed from my chest and a yearly exam
75. Was seen for impacted tooth
76. Physician and blood work, checkups
77. General illness and diabetes consult
78. Medical
79. Doctors’ visits, physicals, etc.
80. Diagnosis of issues
81. Doctors, x-rays, labs
82. Physicals, illnesses
83. Regular check-ups, physicals, exam for illness like cold or flu, exam for spot seen on x-ray
84. Illness, skin cancer
85. Routine physicals and illness
86. Routine care
87. General
88. Basic medical care for ear infection

16. Satisfaction with SCFP Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?
Satisfied Responses:
1. Thorough, personal care
2. Quality of care
3. Personal attention, professional and knowledgeable service
4. They are personable, thorough, caring
5. Wait and QuickCare is always packed
6. Caring providers
7. Needs were met
8. Scheduling, treatment received
9. Excellent physicians and nurse practitioner always listen but do not have you take unnecessary lists
10. Responsive to needs
11. Friendly service from people we know and trust
12. Very professional, compassionate, up to date on current medical knowledge
13. Convenient, good care
14. Well taken care of
15. Good service, proper treatment, friendly staff
16. Caring—makes you feel at ease
17. Good care
18. Caring staff, helpful medical care
19. Relationship with staff and physician
20. Knowledgeable and understanding
21. Routine follow-up appointment-went fine
22. The doctor was very nice
23. I found relief and help with all calls
24. They know what they are doing
25. Knowledgeable and qualified people who listen
26. Good, competent care
27. Able to schedule when we needed to be seen, competent, especially like the “QuickCare” option
28. Excellent bedside manner and caring personnel
29. The great people there, doctors and nurses
30. Results were good
31. Good care
32. Took care of problem
33. Answered questions fully
34. Treated well
35. Good service and taken care of quickly
36. Well treated
37. Explained all that I needed
38. Took care of my needs and prescribed meds
39. Because they help me with my problems
40. Very thorough
41. Received the information and care needed
42. Quality service
43. Were able to take care of our health issue
44. Problem solved
45. Friendly, fast service
46. Prescribed medication and follow ups
47. Comfort and attention
48. Excellent doctors and nurses
49. The problem was addressed
50. Needs were met
51. Take great care of our family
52. Helpful, kind, informative, helpful
53. Personal service
54. Long waits
55. Good healthcare
56. Timely care
57. They helped
58. Needs met
59. Extra-special expertise
60. Service was good, timely, positive and friendly
61. Caring attitude
62. Was taken care of promptly
63. Good doctors and staff
64. Problem solved
65. They were thorough
66. Received necessary care
67. They do a good job
68. Nurse and doctors all very good
69. Good caring staff/doctors
70. Great follow up from physicians, called me at home
71. Quality, caring people who work there
72. Very professional, followed through completely
73. Good appointment
74. Thoroughness of all phases of diagnosis and care
75. Doctor does not rush and answers all questions
76. Feel comfortable, cared for
77. All personnel are efficient, knowledgeable, kind
78. Good general care received from local physicians
79. They have a QuickCare; very helpful and friendly
80. Everyone treated me good an answered all my questions
81. The doctors are concerned about their patients
82. Doctors are good here
83. Professional and good follow up
84. Friendly staff-concerned doctor and his nurse was great
85. Excellent care and concern referred on when needed
86. Good service; love QuickCare
87. Professional care-service
88. Easy to talk to and answered questions
89. We are satisfied with our local doctors
90. Great care
91. Addressed the issues
92. I got the care I needed.
93. The services are ok.
94. They are accommodating and caring.
95. No problems; I like the quick care.
96. They took care of my problems when they could and when they needed more specialized care, they would send me on.
97. I was somewhat satisfied with the quick care.
98. The doctor knew what my issue was.
99. The physical was obtained.

Dissatisfied Responses:
1. Doctors won’t listen to what my family has to say
2. Could hear nurses talking about patients and wondered if they made comments like that behind every patients back
3. They rush you through too fast, and keep you waiting for long periods
4. Sometimes you sit and wait forever in the lobby then wait for doctor to come in room
5. I felt it took longer than necessary to be referred to a specialist
6. Took too long to see a doctor
7. Missed a broken bone and pneumonia
8. Long wait time, QuickCare NOT quick, can’t get an appointment in a reasonable time frame
9. QuickCare is annoying—it is not quick, too many people use it that could have made appointment
10. Did not diagnose problem on refer, had to seek medical attention on own
11. They difficult getting an appointment, wait to get into your appointment
12. The length of time it took to get in for an appointment
13. The office help

Neutral Responses:
1. Competent/compassionate medical providers and staff; dissatisfied with cramped exam rooms, dated and aging facilities
2. Listened to problem, but unhappy with treatment
3. Local care, but busy enough that it’s hard to get an appointment soon
4. Care was no better or worse than similar services elsewhere

18. Used Services of the Smith County General Surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. If yes, what type of service was obtained?
1. Surgery (5)
2. Colonoscopy (37)
3. Removal of tumor
4. Surgical assessment
5. Consult
6. Lesion excision, cancer screening
7. Outpatient procedures
8. Colonoscopy, removal of cancer
9. Colonoscopy; gall bladder removal
10. Biopsy (3)
11. Surgery of gall bladder
12. Breast lumpectomy-breast biopsy-gall bladder-skin cancer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Breast lump removal-stiches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Scope (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clinic visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Good service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hernia surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Scan of stomach; use of x-ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Exploratory surgeries; cyst removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Moles removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Colonoscopy and upper GI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remove small leg tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Breast lumpectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Removal of skin spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Hernia operation (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Skin cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Outpatient surgery-minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Gallbladder surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Minor surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Removal of nodules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Surgical biopsies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Gallbladder removed many years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Gallbladder surgery and scopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Removal of cyst on tailbone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Appendectomy (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Colonoscopy/removal of small growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Surgery and follow up care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Consult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Outpatient surgery and surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, removal of facial lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Consult with OP surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Colonoscopy and scope for duodenal ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Gallbladder, hernia surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Gallbladder surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lumpectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Hernia surgery, colonoscopy-remove polyps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Biopsy and outpatient surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Lumpectomy, cyst removal, colonoscopy, skin cancer removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Take off cancer cells (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Colostomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20. Satisfaction with SCGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?
Satisfied Responses:
1. Professionalism
2. Personal attention, professional and knowledgeable service
3. Timely manner sent results
4. Very thorough, personable, caring, complete
5. Didn’t have to travel
6. Very thorough
7. Very responsive and professional
8. Competent care
9. Good care, personal service
10. Very thorough and professional
11. Excellent surgical skills
12. Explains procedure well
13. Good care
14. Local care, excellent anesthesia
15. No problems with the procedure
16. Good services and good outcome
17. All results have been favorable
18. Informative and good care
19. Great doctor and nurses
20. Good care-very informative
21. Good care
22. Very professional
23. Treated well
24. Answered my questions
25. My surgery went good and my doctor was good
26. It was done professionally
27. Excellent, caring surgeon
28. Did a good job
29. Courteous and caring
30. Friendly and efficient
31. Took good care of me
32. She was great in care during and after the procedure
33. They did a good job
34. Surgeon treated her staff poorly
35. We were able to have surgery performed close to home
36. Needs met
37. Personalities
38. High quality staff and doctor
39. Very thorough
40. Very satisfied
41. Very good at their job-quality service
42. Thoroughness of diagnosis and care
43. Very kind, procedure explained well
44. Enough anesthetics so procedures were not painful
45. Was seen and done surgery right away
46. Efficient surgeon and post visit were excellent
47. Surgery doctor not patience and certain patients
48. Very professional staff
49. Very professional care and service
50. Thorough/competent
51. Great care
52. They are very nice people with good care.
53. They referred me on to another specialist.
54. The doctor explained the procedure very well and the results of the test.
55. No problems
56. I was satisfied with the visit and evaluation.
57. They did a good job with each procedure and follow-up.
58. The doctor was very good and informative.
59. It was done in one day.

Dissatisfied Responses:
1. Some personality conflicts
2. Poor bedside manner of surgeon
3. Unable to commit to surgery and didn’t have referred any place – so still need surgery
4. Surgeon-poor attitude
5. Doctor attitude could be better
6. Need update building and equipment
7. Had trouble getting IV in
8. Sat with surgery not hospice care
9. Should have been put out for this surgery, very painful, took longer than thought

Neutral Responses:
1. Good medical care; would like better “bedside manner” (warmth)
22. Used Services to the Smith County Health Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. If yes, what type of service was obtained?
1. Home health
2. Setting up home health care services for parent
3. Care for my elderly mother-in-law from Greece during her stay with my husband and I
4. Child check-up for Head Start
5. Vaccinations (8)
6. Mother-in-home care
7. Shots (20)
8. Am currently working and PH with emergency preparedness
9. Trim toenails
10. Allergy shots
11. Home health
12. WIC/family planning
13. WIC
14. Flu shots-growth hormone training
15. Immunization, lab services
16. Pill organization
17. Immunizations and blood work
18. Lab, immunizations
19. Get shot records
20. Head lice checks
21. Birth control
22. Blood work (2)
23. Blood pressure/flea shot
24. Yearly physical (2)
25. Shots/blood work (lab)
26. Immunizations, annual physical
27. Weekly allergy shots
28. Blood work, flu shots
29. Immunizations (17)
30. WIC for my kids-shots
31. Prescription drug set-up
32. Home and community base service
33. Bring medicines every week
34. Flu shot (20)
35. Birth control and pap
36. Dep shot
37. WIC, well-child check

### 24. Satisfaction with County Health Department Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Dissatisfied</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 25. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?

**Satisfied Responses:**

1. Timely manner of care
2. Personal attention, professional and knowledgeable service
3. Needs met
4. Very thorough and informative
5. Did not know answers but within a short time found out and helped us care for her – compassionate and patient with us needing to interpret for her
6. Convenience
7. Did a good job
8. Well done
9. She was prompt and took proper action
10. Service was prompt and time accommodating
11. Took care of what we need
12. Great professional service and care
13. Received vaccinations and necessary care
14. Very satisfied with all medical staff
15. Convenience
16. They had the vaccine needed
17. Service quick and courteous
18. Convenience
19. Friendly, on time with appointments
20. It was fine
21. Quick services-pushy about shots
22. The staff were awesome and they got blood from me on the first poke
23. Needed help
24. Helpful, kind, understanding
25. Treatment received, little wait, kind attitudes
26. Received my shot
27. Very friendly
28. Friendly, helpful, reliable and caring
29. Services provided
30. Fast in and don’t wait long at all, nurses are very good at explaining everything and answering questions and are friendly
31. Took care of us
32. Short wait time
33. Good hours and easy access
34. They are friendly and professional
35. Friendly staff
36. Staff was good
37. Keeps track of immunization given and what and when need others
38. Did all that needed to be done
39. Just fine
40. Treated well
41. Helped make sure lice were gone
42. Cheap
43. Very professional
44. Helpful with services
45. They performed what I needed
46. Prompt
47. No problems with getting the shot
48. Nurses were caring and quick to give shots
49. Good care
50. It was done smoothly.
51. They did a good job.
52. It was quick.
53. I got in and out.

Dissatisfied Responses:
1. Hard to make an appointment after school if you go to school at Thunderridge as they cease at 4:00.
2. Need more workers
3. Nothing seemed to be very organized
4. Need face to face visits–don’t just drop off meds
5. Takes way too long, not very good at giving shots
6. It took way too long – shots could have been done by multiples.
7. The RN made me feel like an idiot!

Neutral Responses:
1. Good service but the children didn’t like it
2. Very cooperative but limited resources

26. Concerns about health care in Smith County.
1. What is available is quality. However, assisted living options are VERY limited.
2. Aging population, increased diabetes, need for updated hospital
3. Our healthcare staff is dealing with old or outdated equipment. While they still do an excellent job, they are spending countless extra hours in order to maintain quality care.

4. Assisted care for elderly population

5. Retirement homes, assisted living, ability of hospital to pay bills

6. Need pulmonologist, ENT, dermatologists. Need sleep study lab. Need to update and expand services – physical therapy and cardiac rehabilitation have outgrown their departments.

7. Aging facilities, hard to recruit doctors and nurses, money leaves town, we have to go elsewhere

8. Outdated hospital facilities, lack of strong health care administration, lack of assisted living for elderly, lack of day care, strategic planning for community health care

9. I want them to be around as I grow old.

10. Lack of home health, ancillary services – PT, OT, MRI

11. Continuation of care over the next many years, need for surgeon, care for people with financial concerns

12. We need better mental health options.

13. Smith County needs to either remodel the entire hospital to update and expand or build a new one so we can have a modern up to date facility to attract new physicians and patients.

14. We need all the health care we can get locally since we are a rural area community.

15. We need a facility for SRS that the care progresses with their needs.

16. I believe there could be financial improvements made with hospital management in the future.

17. The need more doctors or APRN’s as they near retirement.

18. We need to keep good physicians and updated facilities in the community.

19. I am concerned with possible decrease in reimbursement to hospital and physicians. It could possibly hurt the ability to keep health care in our community and making it hard to provide quality care.

20. I am concerned with being able to keep doctors’ offices and hospital here.

21. I do not want any doctors to leave.

22. I am worried about the funding, if it is needed.

23. Preventative medicine needs to become a priority.

24. Older adult care needs to consist of more than nursing homes.

25. I am concerned about the deteriorating 60+ year old facility- efficient for patient care- needs either total replacement or major renovation.

26. Physician retention and recruitment will not be successful unless facility needs are addressed now.

27. The facilities in the hospital need updated.

28. There is a huge need for our aging community as far as skilled/ assisted living and graduated assisted living choices.

29. I think the office staff needs to be a little nicer.

30. The staff is rude and disrespectful.
31. Doctors do not listen to problems or if they do they do not take action.
32. The health department takes a long time to do something that shouldn’t take long.
33. Some health care providers do an excellent job, while others are not so great.
34. If there is a professional procedure that is needed, I recommend they hire someone who is more qualified in that field.
35. Assisted living and day care are my main concerns.
36. I believe it is important to keep SCMH/SCFP/SCGS/SCHD up and going strong.
37. The hospital needs more rooms.
38. We need more doctors and more medical care.
39. I would like to see us retain all the services we have currently.
40. I am concerned about losing our health care in town because it is so great.
41. The ambulance service is a concern.
42. There are not enough services here.
43. I think billing and so on should be done here.
44. Need to maintain good health care in our community.
45. I am concerned with the availability of specialist care.
46. Quick care takes way to long.
47. It is hard to get an appointment.
48. We need a Medicare Certified Long Term Care.
49. We need a Medicare Certified Home Health Agency that could be ran out of the hospital.
50. We should staff an anesthesiologist to preform epidurals.
51. Smith County Hospital from doors are VERY hard to get into with a wheelchair, walker or cane. They need to be changed!
52. Family practice is always booked weeks out.
53. Why do we have out of town help come in at a higher pay scale when we do have one in town that can do the job?
54. Hope to keep good general practitioner doctors available
55. Would hate to lose any of the services all a big part of the community. Would like to see a doctor more involved with female problems and a dermatologist.
56. The hospital staff needs more education in isolation and reverse isolation. All the staff: nursing and dietary.
57. Not all services are taken care of in Smith County and it’s a considerable drive in order to obtain these services.
58. Keep it local.
59. The staff is not personal and did not have good bedside manner.
You are invited to participate in a survey intended to help identify health-related needs in Smith County. This survey is being sponsored by the Smith County Memorial Hospital and the Smith County Health Department with assistance from the Department of Agricultural Economics at Kansas State University. This survey invitation is open to any county resident 18 years of age or older.

There will be no information obtained with this survey that will identify you. In any written reports or publications, no one will be identified or identifiable and only group data will be presented. At the end of the survey we invite your comments regarding your perceptions about local health-related issues or this survey initiative; however, do not include any identifying information.

Participation in this survey is voluntary. You may choose to refuse to answer any or all of the questions on this survey. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Dr. John Leatherman, (785) 532-4492; jleather@k-state.edu.

1. First, what is your home zip code? _____________

2. Do you use a family doctor (physician, nurse practitioner, physician's assistant) for most of your routine health care?
   - Yes (Skip to Q4)
   - No
   - Don't Know

3. If no, then what kind of medical provider do you use for routine health care?
   - Community Health Center
   - Rural Health Clinic
   - Health Department
   - Specialist
   - Emergency Room/Hospital
   - None, don't see anyone
   - Other (specify):_____________________________

4. Have you or someone else in your household been to a family doctor (physician, nurse practitioner, physician's assistant) in the Smith County service area?
   - Yes
   - No (Skip to Q7)
   - Don't Know (Skip to Q7)

5. If yes, how would you describe your satisfaction with the quality of care provided by that doctor? Were you…
   - Satisfied
   - Somewhat Satisfied
   - Somewhat Dissatisfied
   - Dissatisfied

6. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?
   __________________________________________________________________________

7. Have you or someone in your household used the services of a hospital in the past 24 months?
   - Yes
   - No (Skip to Q9)
   - Don't Know (Skip to Q9)

8. At which hospital(s) were services received?
   - Smith County Memorial Hospital (Skip to Q10)
   - Other (please specify Hospital(s) and City)
     Hospital       City
     __________________________________________________
     __________________________________________________
     __________________________________________________

9. Have you or any members of your household ever used the services of the Smith County Memorial Hospital?
   - Yes
   - No (skip to Q13)
   - Don't Know (skip to Q13)

10. Recalling the most recent visit to the Smith County Memorial Hospital, what type of service was obtained? (check all that apply)
    - Inpatient
    - Outpatient
    - Emergency
    - Other (please specify)
    __________________________________________________________________________

11. How would you describe your satisfaction with your last Smith County Memorial Hospital experience? Were you…
    - Satisfied
    - Somewhat Satisfied
    - Somewhat Dissatisfied
    - Dissatisfied

12. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?
    __________________________________________________________________________

13. In the past 24 months, what type of medical specialist services have you or someone in your household used and where was that service provided?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Specialist</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Have you or any members of your household ever used the services of the Smith County Family Practice?
   ☐ Yes  ☐ No (skip to Q18)  ☐ Don’t Know (skip to Q18)

15. If yes, what type of service was obtained? (please specify)

________________________________________________________________________

16. How would you describe your satisfaction with your Smith County Family Practice experience? Were you….
   ☐ Satisfied  ☐ Somewhat Satisfied  ☐ Somewhat Dissatisfied  ☐ Dissatisfied

17. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?

________________________________________________________________________

18. Have you or any members of your household ever used the services of Smith County General Surgery?
   ☐ Yes  ☐ No (skip to Q18)  ☐ Don’t Know (skip to Q18)

19. If yes, what type of service was obtained? (please specify)

________________________________________________________________________

20. How would you describe your satisfaction with your Smith County General Surgery experience? Were you….
   ☐ Satisfied  ☐ Somewhat Satisfied  ☐ Somewhat Dissatisfied  ☐ Dissatisfied

21. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?

________________________________________________________________________

22. Have you or any members of your household ever used the services of the Smith County Health Department?
   ☐ Yes  ☐ No (skip to Q26)  ☐ Don’t Know (skip to Q26)

23. If yes, what type of service was obtained? (please specify)

________________________________________________________________________

24. How would you describe your satisfaction with your county health department experience? Were you….
   ☐ Satisfied  ☐ Somewhat Satisfied  ☐ Somewhat Dissatisfied  ☐ Dissatisfied

25. Why were you satisfied/dissatisfied?

________________________________________________________________________

26. Please indicate any general concerns you have about health care in Smith County:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

Thank your for your assistance.

Please drop your completed survey off at Smith County Memorial Hospital, 614 S. Main, Smith Center between 7:00 a.m. 6:00 p.m., or the Smith County Health Department, 119 S. Main, in Smith Center between 9 p.m. until 4 p.m. no later than Wednesday, September 12.
Health Services Directory
Smith County
This directory contains contact information for service providers supporting the local health care system. The directory includes telephone and Internet contact information for many health-related information centers in Kansas and throughout the U.S.

There are two purposes motivating the compilation of this information. The first is to ensure that local residents are aware of the scope of providers and services available in the local health care market. For most rural communities, the local health care market is an important source of community economic activity.

The second use of this information is for community health services needs assessment. The ability to review the full inventory of health-related services and providers can help identify gaps that may exist in the local health care system. This could become the focus of future community efforts to fill the gaps in needed services.

Funding for this work was provided by the Kansas Health Foundation Professor in Community Health Endowment administered by K-State Research and Extension at Kansas State University.
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- Tel: (785) 793-5000
- Fax: (785) 793-5001
- Email: info@ks.com

This publication is formatted for printing as a 5.5" x 8.5" booklet. Set your printer to print 2 pages per sheet. In Acrobat, go to Print/Properties/Finishing and select 2 Pages per Sheet.

Independent study and individual instruction: The ability to review the full inventory of health-related services and providers can help identify gaps that may exist in the local health care system. This could become the focus of future community efforts to fill the gaps in needed services.
To provide updated information or to add new health and medical services to this directory, please contact:

282-23
Smith County Extension Office
218 South Grant Street (Smith Center)

218-6823

For more information, please contact:

282-23
Smith County Extension Office
218 South Grant Street (Smith Center)

218-6823
Emergency Numbers

Police/Sheriff  911
Fire    911
Ambulance  911

Non-Emergency Numbers

Smith County Sheriff    785-282-5180
Smith County Ambulance   785-282-6823

Municipal Non-Emergency Numbers

Athol 785-282-5180  785-695-2300
Kensington 785-282-5180  785-476-2400
Lebanon 785-282-5180  785-476-2400
Smith Center 785-282-3249  785-282-3271
Cedar 785-282-5180  785-476-2400
Gaylord 785-282-5180  785-476-2400

Other Emergency Numbers

Kansas Bureau of Investigation (Topeka)
785-296-8200
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Smith County Sheriff
785-282-5180
785-282-6823

Athol
785-695-2300
785-476-2400

Kensington
785-282-5180
785-476-2400

Lebanon
785-282-5180
785-476-2400

Smith Center
785-282-3249
785-282-3271
Cedar
785-282-5180
785-476-2400
Gaylord
785-282-5180
785-476-2400

Federal Bureau of Investigation
1-800-922-5330
www.srskansas.org/hotlines.html

Domestic Violence Hotline
1-800-799-7233
www.ndvh.org

Emergency Management (Topeka)
785-274-1409
www.accesskansas.org/kdem

Kansas Arson/Crime Hotline
1-866-483-5137
www.accesskansas.org/kbi
1-800-KS-CRIME
1-800-572-1763
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Kansas Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
1-800-922-5330
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Kansas Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
1-800-922-5330
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Other Emergency Numbers

Kansas Bureau of Investigation (Topeka)
785-296-8200
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Federal Bureau of Investigation
1-800-922-5330
www.srskansas.org/hotlines.html

Domestic Violence Hotline
1-800-799-7233
www.ndvh.org

Emergency Management (Topeka)
785-274-1409
www.accesskansas.org/kdem

Kansas Arson/Crime Hotline
1-866-483-5137
www.accesskansas.org/kbi
1-800-KS-CRIME
1-800-572-1763
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Kansas Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
1-800-922-5330
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Other Emergency Numbers

Kansas Bureau of Investigation (Topeka)
785-296-8200
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Federal Bureau of Investigation
1-800-922-5330
www.srskansas.org/hotlines.html

Domestic Violence Hotline
1-800-799-7233
www.ndvh.org

Emergency Management (Topeka)
785-274-1409
www.accesskansas.org/kdem

Kansas Arson/Crime Hotline
1-866-483-5137
www.accesskansas.org/kbi
1-800-KS-CRIME
1-800-572-1763
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Kansas Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
1-800-922-5330
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Other Emergency Numbers

Kansas Bureau of Investigation (Topeka)
785-296-8200
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Federal Bureau of Investigation
1-800-922-5330
www.srskansas.org/hotlines.html

Domestic Violence Hotline
1-800-799-7233
www.ndvh.org

Emergency Management (Topeka)
785-274-1409
www.accesskansas.org/kdem

Kansas Arson/Crime Hotline
1-866-483-5137
www.accesskansas.org/kbi
1-800-KS-CRIME
1-800-572-1763
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Kansas Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
1-800-922-5330
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Other Emergency Numbers

Kansas Bureau of Investigation (Topeka)
785-296-8200
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Federal Bureau of Investigation
1-800-922-5330
www.srskansas.org/hotlines.html

Domestic Violence Hotline
1-800-799-7233
www.ndvh.org

Emergency Management (Topeka)
785-274-1409
www.accesskansas.org/kdem

Kansas Arson/Crime Hotline
1-866-483-5137
www.accesskansas.org/kbi
1-800-KS-CRIME
1-800-572-1763
www.accesskansas.org/kbi

Kansas Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
1-800-922-5330
www.accesskansas.org/kbi
Health Services

Smith County Memorial Hospital Services Include:

- Acute Nursing
- Cardiology
- Consultation Clinics
- Dermatology
- Laboratory
- Infection Control
- Hospice Services
- Emergency Room
- Dietary Meals on Wheels
- OB/GYN
- Pediatric
- Oncology
- Orthopedics
- Urology
- Ophthalmology
- Psychiatry
- Cardiology
- Consulting Clinics
- Cardiac Rehabilitation
- Acute Nursing

Smith County Memorial Hospital Services Include:

- Laboratory
- Infection Control
- Hospice Services
- Emergency Room
- Dietary Meals on Wheels
- OB/GYN
- Pediatric
- Oncology
- Orthopedics
- Urology
- Ophthalmology
- Psychiatry
- Cardiology
- Consulting Clinics
- Cardiac Rehabilitation
- Acute Nursing

Toxic Chemical and Oil Spills

1-800-424-8802
www.epa.gov/region02/contact.htm

Poison Control Center

1-800-222-1222  www.aapcc.org

Suicide Prevention Holline

1-800-SUICIDE  www.suicidepreventionlifeline.com
1-800-273-TALK  www.suicidepreventionlifeline.com

Smith County Memorial Hospital

785-282-6445
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)

Health Services

Smith County Memorial Hospital

785-282-6445
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
8

Long Term Care
Obstetrics/Nursery
Physical Therapy/Gardner Wellness
Radiology   MRI
CT
Ultrasound
Mammography
Bone Density Testing
Surgical Services
Physical Therapy/Gardner Wellness
Dietetics/Nutrition
Long Term Care

Health Department
Smith County Health Department
119 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6656

Mental Health
High Plains Mental Health Center
209 W Harrison (Osborne)
785-628-2871

Medical Professionals
www.highplainsmentalhealth.com
785-628-2871
208 E 7th Street (Hays)
786-543-5284
783 7th Street (Phillipsburg)
785-346-2184
Midway Chiropractic
Midway Chiropractic

Chiropractors

Smith County Family Practice Clinic
119 East Parliament Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6834
Smith County General Surgery Clinic
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6859

Dentists
Smith Center Dental Clinic
130 West Kansa Avenue (Smith Center)
785-282-6979
www.smithcenterdental.com

Optometrists
Eye Care Center
128 West Kansas Avenue (Smith Center)
785-282-6086

Pharmacies
Kriley's Family Drug Center
125 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-3311
www.healthmart.com

Pamida Pharmacy
114 South Main (Smith Center)
785-282-6443
www.pamida.com

Physicians
Smith County Family Practice Clinic
119 East Parliament Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6834

Smith County General Surgery
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6845
www.smithcohosp.org

Rehabilitation Services
Desert Health & Rehab
613 North Main Street (Kensington)
785-476-2623
www.deserthealthandrehab.org

Smith County Memorial Hospital
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6845
www.smithcohosp.org

Smith County Family Practice Clinic
119 East Parliament Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6834

Smith County Pharmacy
114 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6443
www.pamida.com

Smith County General Surgery Clinic
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6845
www.smithcohosp.org

Rehabilitation Services
Desert Health & Rehab
613 North Main Street (Kensington)
785-476-2623
www.deserthealthandrehab.org

Smith County Memorial Hospital
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6845
www.smithcohosp.org

Smith County Family Practice Clinic
119 East Parliament Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6834

Smith County Pharmacy
114 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6443
www.pamida.com

Smith County General Surgery Clinic
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6845
www.smithcohosp.org
Other Health Services

Deseret Health & Rehab
617 West 1st Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6722

Gardner Wellness Center
614 S Main (Smith Center)
785-282-6845

Deseret Health & Rehab
613 North Main Street (Kensington)
785-476-2623

Smith County Memorial Hospital Long Term Care Unit
614 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6696

Heritage Harbor Board & Care Home
104 West Francis Street (Smith Center)
785-282-3372

Independent Living Health Care
517 North Monroe Street (Smith Center)
785-282-3593

Deseret Health & Rehab
117 West 1st Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6945

Nursing Home/TLTC
104 West Francis Street (Smith Center)
Heritage Harbor Board & Care Home
785-282-3372
Kansas Food Bank
1919 East Douglas (Wichita)
316-265-4421
www.kansasfoodbank.org

Smith Center Food Pantry
101 S Lincoln
Smith Center KS 66967
785-282-6155 or 282-3728
Open M, Tu, Wed 9-12 am

Kensington Food Pantry
Serving the Thunder Ridge School District
Kensington Senior Community Center
102 E Pine (Kensington)
Open 3rd Saturday 1-3 pm

Government Health Care

Social Security Administration
785-625-3496
1212 East 27th Street (Hays)
Services (SSRS)

Medicaid
785-628-1066
3000 Broadway (Hays)

Social Security Administration
785-296-4986 or 1-800-432-3535
Topeka, KS 66603
503 S. Kansas Avenue
www.agingkansas.org/

Kansas Department of Social & Rehabilitation Services (KDHE)
3000 Broadway (Hays)
785-628-1066

Kensington Senior Community Center
Serving the Thunder Ridge School District
Open M, Tu, Wed 9-12 am

Medicaid
785-296-1500
785-296-4986
1000 SW Jackson (Topeka)
Curtis State Office Building
(12th)

Kansas Department of Health and Environment
785-296-4986
1000 SW Jackson (Topeka)
www.kdh.e.government.com/
Health and Fitness Centers

Gardner Wellness Center
614 So. Main (Smith Center)
785-282-6845

Smith Center Recreation Commission
785-282-6474

Kensington Recreation Commission
785-476-3236

Immunizations
Smith County Family Practice Clinic
119 East Parliament Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6834

Hospice Services
424 8th Street (Phillipsburg)
785-543-2900

Hospice
www.hospicenwks.net

Massage Therapists
Midway Chiropractic
717 East 2nd Street (Smith Center)
282-6818

Medical Equipment and Supplies
Kriley Family Healthmart
125 So. Main (Smith Center)
785-282-3311

Pamida Pharmacy
114 So. Main (Smith Center)
785-282-6443

Smith County Health Department
119 So. Main (Smith Center)
282-6656

Smith County Family Practice Clinic
119 East Parliament Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6834
Elder Care, Inc.
PO Box 1364 (Great Bend)
792-5942

Senior Services
Kensington Senior Community Center
128 South Main Street (Kensington)
476-2224

Smith County Senior Center
117 West Court Street (Smith Center)
282-3800

1-800-922-5330
www.srskansas.org/ISD/ees/adult.htm

Elder Abuse Hotline
1-800-842-0078
www.elderabusecenter.org

Alcohol and Drug Services
Alcohol and Drug Abuse Services
1-800-566-3630
http://www.srskansas.org/services/alc-drug_assess.htm

Alcohol Detoxification 24-Hour Helpline
1-877-403-3387
www.ACenterForRecovery.com
Children and Youth

Day Care Providers

www.kcksl.org
1-800-332-6378
Kansas Children’s Service League

www.childdall.org
785-235-5437
627 Southwest Topeka Boulevard (Topeka)
Children’s Alliance

Heritage Harbor Board & Care Home
104 West Francis Street (Smith Center)
785-282-3372

Independent Living Health Care
785-282-3536
517 North Monroe Street (Smith Center)

Desert Health & Rehab
1-877-403-6236
785-476-2623
613 North Main Street (Emporia)

Center for Recovery
1-800-579-0377
1-888-433-9869

G & G Addiction Treatment Center
1-866-439-1807
Road Less Traveled
1-866-486-1812

Seabrook House
1-800-922-5330
Child Protection

Children and Youth

Children Protection

Center – i.e., PROTECTION REPORT CENTER FOR ABUSE

Available 24 hours/7 days per week – including holidays

1-800-922-5330

Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services West Region

The Treatment Center
1-888-433-9869

Seabrook House
1-800-799-3077

Road Less Traveled
1-866-439-1807

CGC Addiction Treatment Center
1-877-403-6326

Center for Recovery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Little Britches Day Care</td>
<td>313 North Adams Street</td>
<td>785-476-2811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud County Health Department</td>
<td>211 West Court (Smith Center)</td>
<td>785-243-8140 785-243-5404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County Head Start</td>
<td>223 South Main Street</td>
<td>785-282-6011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC Learning Pre-School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Childhood Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Housing Corps Housing Equity
14482 West 118th Terrace (Olathe)
785-261-8067

Country View Village
504 North Main Street (Kensington)
785-476-2606

Western Plains Village
501 W 3rd (Smith Center)
785-282-6747

Cedars of Lebanon
601 E Kansas
785-282-6747

Parkview Manor
400 6th Street (Gaylord)
785-697-2690

Legal Services
Dietz & Harman Attorneys
206 South Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6626

Libraries, Parks and Recreation
Wagner Park
117 West Court Street (Smith Center)
785-282-3249

Smith Center Public Library
785-389-5711

Kensington Community Library
785-476-2219

Lebanon City Library
404 Main Street (Lebanon)
785-389-5711

Wagner Park
785-476-2219

Libraries, Parks and Recreation
Michael Shannon McDowell
173 North Main Street (Smith Center)
785-282-6688

Cedars of Lebanon
501 W 3rd (Smith Center)
785-282-6747

Western Plains Village
604 North Main Street (Kensington)
785-476-2606

County View Village
1442 West 118th Terrace (Olathe)
785-261-8067

Housing
785-282-6626

206 South Main Street (Smith Center)
Dietz & Harman Attorneys
785-282-6626

Parkview Manor
400 6th Street (Gaylord)
785-697-2690

Cedars of Lebanon
601 E Kansas
785-282-6747

Western Plains Village
604 North Main Street (Kensington)
785-476-2606

County View Village
1442 West 118th Terrace (Olathe)
785-261-8067

Housing
State and National Information,
Services, Support

Adult Protection Services
1-800-922-5330 www.srskansas.org/SD/ees/adult.htm

Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault (DVACK)
1-800-874-1499 www.dvack.org

Elder Abuse Hotline
1-800-842-0078 www.elderabusecenter.org

Elder and Nursing Home Abuse Legal
www.resource4nursinghomeabuse.com/index.html

Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence
1-888-END-ABUSE (363-2287)
www.kcsdv.org/ksresources.html

Kansas Department on Aging
Adult Care Complaint Program
1-800-842-0078
www.kansas.gov/aging/kassources.htm

National Center on Elder Abuse (Administration on Aging)
www.ncea.gov/NCEAroot/Main_Site?Find_Help/HelpHotline.aspx

National Domestic Violence Hotline
1-800-799-SAFE (799-7233) 1-800-787-3224 (TTY)
www.ndvh.org

National Sexual Assault Hotline
1-800-994-9662 1-888-220-5416 (TTY)
www.4woman.gov/faq/sexualassualt.htm

National Suicide Prevention Lifeline
1-800-273-8255
www.suicidepreventionlifeline.org

Poison Center
1-800-222-1222
www.kansas.gov/aging/Safety/Toxics/poison.htm

Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Crisis Line
1-800-701-3630
www.srskansas.org/SD/ees/adult.htm

Social and Rehabilitation Services (SRS)
1-888-369-4777 (HAYS)
www.srskansas.org/SD/ees/adult.htm
Regional Prevention Centers of Kansas
1-800-757-2180
www.smokyhillfoundation.com/rpc-locate.html

Better Business Bureau
Better Business Bureau
328 Laura (Wichita)
316-263-3146
http://www.wichita.bbb.org

Children and Youth
Adoption
800-862-3678
http://www.adopt.org/

Boys and Girls Town National Hotline
1-800-448-3000
www.girlsandboystown.org

Child/Adult Abuse and Neglect Hotline
800-922-5330  http://www.srskansas.org/

Child Abuse Hotline
1-800-922-5330
http://www.childhelpusa.org/home
1-800-4-A-CHILD  (422-4453)
www.childabuse.com

Child Protective Services
1-800-426-5678
Child Find of America
1-800-426-5678

www.healthwave.org
HealthWave
P.O. Box 3599
Topeka, KS  66601
1-800-792-4884
1-800-792-4292 (TTY)
www.kansashealthwave.org

Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics) Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics)
www.heartspring.org
1-800-792-4929 (TTY)
1-800-792-4884
1-800-922-5330
Child Abuse National Hotline
www.childabuse.com
1-800-4-A-CHILD  (422-4453)

HealthWave
Wichita, KS  67226
701 W. 2nd North
8700 E. 29th

Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics) Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics)
www.heartspring.org
1-800-792-4884
1-800-792-4292 (TTY)
P.O. Box 3599
Topeka, KS 66601

HealthWave
Wichita, KS 67226
701 W. 2nd North
8700 E. 29th

Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics) Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics)
www.heartspring.org
1-800-792-4884
1-800-792-4292 (TTY)
P.O. Box 3599
Topeka, KS 66601

HealthWave
Wichita, KS 67226
701 W. 2nd North
8700 E. 29th

Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics) Heartspring (Institute of Logopedics)
www.heartspring.org
1-800-792-4884
1-800-792-4292 (TTY)
P.O. Box 3599
Topeka, KS 66601

HealthWave
Wichita, KS 67226
701 W. 2nd North
8700 E. 29th
Veterans Administration
Veterans Administration Benefits
800-669-8477

Life Insurance
1-800-669-8477

Education (GI Bill)
1-888-442-4551

Health Care Benefits
1-877-222-8387

Income Verification and Means Testing
1-800-929-8387

Mammography Helpline
1-888-492-7844

Gulf War/Agent Orange Helpline
1-888-422-8387

Status of Headstones and Markers
1-800-749-8387

Telecommunications Device for the Deaf
1-800-222-8387

Veterans Administration Benefits Information and Assistance
1-800-827-1000

Debt Management
1-800-827-0648

Welfare Fraud Hotline
1-800-432-3913

Welfare Fraud Hotline
1-800-492-7844

Life Insurance
1-800-669-8477

Veterans Administration Benefits Administration
800-669-8477

Income Verification and Means Testing
1-888-422-4551

Health Care Benefits
1-800-669-8477

Veterans Administration Benefits
800-669-8477
Kansas Rural Health Works
Community Health Needs Assessment

Smith County

John Leatherman
Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics
Director, Office of Local Government
K-State Research and Extension

Agenda

• CHNA overview
• Economic contribution of local health care
• Preliminary list of community concerns
• Health service area
• Local data reports
• Community health services directory
• Community health care survey
• Proposed schedule of meetings
• Focus group questions
• Next meeting
Local Health Needs Assessment

- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act
- 501(c)3 (charitable) hospital every 3 years
  - Community Health Needs Assessment
  - Implementation strategy
  - Demonstrable effort for progress
- Public Health Accreditation every 5 years
  - Community Public Health Needs Assessment
  - Public health action planning
  - Strategic plan

KRHW CHNA Objectives

- KRHW Community Engagement Process since 2005
  - Help foster healthy communities
  - Help foster sustainable rural community health care system
  - Identify priority health care needs
  - Mobilize/organize the community
  - Develop specific action strategies with measurable goals
Community-driven Process

- Community-based, not driven by hospital, health care provider, or outside agency
- Local people solving local problems
- Community provides energy and commitment, with input from health care providers
- Public represented by you - community leaders who care enough to participate
- I make no recommendations

Steering Committee Meetings

- 3 two-hour working meetings over 3 weeks
- Examine information resources
  - Economic contribution of health care; health services directory; community health care survey; data and information reports
- Identify priority health-related needs
  - Revisit information; small group discussion; group prioritization; form action teams
- Develop action strategies for priority needs
  - Leadership, measurable goals
Keys to Success

- Our process has a beginning and an end
- Your participation is critical
- Your preparation allows effective participation
- Every community has needs and the capacity to improve its relative situation
- Your ongoing commitment and initiative will determine whether that’s true here
- We’ll provide discussion forum and tools
- The rest is up to you
Importance of Health Care Sector

- Health services and rural development
  - Major U.S. Growth Sector
    - Health services employment up 70% from 1990-08
    - 10%-15% employment in many rural counties
  - Business location concern
    - Quality of life; productive workforce; ‘tie-breaker’ location factor
  - Retiree location factor
    - 60% called quality health care “must have”

Health Services in Smith County

Figure 5. Employment by Sector (2008)
# Total Health Care Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Sectors</th>
<th>Direct Employment</th>
<th>Economic Multiplier</th>
<th>Total Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Personal Care Stores</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Services</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and Dentists</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ambulatory Health Care</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing and Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>284</td>
<td></td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Health Care Impact ($000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Sectors</th>
<th>Direct Income</th>
<th>Economic Multiplier</th>
<th>Total Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Personal Care Stores</td>
<td>$188</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>$212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Services</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Services</td>
<td>$177</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>$196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and Dentists</td>
<td>$193</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>$217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ambulatory Health Care</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>$4,664</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>$5,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>$1,642</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>$1,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$6,863</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Health Care Impact ($000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Sectors</th>
<th>Total Impact</th>
<th>Retail Sales</th>
<th>County Sales Tax Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Personal Care Stores</td>
<td>$212</td>
<td>$49</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Services</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Services</td>
<td>$196</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors and Dentists</td>
<td>$217</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ambulatory Health Care</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>$5,506</td>
<td>$1,268</td>
<td>$13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>$1,812</td>
<td>$417</td>
<td>$4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,942</td>
<td>$1,829</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary and Conclusions

- Trends and indicators show health care’s economic importance
- Health services among the fastest growing sectors – demographic trends suggest growth will continue
- Attracting/retaining businesses & retirees depends on adequate health care services
- Sustainable health care system essential for local health and economic opportunity
Summary and Conclusions

- Economics of health care rapidly changing
- Maintaining a sustainable local health care system is a community-wide challenge
- Strategic health care planning must be ongoing and inclusive

Initial Community Perceptions

- What are major health-related concerns?
- What needs to be done to improve local health care?
- What should be the over-arching health care goals in the county?
- What are the greatest barriers to achieving those goals?
SCMH Health Care Market

81.0% of Inpatient Discharges in 2011

Data Fact Sheets
Data Fact Sheets

• Seeking issues/needs in secondary data, i.e. that which is missing, a challenge, or could be improved
• Looking at the negative doesn’t mean there isn’t much that is good
• Data are indicators that require interpretation
• You decide what’s important

Data Fact Sheets

• Seeking issues/needs in secondary data
• Economic & demographic data
  – Declining total population ~ 23% since 1990
  – Aging population ~ 26% 65+ and growing
  – 37% of population without spouse
  – 17% of HH live on <$15,000, 31% <$25,000
  – Transfer income > importance (>29m, 19%)
  – 13% live in poverty (19% of children)
Data Fact Sheets

- **Health & behavioral data**
  - LTC capacity: community-based alternatives?
  - Youth tobacco use ~11%, ~ KS & improving
  - Youth binge drinking ~12%, ~ KS & improving
  - Child immunizations ~ 90%, > KS & improving
  - 20% newborns < than adequate prenatal care (small numbers)
  - Government family/food assistance increasing
  - Hospital short-term trends stable

- **Crime data**
  - Crime ½ state rates (incomplete data)
  - Trends stable

- **Education data**
  - Long-term enrollment decline
  - Dropout rate stable/violence down (low numbers)

- **Traffic data**
  - 21% of crashes w. injury/death, no seatbelt
  - Positive overall trends
Data Fact Sheets

• Health Matters (random impressions)
  – Missing data/small numbers due to sampling
  – Obesity, diabetes, hypertension ~ same as KS
  – Teen, unmarried births rising, ~ same as KS
  – 17% of pregnant women smoke, > KS
  – Uninsured pop. ~ 2% higher than KS
  – Injuries are high vs. KS
  – Indications of economic distress
  – Families and children in poverty of “concern” to “severe”
  – High lead risk with older housing

Overall Conclusions from Data

• Population trends and income levels are creating challenges
• Accessing state/federal assistance is essential
• Community-based services for those elderly, alone
• Room for improvement in preventable problems – neonatal care, tobacco/alcohol use, immunization
Reactions, discussion?
You look. You decide.
Community Directory

- Comprehensive listing of health and related providers and services
- If they know it’s available locally, they can choose to buy it at home
- Extended description of hospital, county health department, others as justified
- You ensure completeness and accuracy
- Consider the “gaps” that may exist
- Updatable, reproducible

Community Health Care Survey

- Community health services
  - Residents’ health usage of doctors, hospital, clinics, and Health Department
  - Any general concerns
- Non-random, non-representative
- “Lots” of input - You + 5
- 5 minutes – answer on the spot
- Drop off by Wednesday at hospital 7am-6pm; health department 9am-4pm
Public Meeting Schedule

• September 10 – Overview, economic impact report, community concerns, data reports, draft health services directory, survey
• September 24 – Review data & information; group discussion; issue prioritization; team formation
• October 1 – Action planning
• After? That’s up to you

Next Meeting

• Introduction and Review
• Review of Data
• Service Gap Analysis
• Survey Results
• Focus group formation and charge
• Group Summaries
• Prioritization
• Next meeting date
Next Meeting

• Homework: review the information, consider the questions
• Focus Group questions
  – *What is your vision for a healthy community?*
  – *What can the hospital do to help?*
  – *What can the health department do to help?*
Contact information:
John Leatherman

785-532-4492/2643
jleather@k-state.edu

More info:
www.krhw.net
www.ksu-olg.info
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Agenda

• CHNA overview and review
• Preliminary list of community concerns
• Local data reports
• Community health services gap analysis
• Community health care survey results
• Small group discussion
• Group prioritization
• Next meeting
Local Health Needs Assessment

- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act creates hospital requirements
- Public Health Department Accreditation
- Both require Community Health Needs Assessment

KRHW CHNA Objectives

- KRHW CHNA
  - Help foster healthy communities and a sustainable rural community health care system
  - Identify priority health care needs
  - Mobilize/organize the community
  - Develop specific action strategies with measurable goals
Community-driven Process

- Community-based, not driven by hospital, health care provider, or outside agency
- Local people solving local problems
- Community provides energy and commitment, with input from health care providers
- Public represented by you
- I make no recommendations
Summary and Conclusions

• Trends and indicators show health care’s economic importance
• Health services among the fastest growing sectors – demographic trends suggest growth will continue
• Sustainable health care system essential for local health and economic opportunity
• Maintaining a sustainable local health care system is a community-wide challenge

Initial Community Perceptions

• What are major health-related concerns?
• What needs to be done to improve local health care?
• What should be the over-arching health care goals in the county?
• What are the greatest barriers to achieving those goals?
Collective Themes

- Prevention of chronic disease/wellness
- Recruitment/retention of health professionals
- Hospital/LTC facilities and sustainability
- Elder care and community-based services
- Provider communication/collaboration
- Community involvement/leadership
- Your conclusions?

Data Fact Sheets
Data Fact Sheets

• Seeking issues/needs in secondary data, i.e. that which is missing, a challenge, or could be improved
• Looking at the negative doesn’t mean there isn’t much that is good
• Data are indicators that require interpretation
• You decide what’s important

Overall Conclusions from Data

• Population trends and income levels are creating challenges
• Accessing state/federal assistance is essential
• Community-based services for elderly, alone
• Mental health
• Room for improvement in preventable problems – neonatal care, tobacco/alcohol use, immunization
Your Analysis

• What did you see that you liked?
• What do you see that was troubling?
• What do you think could be improved?
• What do you think is in your collective capacity to make better?
Community Directory

- Comprehensive listing of health and related providers and services
- If they know it’s available locally, they can choose to buy it at home
- You ensure completeness and accuracy
- Consider the “gaps” that may exist
- What was missing that you would like to see?

Community Health Care Survey

- 185 total responses
- Important to remember – non-representative
- 98% see a doctor; 93% use local provider
- 98% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
- 73% used a hospital in the past 2 years; SCMH captured 76%
- 88% had prior SCMH experience; 96% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
Community Health Care Survey

• Specialty care
  – Cardiologist – 22
  – Orthopedist – 14
  – Surgeon – 12
  – Neurologist – 9
  – OB/GYN – 8
  – Ear/Nose/Throat – 7
  – Urologist – 7

Community Health Care Survey

• 96% used Smith County Family Practice; 95% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
• 53% used Smith County General Surgery; 94% were satisfied/somewhat satisfied
• 52% used County Health; 98% satisfied
Community Health Care Survey

• Comments suggest some unmet needs and challenges
  – Access to primary care physicians/long wait times
  – Lack of services/ specialty assistance
  – Facilities conditions
  – Sustainability/retention of health professionals
  – Customer service issues
  – Elder community-based services

• Your observations?

Small Group Discussion

• Discussion leader and note taker
• Everyone contributes
• Time is critical – 10 minutes/question
• Consider the question
  – Everyone 30 seconds to respond
  – Seek commonalities/themes/combine concerns
  – Identify 1-2 group responses
  – Report to the group
Discussion Questions

• What is your vision for a healthy community?
• What are the top 3-4 things that need to happen to achieve your vision?
  – What’s right? What could be better?
  – Consider acute needs and chronic conditions
  – Discrete local issues, not global concerns
  – Consider the possible, within local control and resources, something to rally the community

• What can the hospital do to help?
• What can the health department do to help?

Issue Prioritization

• Group reports
• What are the discrete local health concerns?
• What are the chronic health issues of local concern?
• What are the top three issues that should be the focus of local priority over the next 3-5 years?
• Which priority will you focus on?
• Homework
Next Meeting

- Introduction and Review
- Review of priorities
- Work groups
- Work group reports
- Action group formation and leadership
- Action group meetings
- One-year follow up meeting
- Summary and evaluation

www.krhw.net
Contact information:
John Leatherman

785-532-4492/2643
jleather@k-state.edu

More info:
www.krhw.net
www.ksu-olg.info
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Agenda

• CHNA overview and review
• Priority community health issues
• Work group formation and instructions
• Action plan development
• Group review
• Next steps
• Evaluation
Local Health Needs Assessment

- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act creates hospital requirements
- Public Health Department Accreditation
- Both require Community Health Needs Assessment

KRHW CHNA Objectives

- KRHW CHNA
  - Help foster healthy communities and a sustainable rural community health care system
  - Identify priority health care needs
  - Mobilize/organize the community
  - Develop specific action strategies with measurable goals
Community-driven Process

- Community-based, not driven by hospital, health care provider, or outside agency
- Local people solving local problems
- Community provides energy and commitment, with input from health care providers
- Public represented by you
- I make no recommendations
Initial Perceptions: Themes

- Prevention of chronic disease/wellness
- Recruitment/retention of health professionals
- Hospital/LTC facilities and sustainability
- Elder care and community-based services
- Provider communication/collaboration
- Community involvement/leadership

Data Fact Sheets
Overall Conclusions from Data

- Population trends and income levels are creating challenges
- Accessing state/federal assistance is essential
- Community-based services for elderly, alone
- Mental health
- Room for improvement in preventable problems – neonatal care, tobacco/alcohol use, immunization
Community Health Care Survey

- 205 total responses
- Important to remember – non-representative
- Use and satisfaction with local providers
- Comments suggest some unmet needs and challenges: Access to primary care physicians/long wait times; lack of services/specialty assistance; facilities conditions; sustainability/retention of health professionals; customer service issues; elder community-based services
Small Group Discussion

- What is your vision for a healthy community?
- What are the top 3-4 things that need to happen to achieve your vision?
- What can the hospital do to help?
- What can the health department do to help?

Issue Prioritization #1

- Updated facilities for a new health center
- Expanded array of programs and services
  - Including hospital, acute care clinic, community-based transitional services for the elderly, long-term care, day care, and community health and wellness
- Build on existing successful programs
Issue Prioritization #2

• Health and wellness/prevention
• Chronic disease management
  – Obesity and related health concerns
  – Nutrition education
• Expanding access to mental health services/assistance
  – Education of the public to recognize need
  – Education of providers/officials to improve recognition and response

Action Planning

• This ain’t easy
• This is only the start
• Once you begin, you’ll see more is needed
• If this is important and if you are committed, you’ll know how!
• The rest is up to you. It always has been.
Action Plan: Situation

• What is the existing situation you would like to see changed?
• What is the specific need/problem that you would like to see changed?
• Example: Enhance communication across providers and with the community
  – Providers in “silos” to patient detriment
  – Hospital board is insular

Action Plan: Priorities

• What are the top three things that need to happen to change the existing situation?
• Example:
  – Major providers meet periodically to exchange information and seek collaborative initiatives
  – Create a common public access point for information
  – Create an annual event to bring community and providers together
Action Plan: Intended Outcomes

• What will be the situation when you have achieved the goal?
• Example:
  – Patients experience continuum of care; providers are stronger with fewer leakages
  – Single Web-based portal for all provider info
  – Annual county health fair to learn about personal health, provider services, healthy choices, meet providers personally

Action Plan: Resources

• What resources are needed: who must be involved, how much time, money, what partnerships
• Example:
  – Major provider cooperation
  – Significant organizational and public relations capacity
  – IT capacity
  – Financial sponsorships
Action Plan: Activities

- What meetings, events, public involvement, information resources, media, partnerships are needed?
- Examples:
  - Quarterly provider meetings – private sharing
  - Event leadership and planning committee
  - Solicit financial sponsorship
  - Media collaboration
  - State/regional provider involvement
  - Schedule of events

Action Plan: Participation

- Who needs to be involved?
- Examples:
  - Leadership – who is the right person?
  - Who within this group will start?
  - Who outside this group should be involved?
  - Business, education, religious, social, public, customers and the underserved
Action Plan: Short-term

• What has to happen in 6-12 months?
• What are the evaluation target metrics (awareness, knowledge, attitudes)?
• Examples:
  – Providers buy in, establish a regular meeting schedule, identify meeting coordinator
  – Public relations to announce initiatives
  – Work committees recruited and organized
  – Sponsors secured
  – Plans and designs solidified/finalized

Action Plan: Intermediate-term

• What has to happen in 1-3 years?
• What are the evaluation target metrics (behaviors, decisions, actions, policies)?
• Examples:
  – Providers meeting regularly
  – Web-based portal up and updated regularly
  – Annual health fair with broad community participation
  – Expanded community “buy-in” for initiatives
Action Plan: Ultimate Impact

• What has to happen in the long-term?
• What are the evaluation target metrics (how will the situation be different)?
• Examples:
  – Community surveys show high local usage and satisfaction with local providers
  – Data health indicators are improving
  – Annual health fair growth, business outreach and participation, multiple community events
  – Community undertakes new health initiatives

Next Meeting

• Yes, there is a next meeting (sorry)
• Overall leadership and monitoring
• Work group leadership and meeting schedule
• Communicating with the community
• One-year follow up meeting open to the community
• Summary and evaluation
www.krhw.net

Contact information:
John Leatherman
785-532-4492/2643
ejleather@k-state.edu

More info:
www.krhw.net
www.ksu-olg.info
Community Health Needs Assessment

Hospital Requirements

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) created a new IRS Code Section 501(r) which imposes additional requirements on tax-exempt hospitals. Specifically:

- All 501(c)3 Hospitals
- Governmental hospitals that have an IRS Determinate (c)3 Letter
- If you have ever applied for and received a letter (for the hospital entity) you have to comply.

Hospitals must Complete Community Needs Assessment

- At least once every three years; first one must be completed by end of tax year beginning after March 23, 2012.
- Include input from persons who represent the broad interest of the community.
- Include input from persons having public health knowledge or expertise.
- Make assessment widely available to the public
- Adopt a written implementation strategy to address identified community needs.*
- Failure to comply results in excise tax penalty of $50,000 per year.

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Health Care Reform Law March, 2010)

* Notice 2011-52 – must be approved by authorized governing body (board of directors)

Community Health Needs Assessment Written Report Treasury and the IRS intend to require a hospital organization to document a Community Health Needs Assessment for a hospital facility in a written report that includes the following information:

1. A description of the community served by the hospital facility and how it was determined.
2. A description of the process and methods used to conduct the assessment, including a description of the sources and dates of the data and other information used in the assessment and the analytical methods applied to identify community health needs. The report should also describe information gaps that impact the hospital organization’s ability to assess the health needs of the community served by the hospital facility. If a hospital organization collaborates with other organizations in conducting a CHNA, the report should identify all of the organizations with which the hospital organization collaborated. If a hospital organization contracts with one or more third parties to assist it in conducting a CHNA, the report should also disclose the identity and qualifications of such third parties.
3. A description of how the hospital organization took into account input from persons who represent the broad interests of the community served by the hospital facility, including a description of when and how the organization consulted with these persons (whether through meetings, focus groups, interviews, surveys, written correspondence, etc.) If the hospital organization takes into account input from an organization, the written report should identify
the organization and provide the name and title of at least one individual in such organization with whom the hospital organization consulted.

4. A prioritized description of all of the community health needs identified through the CHNA, as well as a description of the process and criteria used in prioritizing such health needs.

5. A description of the existing health care facilities and other resources within the community available to meet the community health needs identified through the CHNA.

CHNA Written Report needs to be:

- Widely available to the public
- On hospital website
- Given to anyone who asks

**Implementation Strategy**

Treasury and the IRS intend to require a hospital organization to specifically address each of the community health needs identified through a CHNA for a hospital facility in an implementation strategy, rather than in the written report documenting the hospital facility’s CHNA.

An implementation strategy is a written plan that addresses each of the community health needs identified through a CHNA.

An implementation strategy will address a health need identified through a CHNA for a particular hospital facility if the written plan either:

1. describes how the hospital facility plans to meet the health need; or
2. identifies the health need as one the hospital facility does not intend to meet and explains why the hospital facility does not intend to meet the health need.

An Implementation Strategy needs to be:

- Approved by Board of Directors
- Attached to 990, and the 990 has to be widely available to the public

This summary was obtained from the Kansas Health Matters Website (http://www.kansashealthmatters.org/), and can be found here: (https://www.myc_tb.org/wst/kansashealthmatters/hospitals/default.aspx)
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Health Department Accreditation

The Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB) defines public health accreditation as the development of a set of standards, a process to measure health department performance against those standards, and reward or recognition for those health departments who meet the standards.

The PHAB standards were developed through the framework of the 10 Essential Public Health Services:

1. Monitor the health of the community
2. Diagnose and investigate health problems
3. Inform, educate, and empower people
4. Mobilize community partnerships
5. Develop policies
6. Enforce laws and regulations
7. Link to/provide health services
8. Assure a competent workforce
9. Evaluate quality
10. Research for new insights

Accreditation is a mechanism for demonstrating a local health department’s capacity for providing the essential services as well as its ability to do so through a culture of continuous quality improvement. The PHAB Standards and Measures Version 1.0 were released in May 2011.

Local health departments may seek accreditation as an individual agency or as a region, using the multi-jurisdictional approach. Accreditation status lasts for 5 years; at the end of the 5 year cycle, the department must seek reaccreditation.

Health departments must complete three prerequisites prior to applying for accreditation within the past 5 years

1. A community health assessment
2. A community health improvement plan
3. An agency strategic plan

The seven steps of the accreditation process are

1. Pre-application
2. Accreditation Readiness Checklist
3. Online Orientation
4. Statement of Intent
5. Application
6. Documentation Selection and Submission
7. Site Visit
8. Accreditation Decision
9. Reports
10. Reaccreditation

This summary was obtained from the *Kansas Health Matters* Website (http://www.kansashealthmatters.org/), and can be found here: (https://www.mycbt.org/wst/kansashealthmatters/healthdepartments/default.aspx)